AGENDA Borrego Water District Board of Directors Regular Meeting February 24, 2016 9:00 a.m. 806 Palm Canyon Drive Borrego Springs, CA 92004

I. OPENING PROCEDURES

- A. Call to Order
- **B.** Pledge of Allegiance
- C. Roll Call
- **D.** Approval of Agenda (1-2)
- E. Approval of Minutes
 - Special meeting of January 19, 2016
 - Regular meeting of January 27, 2015
- F. Comments from Directors and Requests for Future Agenda Items
- G. Comments from the Public and Requests for Future Agenda Items (comments will be limited to 3 minutes)
- H. Correspondence: Letter from Oasis Ranch

II. CURRENT BUSINESS MATTERS

- A. Discussion and possible approval of Ordinance on mandatory drought-related conservation targets for governor's Drought Executive Order for 2016
- **B.** Discussion and possible approval of Water Credit Policy change and Resolution regarding 4:1 requirement
- C. Discussion of Dudek market evaluation for Water Credits
- **D.** Review of Raftelis rate study
- E. Discussion of Public Hearing of Proposition 218
- F. Review of Town Hall Agenda
- G. Consideration and possible approval of process for handling claims received for Tier 2 refunds.
- **H.** Review of planning calendar

III. STAFF REPORTS

- A. Financial Reports January 2016
- B. General Manager / Operations Report
- C. Water and Wastewater Operations Report January 2016
- **D.** Water Production/Use Records January 2016

IV. ATTORNEY'S REPORT

V. COMMITTEE REPORTS & PROPOSALS:

Ad Hoc Committees

- 1. Audit Committee
- 2. Due-Diligence
- 3. Strategic Planning Committee
- 4. Executive Committee
- 5. Operations & Management Committee
- 6. Parks Committee
- 7. CFD Committee
- 8. Conservation Committee

(L. Brecht, Tatusko) (L. Brecht, Tatusko) (Hart, L. Brecht) (Estep, Hart) (Delahay, Tatusko) (Hart, Estep) (Estep, Delahay) (Hart, Tatusko)

Agenda: February 24, 2016

VI. INFORMATIONAL ITEMS

VII. CLOSED SESSION

Conference with Legal Counsel – Anticipated Litigation

A. Significant exposure to litigation pursuant to paragraph (2) of subdivision (d) of Government Code section 54956.9. One potential case.

VIII. CLOSING PROCEDURE

The next Special Meeting of the Board of Directors is scheduled for March 15, 2016 at the Borrego Water District The next Regular Meeting of the Board of Directors is scheduled for March 23, 2016 at the Borrego Water District Town Hall Meeting March 30, 2016 at the Performing Arts Center



Borrego Water District MINUTES Special Meeting of the Board of Directors Tuesday, January 19, 2016 9:00 AM 806 Palm Canyon Drive Borrego Springs, CA 92004

I. OPENING PROCEDURES

- A. Call to Order: President Hart called the meeting to order at 9:00 a.m.
- B. <u>Pledge of Allegiance</u>: Those present stood for the Pledge of Allegiance.
- C. Roll Call: Directors: Present: President Hart, Vice-President Brecht,

Secretary/Treasurer Tatusko, Delahay

Absent: Estep

Staff:Jerry Rolwing, General ManagerWendy Quinn, Recording SecretaryPublic:Trey Driscoll, Dudek

- D. Approval of Agenda: MSC: Brecht/Tatusko approving the Agenda as written.
- E. Comments from Directors and Requests for Future Agenda Items: None
- F. Comments from the Public and Requests for Future Agenda Items: None

II. CURRENT BUSINESS MATTERS

A. <u>Review and approval of increase in CSD fee for the collection of trash</u>: MSC: Brecht/Delahay approving an increase in the CSD fee for collection of trash.

B. <u>Discussion of District's application for a Borrego Valley Groundwater Basin</u> <u>boundary adjustment:</u> Trey Driscoll of Dudek reported that comments on the District's application for a Borrego Valley Groundwater Basin boundary adjustment had been submitted by Tim Ross (DWR) and the County of San Diego. He explained the proposed new boundaries, depicted on a map. DWR and the County support the application. Mr. Driscoll asked Jerry Rolwing to request additional input from San Diego County, and also comments from Imperial County and Imperial Irrigation District, prior to the March 21 deadline. He also recommended a public hearing prior to that date, and the Board agreed to schedule it for the February 16 Special Board Meeting. Mr. Rolwing will contact Morgan Foley regarding the timing of the hearing notice. Mr. Driscoll noted that DWR will also have a public comment period.

C. <u>Discussion of San Diego County's progress in applying to become a Groundwater</u> <u>Sustainability Agency (GSA) under SGMA for portions of the BVGB:</u> Mr. Driscoll reported that on January 6 the Board of Supervisors approved the County of San Diego's application to become a GSA. Mr. Rolwing added that he attended the meeting. The Farm Bureau is requesting that their needs be addressed by the GSA, since they are not ratepayers. President Hart explained that the District and the County are still discussing how to resolve overlapping duties as joint GSAs. District Counsel David Aladjem is working with County Counsel and DWR. Mr. Driscoll reported that DWR announced on January 15 that it would allow a Joint Powers Agreement for two GSAs in the same basin, and not require a Joint Powers Authority (new entity).

D. <u>Discussion of Groundwater Sustainability Plan (GSP) development costs sharing</u> <u>among municipal, recreation and agricultural groundwater users</u>: Director Brecht invited the Board's attention to material in the Board package which he had presented to the Borrego Water Coalition, which represents 80 percent of the water users in the Borrego Valley and concurred in the cost sharing proposal. Mr. Driscoll noted that at the January 6 Board of Supervisors meeting,

Special Minutes: January 19, 2016

a member said it would not cost the County money to become a GSA. However, they have added a staff member to oversee it. Mr. Rolwing expressed concern that the County would try to shift General Plan amendment costs to BWD. Director Brecht recommended that the development costs be amortized over five years. President Hart explained an issue that has arisen regarding collection of GSP development costs on the property tax rolls. These collections usually require voter approval, but since the development costs are regulatory fees, the issue needs to be clarified.

E. <u>Discussion of Resolution 2016-01-01 of the Board of Directors of the Borrego</u> <u>Water District, Stating the Policy on Water Credits for New developments to comply with the</u> <u>requirements of the Sustainable Groundwater Management Act:</u> Mr. Rolwing presented the proposed Resolution for discussion today and possible approval at the next Board meeting. Mr. Driscoll explained that to comply with SGMA, a 4:1 water use mitigation ratio for new development is proposed. This would apply to developers requesting building permits on previously approved plats.

F. Discussion of the District's consulting engineers' (Dudek) work on the economic value of potable water from the BVGB under SGMA: Mr. Driscoll explained that based on data from the 2013 Bureau of Reclamation Study, he calculated the replacement value of potable water if it were to be purchased at \$1,340 per acre-foot. In addition, because of the potential for aquifer overdraft in the future necessitating additional treatment, especially for removal of arsenic, additional costs of anywhere from \$62 to \$548 per acre foot could be incurred. He suggested starting out with the lower figure, representing capital costs, and addressing operations and maintenance expenses in the future. Director Brecht pointed out that these costs should be built into future tiered rates, and Mr. Rolwing agreed to forward the Dudek report to Raftelis, the District's financial consultant. President Hart suggested that Mr. Rolwing, Director Brecht, Dudek and Raftelis get together to discuss the subject, and Mr. Rolwing recommended referring it to the Due Diligence Committee. Mr. Driscoll noted that SGMA allows the imposition of pumping fees, and Director Tatusko observed that this is an important point to highlight during the 218 process to confirm that agriculture and golf courses will share SGMA-related costs.

G. <u>Discussion of FY 2017-2021 rate structure and rates changes messaging</u>: Director Brecht noted that many citizens feel water rates are too high, but they don't have a basis for this opinion. At the next Town Hall Meeting, he wanted to spend some time explaining why the District treats water, what it costs and what it would cost not to treat it. He invited comments on his proposed presentation, included in the Board package</u>.

H. <u>Discussion of a formal note of appreciation to the US Geological Service and the</u> <u>Department of the Interior Bureau of Reclamation for the excellent studies they completed for</u> <u>the benefit of the District's management of the BVGB:</u> Mr. Rolwing agreed to draft the letters for the Board's consideration.

I. <u>Discussion and approval of electing members to LAFCO</u>: Mr. Rolwing reported he had discussed the candidates for LAFCO with Harry Ehrlich, but typically selected the candidates geographically closest to Borrego Springs. He welcomed Board input, and agreed to check with Mr. Ehrlich again. Absent other recommendations, he will follow his usual course of action.

J. <u>Discussion of potential agenda items for January 27th board meeting</u>: Agenda items for the next Board meeting will include progress in meeting the water use reduction Executive Order and a report from the Ad Hoc Citizens Committee (combined), Tier 2 water rate refunds, Resolution stating the policy on water credits, setting public meeting on the BVGB boundary adjustment, Resolution on the BVGB boundary adjustment to be included in the GSA application (Mr. Driscoll will draft it), status of Rams Hill Water purchase (included in

Manager's Report), closed session, progress report on new billing system (in Manager's Report), status of Raftelis study (in Manager's Report), and an information item on "Rudyville."

III. INFORMATIONAL ITEMS

• <u>Marketing the SGMA: Applying economics to solve California's Groundwater</u> problem: The article was included in the Board package.

IV. CLOSING PROCEDURE

There being no further business, the Board adjourned at 10:40 a.m. The next Regular Meeting of the Board of Directors is scheduled for January 27, 2016 at the Borrego Water District.

Borrego Water District MINUTES **Regular Meeting of the Board of Directors** Wednesday, January 27, 2016 9:00 AM **806 Palm Canyon Drive Borrego Springs, CA 92004**

I. **OPENING PROCEDURES**

- A. <u>Call to Order</u>: President Hart called the meeting to order at 9:00 a.m.
- B. <u>Pledge of Allegiance</u>: Those present stood for the Pledge of Allegiance.
- C. Roll Call: Directors: Present: President Hart, Vice-President Brecht,

Secretary/Treasurer Tatusko, Delahay, Estep (via
teleconference)

Jan Naragon

Staff: Jerry Rolwing, General Manager Kim Pitman, Administration Manager Wendy Quinn, Recording Secretary

Public:

Harry Ehrlich Marshal Brecht Sara Lockett, Ocotillo Jim Engelke

Wells SVRA

- D. Approval of Agenda: MSC: Brecht/Tatusko approving the Agenda as written.
- E. Approval of Minutes:

Regular meeting of December 16, 2015

Trey Driscoll, Dudek

John Peterson

Rick Alexander

MSC: Brecht/Tatusko approving the Minutes of the Regular Meeting of December 16, 2015 as written.

- F. Comments from Directors and Requests for Future Agenda Items: None
- G. Comments from the Public and Requests for Future Agenda Items: None
- H. Correspondence: None

CURRENT BUSINESS MATTERS II.

A. Discussion of progress meeting the Executive Order B-29-15 requiring a 25% mandatory reduction in water use by the District and report on water conservation policy recommendations: John Peterson of the Citizens' Ad Hoc Committee addressed the Board's concerns on the Committee's December 16 report. The Committee is comfortable with the 1.5 acre feet per year average water use used as a baseline. The Committee is leaving the task of possible implementation of irrigation audits to the Board and management based on their prior experience. As to income projections for the water conservation program, Mr. Peterson invited the Board's attention to a summary of action items by Ken O'Key, distributed at today's meeting. Director Brecht recommended adopting a budget for the program at the next Board meeting. Lastly, the Committee had no additional information concerning grants. Discussion followed regarding 50/50 Bureau of Reclamation grants which might be available for irrigation audits, but it was the consensus that it was not worth it, since the District only paid \$100 each in the past. Jerry Rolwing pointed out that Proposition 1 grants would be available in the future.

Jan Naragon asked whether the Committee considered the community's bilingual character in planning its education component. Mr. Peterson agreed that it should be included. President Hart noted that the School District and Gary Haldeman had previously offered translation services to the District free of charge.

Minutes: January 27, 2016

Director Brecht emphasized the need to consider cash flow projections and rate structure, taking into consideration the Wilcox Reservoir construction and water quality issues. He suggested asking David Aladjem for input. President Hart expressed support for the Committee's recommendations and suggested sharing them with the public. Mr. Peterson felt the best vehicle to publicize the program would be the *Borrego Sun*. President Hart suggested posting flyers on public bulletin boards and using existing e-mail lists. After discussion, the Board agreed to assign the task of implementing the Committee's recommendations to the Operations & Management Committee, working with Mr. Rolwing, and request a report in February. The Citizens' Committee will work on a flyer to be posted, highlighting toilet rebates and turf removal.

Sara Lockett suggesting using Facebook and/or Twitter. Harry Ehrlich noted that help was available from ACWA, and Director Tatusko suggested seeking a high school student to advise.

B. <u>Discussion of District's application and public hearing for a Borrego Valley</u> <u>Groundwater Basin (BVGB) boundary adjustment by the California Department of Water</u> <u>Resources:</u> Trey Driscoll of Dudek explained that SGMA requires groundwater basin boundaries. The current BVGB extends into Imperial County and south to Ocotillo, too large an area to effectively manage. Looking at scientific and jurisdictional aspects, a boundary</u> adjustment is proposed to terminate BVGB at the San Felipe Wash. The initial application is due to DWR by March 31, and prior to that the District needs to receive public comments, now set for the February 16 Board meeting. After submitting the application to DWR, DWR will have another 30-day period for public comments to them. Mr. Rolwing reported he had requested support letters from the Counties of San Diego and Imperial and from Imperial Irrigation District. San Diego has already responded.

Discussion followed concerning notice requirements for the public hearing. Mr. Driscoll said the statute does not specify the procedure, so the District should follow its normal notification practices (advertise in *Borrego Sun* and *Union Tribune*).

C. Discussion of Resolution 2016-01-01 of the Board of Directors of the Borrego Water District, Stating the Policy on Water Credits for New Developments to comply with the requirements of the Sustainable Groundwater Management Act (SGMA): President Hart explained that the proposed Resolution addresses water use mitigation credits for new development on previously platted property. It provides for a 4:1 mitigation ratio. She questioned whether a person who doesn't plan to landscape, leaving the property in a "desert natural" condition, could qualify for a reduced mitigation ratio. Mr. Rolwing suggested a restrictive easement, such as used for fallowing farmland. President Hart asked about enforcement. Director Brecht felt such a policy would not be worthwhile from a cost, time and enforcement perspective for individual property owners. Marshal Brecht agreed with Director Brecht and expressed support for the 4:1 ratio, as did Director Tatusko, who reiterated his support for the Dudek analysis, as well. Director Brecht asked about developers who had already satisfied the existing mitigation ratio. Would they be grandfathered in? Mr. Rolwing noted that there is only one such owner, Mesquite Trails, which has been in works for 20 years. President Hart asked Mr. Rolwing to contact Mesquite Trails and ascertain their views. Director Tatusko suggested involving Mr. Aladjem.

D. <u>Discussion and possible approval of Resolution 2016-01-02 regarding the BVGB</u> <u>basin boundary adjustment:</u> *MSC: Tatusko/Brecht adopting Resolution 2016-01-02 regarding the BVGB basin boundary adjustment.*

E. Consideration and possible approval of process for handling claims received for <u>Tier 2 refunds:</u> MSC: Brecht/Delahay approving 18 claims for Tier 2 refunds totaling \$7,449.91. To date, with this action, 92 claims have been paid, totaling \$48,132.24.

Minutes: January 27, 2016

F. <u>Review of planning calendar</u>: President Hart noted that the 218 process, entitled "Utility Rate Study Schedule," had been added per the Board's request but needs an item number.

III. STAFF REPORTS

A. <u>Financial Reports – December 2015</u>: Kim Pitman reported there was an extra expense of approximately \$11,000 for the new Scada system at the wastewater treatment plant. The last payment on the solar system was made. Finalization of the new computer system has been delayed until May. The District had already paid \$70,000, and President Hart recommended requesting a refund or accruing interest.

B. <u>General Manager/Operations Report:</u> Mr. Rolwing invited the Board's attention to his written report, included in the Board package.

C. <u>Water and Wastewater Operations Report – December 2015:</u>

D. <u>Water Production/Use Records – December 2015</u>: The Water and Wastewater Operations Report and the Water Production/Use Records were included in the Board package.

IV. ATTORNEY'S REPORT

President Hart reported that the Board had considered retaining Wendy Quinn to report on Board meetings for the *Borrego Sun* and Jeannie Beck to analyze the Governor's drought mandate and ramifications of SGMA, also for the *Borrego Sun*. Legal Counsel said as long as the pieces were informational, not intended for persuasion or campaigning, the proposal was approved.

V. COMMITTEE REPORTS & PROPOSALS

Ad Hoc Committees

1. Audit Committee

Director Brecht invited the Board's attention to material in the Board package, showing what the Committee has done and where we are now in terms of cash flow.

2. Due-Diligence

Director Brecht referred to information on the economics of SGMA in the Board package. He noted that a USGS water quality study contemplates a 20-year timeline. Is this too long? Director Brecht recommended more data on water quality from USGS and economic data from Dudek. Mr. Driscoll reported he spoke with Claudia Faunt at USGS and they agreed on a need for additional water quality studies. Director Brecht wanted a cost and time estimate. Mr. Rolwing expressed concern about possible continuing serial studies. Would one study be enough?

3. Strategic Planning Committee

President Hart reported that the Committee was continuing to work with the County and the Borrego Water Coalition. Efforts are continuing to create an agreement between BWD and the County that will satisfy DWR and enable two GSAs to operate in one zone. The Committee hopes to have approval by the Town Hall Meeting.

4. Executive Committee

No report.

5. Operations & Management Committee

Director Delahay reported the Committee had been working with the Ad Hoc Citizens' Committee. Director Tatusko added that they were updating the CIP. Two Proposition 1 grant applications were submitted yesterday. The Neighborhood Reinvestment Grant application has not yet been approved, but still has a chance in June.

6. Parks Committee

No report.

7. CFD Committee

No report.

Minutes: January 27, 2016

8. Conservation Committee No report.

VI. INFORMATION ITEMS

A. Letter from Tubb Canyon Conservancy regarding the nexus between the County's land use decisions and SGMA with respect to the Rudyvill new development approval process in the BVGB: The letter was included for information. Is it appropriate for the County to approve a development without complying with SGMA?

B. Letter from the Anza-Borrego Desert State Park regarding the County's land use decision process regarding Rudyvill: Another information item. President Hart stated the District has no legal position on the issue and the County's response is not yet known.

C. <u>Discussion of County's grant application to support sustainable groundwater</u> <u>management act</u>: Director Tatusko thanked Jim Bennett for compiling a draft regarding GSA/GSP funding. He read from attachments 5 (San Diego County SA Formation and GSP Task Development), Board package page 95; and 7 (Disadvantaged Community), Board package page 97, for the record.

D. <u>News articles regarding the Borrego aquifer:</u> News articles were included in the Board package for information.

E. <u>Notice of Violation from State Water Resources Control Board:</u> President Hart announced that the District had not met its State-mandated 25 percent water use reduction. Discussion followed concerning whether the District could change its guidelines in an effort to achieve future compliance. Mr. Rolwing will investigate.

VII. CLOSED SESSION

Conference with Legal Counsel - Anticipated Litigation

A. <u>Significant exposure to litigation pursuant to paragraph (2) of subdivision (d) of</u> <u>Government Code section 54956.9. One potential case:</u> The Board adjourned to closed session at 10:40 a.m., and the open session reconvened at 11:40. No reportable action.

VIII. CLOSING PROCEDURE

There being no further business, the Board adjourned at 11:40. The next Special Meeting of the Board of Directors is scheduled for February 16, 2016 at the Borrego Water District. The next Regular Meeting of the Board of Directors is scheduled for February 24, 2016 at the Borrego Water District.

Oasis Ranch Management, Inc. PO Box 818 Coachella, CA 92236 (760) 398-8850

February 11, 2016

Borrego Springs Water District 806 Palm Canyon Drive Borrego Springs, CA 92004

Dear Board Members,

I am writing the district with a request for assistance concerning citrus properties that we manage in the northern Borrego Valley. Our company has been managing citrus farming operations for the owner in the Borrego Springs valley for over 20 years. The owners are aware of the current water situation in Borrego through information that I provide them while being a representative within the Borrego Water Coalition.

A while ago, I provided the owners with a proposal which might assist them in the reduction of their farming operation. That proposal included the idea of donating their farms and receiving tax credits for doing so. Last summer I became aware of a program that might work for the owners and wanted to share with the District Board this program.

Everyone in Borrego Springs is keenly aware of the overdraft in the basins aquifer, and the owners are trying to be proactive in coming up with a solution to this situation. To that end, I have been working with the Borrego Water Coalition and its intelligent group of individuals with the forth thought of balancing the social and economic concerns as we look for alternatives in water reduction.

I am requesting the Borrego Springs Water District assistance in reviewing the documentation of The Natural Heritage Preservation Tax Credit Act of 2000. I would like to know if this program would be considered by the District for becoming the recipient of possible donation of our farming acreage.

Secondly, it is requested that we continue farming operations and lease back the donated farming properties for consideration and with a contract which would allow for partial fallowing farmland over a given time period. This would allow for a slow, gradual and specific reduction of our farming operation and at the same time a reduction in extractions from the aquifer. The eventual goal would be complete withdrawal of our portion of farming in Borrego Springs.

While all parties must agree on the details, I believe this could assist the owners and valley residences to obtain everyone's goal of "water for the future".

Sincerely,

Dennis J. Jensen, President Oasis Ranch Management, Inc.

Ordinance No. 16-01

AN URGENCY ORDINANCE OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE BORREGO WATER DISTRICTADDING ESTABLISHING WATER CONSERVATION REQUIREMENTS BY LIMITING LANDSCAPE WATERING, TO TAKE EFFECT IMMEDIATELY

WHEREAS, the Board of Directors (the "Board") of the Borrego Water District (the "District") recognizes that there is a need to develop mandatory conservation measures during identified and declared emergency circumstances, including drought conditions; and

WHEREAS, the Board has previously adopted Resolution No. 2009-4-1, a "Resolution of the Board of Directors of the Borrego Water District, San Diego County, California, Adopting in Principle Tiered Water Rates Subject to Subsequent Compliance With Proposition 218 and Adopting the BWD First Conservation Management Plan," (the "Resolution"), with the intent of encouraging water use efficiency and conservation by its customers in the form of conservation and end use efficiency incentive measures through various options; and

WHEREAS, on January 17, 2014, the Governor of the State of California issued a proclamation of a state of emergency under the California Emergency Services Act based on existing drought conditions, which state of emergency was continued by the Governor on April 25, 2014, and remains in effect; and

WHEREAS, on April 1, 2015, the Governor issued an Executive Order that, in part, directs the State Water Resources Control Board to impose restrictions on water suppliers in order to achieve a statewide reduction of potable water use by not less than 25 percent through February 2016, which directives were extended to October 31, 2016 by a second Executive Order on November 13, 2015, should the drought conditions continue through January 2016; and

WHEREAS, the State Water Resources Control Board adopted regulations to impose restrictions on non-urban water suppliers, such as Borrego Water District, to either reduce its total potable water production by 25 percent relative to the amount produced in 2013 or limit outdoor irrigation of ornamental landscapes or turf with potable water by the persons it serves to no more than two (2) days per week; and

WHEREAS, the voluntary conservation and end use efficiency incentive measures identified in the Resolution have not achieved the required 25 percent reduction, making it mandatory for the District to limit outdoor irrigation of ornamental landscapes or turf with potable water by the District's customers to no more than twice each week or suffer fines from the State Board in the amount of \$500 per day for each violation

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Directors of the Borrego Water District, as follows:

Section 1. Declaration and Finding of Urgency.

A. On January 17, 2014, Governor Brown issued a proclamation of a state of emergency under the California Emergency Services Act (Government Code section 8550 et seq.), as a result of ongoing drought conditions throughout the State of California. Governor Brown issued a proclamation of a continued state of drought emergency on April 25, 2014. On April 1, 2015, as a result of unabated

drought conditions, Governor Brown issued Executive Order No. 8-29-15 directing the State Water Resources Control Board ("State Board"), which includes restrictions imposed on water suppliers to achieve a 25% reduction in potable urban water usage through February 28, 2016, as compared to 2013.

B. In May 2015 the State Board implemented the 25% reduction mandated by the Executive Order requiring each distributor of public water supply (as defined in Water Code section 350), that is not an urban water supplier, to either (1) limit outdoor irrigation of ornamental landscapes or turf with potable water to not more than two (2) days per week; or (2) implement other mandatory conservation measures intended to achieve a 25% reduction in potable water consumption.

C. Immediate implementation of the proposed ordinance will serve to achieve the mandated emergency regulations, thereby helping to protect the public health and safety by conserving dwindiling potable water supplies for human consumption and other more essential purposes. The Board finds that the ordinance should be adopted on an urgency basis to ensure greater water conservation with such ordinance effective immediately upon passage.

Section 2. Purpose.

A. The purpose of this ordinance is to establish water management requirements necessary to conserve water, enable effective water supply planning, assure reasonable and beneficial use of water, prevent waste of water, prevent unreasonable use of water, prevent unreasonable methods of use of water within the Borrego Water District order to assure adequate supplies of water to meet the needs of the public, and further the public health, safety, and welfare, recognizing that water is a scarce natural resource that requires careful management not only in times of drought, but at all times of emergencies.

B. This ordinance establishes regulations to be implemented during times of declared water shortages, declared water shortage emergencies, or other emergencies affecting the ability of the District to maintain an adequate supply of potable water for its customers.

Section 3. <u>Limitations on outdoor landscapes and turf irrigation</u>.

1. Effective immediately, outdoor landscapes and turf irrigation restrictions are hereby imposed in order to preserve and protect the District's supply of potable water or to comply with regulations adopted by the State of California are as follows:

a. Watering outdoor landscapes and turf irrigation are hereby restricted to two (2) days per calendar week for each property.

b. The days for permitted outdoor watering are designated as Mondays and Thursdays of the week for addresses ending in odd integers, and Tuesdays and Fridays of the week for addresses ending in even integers.

c. No outdoor watering shall exceed a total of 18 hours of day during which outdoor landscapes and turf may be irrigated.

d. No outdoor watering shall occur within 48 hours after measurable rainfall.

D. Failure to comply withirrigation restrictions.

Any customer or responsible party found in violation of any order of the General Manager or the Board imposed by this Section may be subject to administrative fines or penalties as set forth in this Administrative Code, in Ordinance No. 15-01, or as otherwise established by the Board.

Section3. This ordinance shall be effective following its passage and adoption.

RESOLUTION NO. 2016-01-01

RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE BORREGO WATER DISTRICT, STATING THE POLICY ON WATER CREDITS FOR NEW DEVELOPMENTS TO COMPLY WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE SUSTAINABLE GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT ACT

WHEREAS, the Borrego Water District ("District")in cooperation with the County of San Diego ("County"), developed and implemented a Demand Offset Mitigation Water Credit Policy ("WCP"); for the result of "no net gain" in the overall rate of extraction of groundwater;¹and

WHEREAS, the current WCP for new development consists of two 1:1 policies: one water credit to satisfy the County New Subdivision Policy (the "County Water Credit") and one water credit to satisfy the District WCP (the "District Water Credit"); and

WHEREAS, currently for existing platted lots in the District, only one of either the County Water Credit or the District Water Credit is required to fulfill the District's WCP; ;whereas for all new subdivisions, both 1:1 policies must be satisfied for a total of two water credits; and

WHEREAS, the planning number for the sustainable yield of the Borrego Valley Groundwater Basin ("BVGB") is 5,700 acre-feet per year ("AFY")²; and

WHEREAS, the planning number for the current groundwater extractions from the BVGB is 19,000AFY³; and

WHEREAS, the Sustainable Groundwater Management Act ("SGMA") passed by the California Legislature on August 29, 2014, and signed into law by Governor Brown on September 16, 2014, requires measurable objectives, as well as interim milestones in increments of five years, to achieve the sustainability goal in the BVGB within 20 years of the implementation of the Groundwater Sustainability Plan ("GSP")⁴; and

WHEREAS, the GSP focuses on reduction of groundwater use in the BVGB by 70% (reduction from ~19,000 AFY to ~5,700 AFY) is required over the 20-year GSP implementation timeframe; and

WHEREAS, this would require retiring 19,000 water credits ("WC"), and issuing 5,700 production credits ("PC") at a ratio of 3.33:1 (WC: PC); and

¹ WCP includes without limitation: the District's Demand Offset Water Credits Policy (BWD 2013a), as amended; the County's Groundwater Ordinance for Borrego (County of San Diego 2013); and the Memorandum of Agreement between the County and the District (BWD and County of San Diego 2013).

 $^{^2}$ In order to develop a planning number for the sustainable yield, the total recharge estimate of 5,670 AFY by Netto (2001, page 138) is used. This rounded value (5,700 AFY) is a little higher than the 4,500 AFY average natural recharge estimated by Faunt (2015, page 51) for modeled recharge.

³ The BWD estimates the annual BVGB pumping is 18,639 acre-feet (BWD 2015). USGS estimates pumpage totals around 19,000 AFY in recent years (2005-2010) (Faunt 2015).

^{*}California Water Code section 10727.2(b)(1)

WHEREAS, it is appropriate to apply a ratio of 4:1 (WC: PC) for new development in the Borrego Valley to account for slippage or variability in the actual or realized water usage reduction; and

WHEREAS, a ratio of 4:1 (WC:PC) for new development in the Borrego Valley would ensure that new development is required to mitigate for its allocated share of the condition of "overdraft" in the BVGB when approved by the County, and prior to actual development.

NOW, THEREFORE, the Board of Directors of the Borrego Water District does hereby resolve, determine and order as follows:

Section 1. All new development in the BVGB obtain 4 WC for every 1 PC required to meet new water demands. Each water credit requirement may be met through County Water Credits, District Water Credits, or any equivalent combination thereof.

Section 2. The District's General Manager is hereby authorized and directed to coordinate with the County to update the Demand Offset Water Credits Policy to incorporate the revised Board Policy.

ADOPTED, SIGNED AND APPROVED this 24th day of February 2016.

President of the Board of Directors of Borrego Water District

ATTEST:

Secretary of the Board of Directors of Borrego Water District



DATA REQUEST LIST

Agency:Borrego Water DistrictStudy:Water and Wastewater Rate StudiesDate:December 9, 2015

The following is a preliminary list of data requirements to conduct the Water and Wastewater Rate Studies for the Borrego Water District (District). Whenever possible, we would appreciate that the District be able to provide requested financial and usage data in electronic format (Microsoft Excel format is preferred). We anticipate that this initial list will encompass the majority of the information necessary to conduct the studies; however, if we determine that additional data is required during the course of our analysis, we will notify the District at that time.

Water and Wastewater Rate Studies

Our analysis will be based on the data available. The preliminary list of data requirements includes:

1. Financial information for the Water Fund and Sewer Fund

- a. Fiscal Year 2014-15 Budget (Actuals)
- b. Current fiscal year (FY) budget (FYE 2016)
- c. Long-term Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) including funding sources, separated by CIP that is growth related versus replacement and refurbishment (R&R). Preferably a 10 year CIP schedule.
- d. District's Water and Sewer Master Plans
- e. Any strategic / long term planning documents identifying the District's goals or objectives.
- f. Any outstanding debt service payment schedules (principal and interest) and the appropriate section of the Official Statements that describes the calculation associated with coverage ratio for all existing debts. For any proposed debt, please provide any proposed debt schedule and terms you may have. The financial model that will be developed will have the ability to do this.
- g. Estimated beginning balances of the water and sewer enterprises' unrestricted and restricted reserves for the current fiscal year (FY 2015-2016) (e.g. operating reserve, capital reserve, rate stabilization reserve, etc.)
- h. Detailed schedule of revenues for water and sewer for the past two fiscal years and projected revenue for current fiscal year (FYE 2014, FYE 2015, and projected FYE 2016)
 - i. Please provide a break out of rate revenues between fixed and variable commodity revenues.
 - ii. Existing Rate Schedule for Water and Wastewater Enterprise



Water Rate Study

It is important that the consumption data is cleaned for duplicates and negative values are removed

- Customer Data (Account & Consumption) Table identifies each individual customer account & provides an accounting of water consumption by each account for the last two years. The consumption table will include one record per account per billing period for those months that the account received a bill. The table should resemble the image below:
 - a. Unique account number It is important that there be no duplicates in this table.
 - b. Meter size For compound meters with multiple dials, the meter size should be identified in the same manner as that used to determine the monthly meter charge.
 - c. Tenant Type/Customer Type Either single-family, multifamily, irrigation or commercial. If needed, provide a separate table to explain the coding.
 - d. Number of dwelling units served by the meter (for MFR, if available)
 - e. Days of Service (DOS) number of days in the billing period.
 - f. Billed Consumption this is the consumption occurring in the billing period for the account. The units should be consistent with the units used to create the bill (i.e., rounded appropriately, etc.) For compound meters, the consumption on all relevant dials should be summed to create this value.
 - g. Billed Amount the total billed amount for the billing period for the account
 - h. It is important that we understand what each column of information is in the data. Therefore please include a legend or key when appropriate. For instance in the example data below, the service class or rate code would need a legend so that we know the meaning of each entry.

- Changer	Service	Rate	Meter		The second second	Constraints		1000	CON CONTRACT	1200			the same same	I ACCESSION OF	
Serv ID	Class	Code	Size	Oct-05	Sep-05	Aug-05	Jul-05	Jun-05	May-05	Apr-05	Mar-05	Feb-05	Jan-05	Dec-05	Nov-05
7085-0	1	1	1-1/2	2	3	3	1	1	7	2	0	0	0	0	0
7018-1	1	1	3	2	3	10	7	3	9	0	7	3	1	Ő	1
9132-1	1	1	1	2	5	2	3	1	1	2	0	1	1	2	1
6041-1	1	1	3/4	2	30	46	40	32	16	11	7	2	15	7	7
9080-4	1	1	1	2	248	537	156	84	106	27	8	9	29	43	83
3087-2	1	1	1	3	2	2	2	3	2	1	0	0	1	0	0
8039-1	1	1	1	3	2	2	4	3	3	2	2	2	2	3	2
6056-1	1	1	1	3	2	4	1	3	2	1	1	2	1	2	2
7013-0	1	1	1	3	2	6	3	3	2	1	1	2	0	2	1
6055-2	1	1	3/4	3	3	4	3	3	3	0	1	51	49	19	73
9158-0	1	1	1	3	3	4	4	4	4	2	1	3	1	2	2
6063-0	1	1	1	3	4	6	6	7	2	1	1	0	4	2	8
3060-2	1	1	1	3	6	2	3	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	4
3157-0	1	1	1	3	8	4	5	4	5	5	5	4	5	4	8
8083-0	1	1	3/4	3	8	17	8	7	10	13	12	12	8	6	10
3101-1	1	1	1	3	10	7	5	4	5	5	5	6	6	6	.0
9504-4	1	10	3	3	17	20	0	3	5	0	7	6	4	5	7

 Water Account and Usage Summary – To verify information provided in the customer billing database above, the following data is required (see attached MS Excel file for preferred format):

- a. Number of accounts by customer classes and meter sizes for the last two fiscal years
- b. Total usage by customer classes and by tiers for the last two fiscal years



c.

3. Other Information for Water Fund

- a. Maximum day and maximum hour peaking factors for the system
- b. Customer growth projections (consumption and accounts)
- c. Water supply information by supply sources for the last two years
 - i. Quantity available in acre ft.
 - ii. Estimated water loss
 - iii. Total Water Production for the last two fiscal years
 - iv. Cost of each source of supply by acre ft
- d. Water Asset Information (see attached MS Excel file for preferred format)
 - i. Please provide the Depreciation Schedule in a MS Excel file. Thank you.
- e. Any other information you may think helpful.

Wastewater Rate Study

- Customer Data (Account & Consumption) Table identifies each individual customer account & provides an accounting of flow by each account for the last three to five years. The consumption table will include one record per account per billing period for those months that the account received a bill. The table should include:
 - a. Unique account number It is important that there be no duplicates in this table.
 - b. Tenant Type/Customer Type Either single-family, multifamily, commercial categories (Low Strength, Med Strength, High Strength).
 - c. Number of dwelling units served by the meter (for MFR and Commercial Units, if available)
 - d. Days of Service (DOS) number of days in the billing period.
 - e. Billed HCF (when applicable) this is the flow occurring in the billing period for the account. The units should be consistent with the units used to create the bill (i.e., rounded appropriately, etc.).
- Account and Discharge Summary Because we may not get the complete database in the customer data table above, the following data is required (see attached MS Excel file for preferred format):
 - a. Number of accounts by customer classes for the last two fiscal years
 - b. Total flow by customer classes for the last two fiscal years
 - c. Total flow to Wastewater Treatment Plant
- 3. Other Information for Wastewater Fund
 - a. BOD and TSS factors by customer class, if available
 - b. Customer growth projections (if different from water growth projections)
 - c. Wastewater Asset Information (see attached MS Excel file for preferred format)
 - i. Please provide the Depreciation Schedule in a MS Excel file. Thank you.
 - d. Any other information you may think helpful.



Data Request List

- Page 4

BORREGO WATER DISTRICT 2016 TOWN HALL Sustainable Groundwater Management for the Borrego Valley Groundwater Basin MARCH 30, 2016 4:00PM - 5:30PM PERFORMING ARTS CENTER 590 Palm Canyon Drive Borrego Springs, CA 92004

DRAFT AGENDA

- 1) Greetings and Introductions. Jerry Rolwing, General Manager
- 2) State of the District infrastructure briefing. Greg Holloway, Operations Manager
- Overview of the Sustainable Groundwater Management Act and Basin Boundary Adjustment. Trey Driscoll, Prinicpal Hydrogeologist, Dudek Engineering and Environmental
- Groundwater Management Agency formation. Jim Bennett, San Diego County Department of Planning and Development Services
- 5) TBD topic ? Board Vice-president Lyle Brecht
- 6) TBD topic ? Board President Beth Hart
- 7) Moderated, written questions from the audience for specific presenters
- 8) Comments from the audience

February 24, 2016

MEMO TO:	Board of Directors
FROM:	Kim Pitman, Administration Manager
SUBJECT:	Board to consider and possibly approve claims received for "Tier 2" Conservation rate refunds

Since Board approval of Tier 2 refunds on December 16, four (4) more claim forms have been completed and returned to the office. I have reviewed and concur with the total refund requested for each claim. Each claim complies with Resolution/Policy NO. 2015-06-01, stating overpayment of water rates, by paying tier 2 rates. The total of these claims comes to \$1,389.90.

Once this claim is paid, we will have paid 96 claims, totaling \$49,522.14, which leaves approximately \$123,000 of possible refunds left to pay.

Thank you for your consideration in this matter.

	Contract / Project	January	February	March	April	Мау
	PAYMENTS					
	T2 Borrego	1/1/15: Pay spare cost in advance			Raftelis spare capacity cost analysis	5/1/15 Notice of 2015/2016 spare capacity due.
1		1000		and the second	the second second second	
2	P & I Payment for ID4 COP's			1st half of payments due		
	Compass Bank		2016 - payment due March	F 2	- 15 - 11 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	2016 - payment due
			1st.			June 1st.
3						
	CONTRACTS					
	American Red Cross-can cancel					1
	any time for any reason Club Circle (Cameron)		antion to renew loose hu			
6			option to renew lease by 2/28/2017			
-	Green Desert Landscape		discuss w/ Bob the option of		7	
			continuing with contract			
7			2/28/2017		the set of the set	
	Xerox					
8						
9	Secap - postage machine				4/1/2017 send letter of	
	San Diego Mailing Solutions				cancellation if desired	
	(Annual maintenance - postage and					
	stuffer machine)					
	Ramona Disposal - Club Circle					
11						
	Ramona Disposal - BWD					
12	Dumpsters				the second se	
-	REPORTS					
	CASGEM				Dubati OADOFM	
	CASCEM				Submit CASGEM water level data	
14					uala	
	CCR					
15						
15	Cameron Bros. Water Usage					
	Report (golf course) to county					
16				1. F. S. S. B. S.		
17	Santago Estate				,	
	Annual EAR Report (CDHS)			Due 3/31 for previous year		
	Check fallow property for water			Due 3/31 for previous year		
	usage		4			
20	ADMINISTRATIVE					
	Audit					
21	Personal and the second second					
	Budget		19 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Pump check	CIP meeting, draft budget	Final Budget document
					document	FY Rate Resolution
22	Business Plan	D 0 1 1 1 1 1				
		Raftelis begins rate analysis	February 2016 -Update Development Fees (water credits & infrastructure buy- in costs for new connections)	Prop 218 rate for FY 2017 Fy 2021 public hearing		FY Budget and new rates approved
23						
	Utility Rate Study Schedule	Preliminary Rates Disseminated by 1/29/2016	Rates Finalized 2/19/2016Initial Draft Report Disseminated 2/24/2016 Prop 218 Notice Mailed 2/26/2016	Receive edits and finalize report	Public Hearing 4/15/2016	
24						
	Groundwater Sustainability Plan (GSP)	District Meeting Jan. 20 to discuss policy recommendations, DRAFT MOU between County & District. Submit boundary adjustment to DWR	District Meeting February 17th to discuss policy recommendations, Draft MOU of County and Distict with Coaltion; proposal for mechanism(s) to pay for GSP development		District Meeting March 17th to discuss policy recommendations, Draft MOU between County and District; DRAFT MOU of County and District with Coalition; proposal for mechanism(s) to pay for GSP development	
25						
-	Investment Policy					
26	-					
	Special Assessments / tax bill					
	resolutions-Taussig					
27	T					
28	Town Hall Meeting			March 2016		
	Water Credit Policy			2015- Check if pricing needs to be adjusted (moved to due dilligence)		

	June	July	August	September	October	November	December
	6/15/15: commitment of annual spare capacity due from T2 6/30/15: T2 to fallow 200 acre feet 6/30/15: T2 to pay BWD \$110 per a/f over 800.	7/1/17: establish water budget					12/31/14: T2 to purchase land to fallow 12/31/18 lease expires Send invoice for Spare Capacity
2				2nd half of payments due			
3		1st payment due September 1st			Payment due December 1st.		
1				No. of Street,			
5							
3	Lease expires 6/30/2017						
		-					
7	Agreement expires 6/30/2017	Cost of Water Adjustment each July 1st. With Cameron					
3		Lease contract expires					
9		7/2020 lease expires 7/2017					
10			Annual maintenance contract expires 10/6/16				
11			contact RDS re: contract				rate valid until 12/2015
12			renewal 2015 contact RDS re: contract				rate valid until 12/2015
			renewal 2015		Sec. 21. 15. 18 18		
13							
14						Submit CASGEM	
						water level data	
15					10/1/15 Mail CCR Certification form		
16			in the second	14	Send to County DPLU by 10/31		1
17	Occupancy report due						
18							
19				Annual fallow property check			
20 21			Begin audit	Review of draft audit report			
22							
23		New rates go into effect		March 2015-Identify & Implement Mechansim to pay for GSP costs. March 2016- Update rate structure & water, sewer & WWT rates			
24	1						
25				DRAFT MOU of County and District with Coalition; proposal for mechanism(s) to pay for GSP development			Agree on GSP funding mechanism; start GSP development
26	Investment polices						
20	restated						
27	Special Assessments resolutions due						
28							
29							

2/	1	8	2	0	1	6	6	:1	4	F	۱M	

	С	D	BQ	BR	BS	BT	BU
1	BWD		5/27/2015				CASH FLOW
2	CASH FLOW		ADOPTED	ACTUAL	PROJECTED	ACTUAL	YTD + PROJ MONTHS
3	2015-2016		BUDGET	JANUARY	JAN	YTD	PROJECTED
4			2015-2016	2016	2016	2015-2016	2015-2016
5	REVENUE		2013-2010	2010	2010	2013-2016	2013-2018
6	WATER REVENUE						
7	Residential Water Sales	-	932,150	50,634	58,801	517,870	878,453
8	Commercial Water Sales		128,750	8,187	10,002	72,107	124,660
9	Irrigation Water Sales		143,170	5,134	7,704	82,447	and the same of the same in the same state of th
-	GWM Surcharge						135,363
11			117,420	6,158	6,942	64,875	111,887
			373,890	19,923	22,514	210,028	355,029
12			(9,045)	(900)	(0.004)	(9,473)	0
	Drought Rates-5.5%		(40,781)	(4,952)	(5,621)	(4,952)	(35,161
14		1	1,645,554	90,036	100,341	932,902	1,555,805
15			-				
16			1. 1992 1. 192				
	Readiness Water Charge		1,335,180	111,804	112,880	766,024	1,330,424
19			0	0	0	9,630	9,630
20	Meter Installation		0	0	0	6,876	6,876
22		N I	1,700	0	340	1,700	2,380
23	Backflow Testing/installation	Self Self	6,500	0	6,500	-	6,500
24	Bulk Water Sales		0	0	0	249	249
25	Penalty & Interest Water Collection	of Marth South	9,600	1,311	800	7,887	11,887
26	TOTAL WATER REVENUE:		2,998,534	203,150	220,861	1,708,513	2,906,996
27		Receivables				.,	
28	PROPERTY ASSESSMENTS/AVAILABILITY CHARGES	as of 2/12/16	-		E COLLEGE	10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -
	641500 1% Property Assessments	29,509	64,000	10,235	10,235	36,330	58,254
	641502 Property Assess wtr/swr/fld	49,974	60,000	49,490	49,490	57,307	60,966
	641501 Water avail Standby	43,427	84,000	26,716	26,716	56,358	83,554
	641504 ID 3 Water Standby (La Casa)	16,099	34,000	14,464	14,464	19,269	33,977
-	641503 Pest standby	9,056	17,000	7,044	7,044	10,487	16,397
36		148,065	259,000	107,949	107,949		253,148
37	TOTAL PROPERTI ASSES/AVAIL CHARGES.	140,005	259,000	107,949	107,949	179,752	203,140
38	SEWER SERVICE CHARGES						
38			474 940	44.000	44.070	404 570	170.000
			171,240	14,992	14,270	101,572	172,922
40			39,960	3,700	3,330	24,076	40,726
41			333,900	27,628	27,825	193,245	332,370
45			545,100	46,651	45,425	319,262	546,387
46			<u>e 2019</u>				
47							
51				277	0	1,216	1,216
52	Line Grand Barrison Contraction Contractio	in the second second		0	0	1,000	1,000
56			80	0	16	24	63
57	TOTAL OTHER INCOME:		80	277	16	2,240	2,279
58							
59	TOTAL INCOME:		3,802,713	<u>358,028</u>	374,252	2,240,947	3,739,991
60							
	CASH BASIS ADJUSTMENTS						
	Decrease (Increase) in Accounts Receivable			18,973	0	22.400	22 400
	Construction Meter deposit		-121-01022-			32,480	32,480
				850	0	850	
65			- Statistics	(7,377)	0	(47,209)	(47,209
66				12,447	0	(13,879)	(13,879)
67		2012					
68	TOTAL INCOME RECEIVED:		3,802,713	370,474	374,252	2,227,068	3,726,112

۰.	BV	BW	BX	BY	BZ
1					
2	PROJECTED	PROJECTED	PROJECTED	PROJECTED	PROJECTED
3	FEB	MARCH	APRIL	MAY	JUNE
4	2016	2016	2016	2016	2016
5		<u></u>		2010	2010
6					
7	54,142	56,555	84,844	67,841	97,201
8	9,023	10,208	12,902	10,324	10,096
9	6,663	7,425	12,736	11,672	14,420
10	6,993	7,366	10,876	10,888	10,888
11	22,672	23,874	33,590	31,743	33,122
12					
13	(5,265)	(5,592)	(8,315)	(7,079)	(8,910
14	94,228	99,837	146,632	125,389	156,817
15					
16					
17	112,880	112,880	112,880	112,880	112,880
19	0	0	0	0	0
20	0	0	0	0	0
22	0	340	0	340	0
23	6,500	0	0	0	0
24	0	0	0	0	0
25	800	800	800	800	800
26	214,408	213,857	260,312	239,409	270,497
27					
28					
29	1,107	2,102	18,015	500	200
30	594	693	1,072	1,000	300
32	2,542	3,015	4,363	15,277	2,000
34	151	889	1,046	12,132	490
35	311	416	2,063	2,597	523
36	4,705	7,114	26,558	31,506	3,513
37					
38 39	14,270	14,270	14,270	14,270	14,270
39 40	3,330	3,330	3,330	3,330	3,330
40	27,825	27,825	27,825	27,825	27,825
45	45,425	45,425	45,425	45,425	45,425
46	40,420	40,420	40,420	45,425	40,420
47					
51	0	0	0	0	0
52	0	0	0	0	0
56	3	2	16	2	16
57	3	2	16	2	16
58					
59	264,542	266,398	332,311	316,342	319,451
60					
61					
61	0	0	0	0	0
62 64	U	0	0	U	U
65	0	0	0	0	0
66	0	0	0	0	0
67	J		U	J	U
57	264,542	266,398	332,311	316,342	319,451

_	С	D	BQ	BR	BS	BT	BU
1	BWD		5/27/2015				CASH FLOW
2	CASH FLOW		ADOPTED	ACTUAL	PROJECTED	ACTUAL	YTD + PROJ MONTHS
3	2015-2016		BUDGET	JANUARY	JAN	YTD	PROJECTED
4			2015-2016	2016	2016	2015-2016	2015-2016
69	EXPENSES	A				2010 2010	2010-2010
70							
71			400.000		12 000		
72 73	R & M Buildings & Equipment R & M - WWTP		185,000 132,000	17,475 7,073	15,000 6,000	68,997	168,997
74	Telemetry		10,000	2,372	0,000	30,148 8,082	110,148
75	Trash Removal	-	4,000	287	350	2,069	3,819
76	Vehicle Expense		18,000	1,526	1,500	17,030	24,530
77	Fuel & Oil	1	25,000	338	2,000	13,325	23,325
78	TOTAL MAINTENANCE EXPENSE:		374,000	29,071	24,850	139,651	343,190
79 80	PROFESSIONAL SERVICES EXPENSE		-			-	
81	Tax Accounting (Taussig)	-	3,000	0	0	1,055	2,555
82	Administrative Services (ADP/Bank Fees)	1.1.1.1.1.1	6,000	344	500	3,280	5,780
83	Audit Fees	1.2	14,439	0	0	14,439	14,439
84	Computer billing-TBD		9,900	0	825	3,380	7,505
85	Consulting/Technical/Contract Labor	199	1,200	0	100	50	550
86 87	Engineering District Legal Services		35,000	1,144	3,000	44,834	59,834
87	Testing/lab work		30,000 12,000	1,634 2,035	2,500 1,000	5,603 5,986	18,103 10,986
89	Regulatory Permit Fees		33,000	3,907	1,000	30,336	41,853
90	TOTAL PROFESSIONAL SERVICES EXPENSE:		144,539	9,064	7,925	108,962	161,604
91							
92	INSURANCE/DEBT EXPENSE					- 112-EUS	
93	ACWA Insurance		59,000	0	0	24,670	59,670
94 95	Workers Comp COP 2008 Installment		16,000	0	0	8,303	16,303
96	Viking Ranch Debt Payment	1	254,525 143,312			198,838 71,724	254,525 143,468
97	TOTAL INSURANCE/DEBT EXPENSE:		472,837	0	0	303,534	473,966
98		1			1.4.4.4.4		
99	PERSONNEL EXPENSE	10.00					in a state of the
	Board Meeting Expense (board stipend/board secretary)		16,500	495	1,500	6,245	13,745
	Salaries & Wages (gross) Taxes on Payroll		761,000	65,885	61,750	449,327	763,777
_	Medical Insurance Benefits		20,000 185,000	6,424 19,333	5,000 17,200	13,853 138,810	22,733 207,610
_	Calpers Retirement Benefits	1111	169,200	7,244	8,270	119,058	160,408
	Salaries & Wages contra account		(14,520)	(4,202)	(1,320)	(11,196)	(17,796)
106	Conference/Conventions/Training/Seminars		7,000	700	48	6,373	8,489
107	TOTAL PERSONNEL EXPENSE:		1,144,180	95,880	92,448	722,469	1,158,965
108	OFFICE EXPENSE		_				
	Office Supplies		18,000	1,246	1,500	10,250	17,750
	Office Equipment/ Rental/Maintenance Agreements		25,000	1,810	1,665	15,493	24,545
	Postage & Freight		13,000	3	50	6,116	12,566
113	Taxes on Property		2,500	0	0	2,388	2,388
	Telephone/Answering Service		8,400	789	700	5,186	8,686
_	Dues & Subscriptions	-	3,600	123	248	294	3,083
	Printing, Publications & Notices Uniforms		1,000	0	353	816	1,126
	OSHA Requirements/Emergency preparedness		5,400 4,000	370	450 400	3,104 750	5,354 2,150
	TOTAL OFFICE EXPENSE:		4,000	4,429	5,366	44,396	2,150
120				.,	-,000	. ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	11,041
121	UTILITIES EXPENSE						
	Pumping-Electricity		430,000	23,849	27,000	205,099	351,286
_	Office/Shop Utilities		19,000	1,445	1,543	16,191	22,332
124			7,500	714	625	5,051	8,176
125			456,500	26,008	29,168	226,340	381,793
_	TOTAL EXPENSES:		2,672,956	164,451	159,757	1,545,353	2,597,165
128			-			, .,	
_	CASH BASIS ADJUSTMENTS			A			
130	Decrease (Increase) in Accounts Payable			81,871	0	87,370	87,370
-	Increase (Decrease) in Inventory			6,638	0	22,564	22,564
_	Other Cash Basis Adjustments-Loss on water credit sold				0	•	0
133			-205100-	88,509	0	109,934	109,934
134	TOTAL EXPENSES PAID:		2,672,956	252,960	159,757	1,655,288	2,707,099
130			A.01 2,000	202,300	100,101	1,000,200	2,101,039
	NET CASH FLOW (O&M)		the second se				

_	BV	BW	BX	BY	BZ
1	PROJECTED	PROJECTED	PROJECTED	PROJECTED	PROJECTED
3	FEB	MARCH	APRIL	MAY	JUNE
4	2016	<u>2016</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2016</u>
69					
70					
71					
72	15,000	15,000	15,000	40,000	15,000
73	6,000	56,000	6,000	6,000	6,000
74	850	800	800	800	1,039
75	350	350	350	350	350
76	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500
77	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000
78	25,700	75,650	25,650	50,650	25,889
79					
80					P.A.
81	0	0	0	0	1,500
82	500	500	500	500	500
83	0	0	0	0	000
84	825	825	825	825	825
85	100	100	100	100	100
_					
86	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000
87	2,500	2,500	2,500	2,500	2,500
88	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
89	1,250	4,722	422	3,000	2,123
90	9,175	12,647	8,347	10,925	11,548
91					
92					
93	0	35,000	0	0	0
94	0	4,000	0	0	4,000
95	0	55,688	0	0	0
96	35,872			35,872	
97	35,872	94,688	0	35,872	4,000
98					.,
99					
100	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500
101	61,750	64,650	61,750	63,150	
102					63,150
-	2,390	1,078	1,612	2,200	1,600
103	17,200	17,200	17,200	17,200	0
104	8,270	8,270	8,270	8,270	8,270
105	(1,320)	(1,320)	(1,320)	(1,320)	(1,320
106	790	500	100	600	126
107	90,580	91,878	89,112	91,600	73,326
108					
109					
110	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500
111	1,552	2,000	2,000	1,500	2,000
112	2,100	75	2,100	75	2,100
113	0	0	0	0	0
114	700	700	700	700	700
115	134	200	2,360	50	45
116	94	116	0	0	100
117	450	450	450	450	450
118	250	250	300	300	300
119	6,780	5,291	9,410	4,575	7,195
120	0,100	0,201	5,410	4,515	7,155
121					in a diversity
_	DE EEA	75 677	20.000	22.000	22 000
122	25,554	25,633	30,000	32,000	33,000
123	1,165	1,286	1,079	1,100	1,511
124	625	625	625	625	625
125	27,344	27,544	31,704	33,725	35,136
126					- / V-
127	<u>195,451</u>	<u>307,697</u>	<u>164,222</u>	<u>227,347</u>	<u>157,094</u>
128					
129	X				
130	0	0	0	0	0
131	0	0	0	0	0
_	0	0	0	0	0
127	0				
132		0	0	0	0
133					
133 134		207 007	404.000	003 0 15	
133	<u>195,451</u>	<u>307,697</u>	<u>164,222</u>	<u>227,347</u>	<u>157,094</u>

	С	D	BQ	BR	BS	BT	BU
1	BWD	N. IN SU	5/27/2015	ALC: NOT STOP	1.6.11	1000	CASH FLOW
2	CASH FLOW		ADOPTED	ACTUAL	PROJECTED	ACTUAL	YTD + PROJ MONTHS
3	2015-2016		BUDGET	JANUARY	JAN	YTD	PROJECTED
4			2015-2016	2016	2016	2015-2016	2015-2016
138	NON O & M EXPENSES	COLUMN TO A				1010 1010	2010 2010
-	Water		-				
140			125,000			-	125.000
	Pickup		30,000	THE REAL PROPERTY.		28,784	28,784
	Backhoe		150,000			20,104	150,000
_	ID 5-5, 200 HP		10,000				0
	Pipeline-Bending Elbow Road-Second Half/Circle J pipeline		55,590	7,137		7,137	55,590
	Pump and Cleaning Well ID4-4		70,000	7,107	35,000	7,107	70,000
	Booster Station Motors-Country Club & ID1 station 1 #2 30 hp	10.10.10	8,000		55,000	14.054	14,054
	Air Quality Compliance-Wilcox Well				37,000	14,034	and the second sec
	Sewer		37,000		37,000		37,000
	Sewer WWTP-Portable engine driven trash pump/Backup generator		02.000				
	WWTP-Rehab grit chamber		92,000 6,000		6,000	-	0
	WWTP-Rehab Clarifier/pump/bearings				6,000	-	6,000
			66,500	000		6,709	66,500
	WWTP-Solar Project		205,088	230	0	202,762	202,762
_							
	GWM -legal/Miscprop 1 grant/USGS		60,000	2,419	5,000	45,014	70,014
	District portion of GSP		80,000		8,500	22,351	63,000
	218 Process		110,000		17,000	-	88,000
_	OTHER		_				
	GPS Locating System		12,000		12,000	-	0
	New Computer for server and new Software system		85,500	232	5,720	90,166	93,026
	New Scada System at WWTP/District		<u></u>			11,630	11,630
_	TOTAL NON O&M EXPENSES		<u>1,202,678</u>	<u>10.019</u>	126,220	<u>428.608</u>	<u>1.081.361</u>
195		the second					
196			_				
_	Cash beginning of period		2,611,448	2,888,066	2,888,066	2,852,387	2,852,387
_	Net Cash Flow (O&M)		1,129,758	117,514	214,495	571,780	1,019,013
_	Total Non O&M Expenses		(1,202,678)	(10,019)	(126,220)	(428,608)	(1,081,361)
200	CASH AT END OF PERIOD		2,538,528	2,995,561	2,976,341	2,995,561	2,790,039
201							
202	RESERVES						
203	Debt Reserves		(400,000)	(400,000)	(400,000)	(400,000)	(400,000)
204	Working Capital (4 months)		(900,000)	(900,000)	(900,000)	(900,000)	(900,000)
206	Contingency Reserves (10% O&M)		(270,000)	(270,000)	(270,000)	(270,000)	(270,000)
207	Rate Stabilization Reserves	A States	(480,000)	(480,000)			(480,000)
208	Available for Emergency Reserves		488,528	945,561	926,341	945,561	740,039
209			2,000,000	2,000,000	2,000,000	2,000,000	2,000,000
210			(1,511,472)	(1,054,438)	(506,450)		(1,259,961)
211							(.,,,
212							194
213				ACTUAL	PROJECTE	D	
214			1				1.
	Backflow Testing/installation			0	6.500	Bill BF in Febru	arv
	Non O & M Projects			10,019		Put off projects	
217				10,010	0,0	. at on projects	
218							
219		1		6 1 1 m 1 1			1. A.

	BV	BW	BX	BY	BZ
1					
2	PROJECTED	PROJECTED	PROJECTED	PROJECTED	PROJECTED
3	FEB	MARCH	APRIL	MAY	JUNE
4	2016	2016	2016	2016	2016
138					
139					
140			125,000		
141	S 8				
142					150,000
143					1.00
144	12-	20,590	27,863		
146		35,000		35,000	
147					
149			37,000		
152					
154					
156			6,000		
158		6,500	20,000		33,291
160					
164					
166	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000
167	8,500	8,500	8,500	7,500	7,649
174	17,000	17,000	18,000	18,000	18,000
179					
183					
185	2,860				
193		00 500	0.47.000	05 500	
194	<u>33.360</u>	<u>92.590</u>	<u>247,363</u>	<u>65,500</u>	<u>213.940</u>
195			C Det House L		
196 197	2 005 561	3,031,292	2 907 402	2 949 420	2 944 624
198	2,995,561		2,897,403	2,818,129	2,841,624
199	69,091	(41,299)	168,089	88,995	162,357
200	(33,360) 3,031,292	(92,590) 2,897,403	(247,363) 2,818,129	(65,500) 2,841,624	(213,940) 2,790,039
200	3,031,232	2,037,403	2,010,123	2,041,024	2,750,035
202					
203	(400,000)	(400,000)	(400,000)	(400,000)	(400,000)
204	(900,000)	(900,000)	(900,000)	(900,000)	(900,000)
206	(270,000)	(270,000)	(270,000)	(270,000)	(270,000)
207	(480,000)	(480,000)	(480,000)	(480,000)	(480,000)
208	981,292	847,403	768,129	791,624	740,039
209	2,000,000	2,000,000	2,000,000	2,000,000	2,000,000
210	(401,877)	(575,451)	(1,231,871)	(1,208,376)	(1,259,961)
211					
212	15.00				
213					
214					
215	1				
216					
217					
218					
219		1	111		



BORREGO WATER DISTRICT

	ASSETS:	BALANCE SHEET January 31, 2016 (unaudited)		BALANCE SHEET December 31, 2015 (unaudited)	_	MONTHLY CHANGE (unaudited)
CURRENT ASSETS						
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	2,995,560.87	\$	2,888,065.85	\$	107,495.02
Accounts receivable from water sales and sewer charges	\$	318,164.84	\$	337,138.22		(18,973.38)
Inventory	\$	135,275.16		128,636.99		6,638.17
Prepaid expenses	\$	33,692.09	\$	33,692.09	\$	-
TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS	\$	3,482,692.96	\$	3,387,533.15	\$	95,159.81
RESTRICTED ASSETS						
Debt Service:	See 12 194.					
Deferred amount of COP Refunding	\$	122,550.33		122,550.33		-
Unamortized bond issue costs	\$	85,965.97		85,965.97	\$	-
Viking Ranch Refinance issue costs	\$	56,000.00		56,000.00		
Deferred Outflow of Resources-calPERS	\$	138,759.00	\$	138,759.00		
Total Debt service	<u>\$</u>	403,275.30	\$	403,275.30	\$	
Trust fund:	1.1.1.2					
Investments with fiscal agent -CFD 2007-1	\$	136,930.49	<u>\$</u>	95,525.45		41,405.04
Total Trust fund	<u>\$</u>	136,930.49	\$	95,525.45	\$	41,405.04
TOTAL RESTRICTED ASSETS	\$	540,205.79	\$	498,800.75		
UTILITY PLANT IN SERVICE						
Land	\$	2,321,191.65		2,321,191.65		
Flood Control Facilities	\$	4,319,603.58		4,319,603.58		-
Capital Improvement Projects	\$	574,177.51		566,578.26		7,599.25
Sewer Facilities	\$	5,533,268.63		5,533,268.63	\$	-
Water facilities	\$	10,620,984.07		10,620,984.07		-
Pipelines, wells and tanks	\$ \$	151,699.02		151,699.02		7.00
General facilities	5 \$	1,006,881.13	\$ \$	1,006,881.13		-
Equipment and furniture Vehicles	э \$	323,763.86 591,420.89		323,763.86 591,420.89	\$ \$	10 .
Accumulated depreciation	3 \$	(11,581,213.50)		(11,581,213.50)		-
	<u>φ</u>	(11,301,213.30)	φ	(11,561,215.50)	Տ	
NET UTILITY PLANT IN SERVICE	\$	13,861,776.84	\$	13,854,177.59	э \$	- 7,599.25
OTHER ASSETS						
Water rights -ID4	<u>\$</u>	185,000.00	\$	185,000.00	\$	-
TOTAL OTHER ASSETS	\$	185,000.00	\$	185,000.00		
TOTAL ASSETS	\$	18,069,675.59	\$	17,925,511.49	\$	144,164.10

Balance sheet continued

		BALANCE SHEET January 31, 2016 (unaudited)		BALANCE SHEET December 31, 2015 (unaudited)		MONTHLY CHANGE (unaudited)
La construction de la constructi	IABILITIES:					
CURRENT LIABILITIES PAYABLE FROM CURRENT ASSETS						
Accounts Payable	\$	72,520.42	\$	154,391.52	\$	(81,871.10)
Accrued expenses	\$	113,983.36	\$	113,983.36	\$	
Deposits	<u>\$</u>	23,793.75	\$	22,943.75	\$	850.00
TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES PAYABLE						
FROM CURRENT ASSETS	\$	210,297.53	\$	291,318.63	\$	(81,021.10)
CURRENT LIABILITIES PAYABLE FOM RESTRICTED ASSETS Debt Service:						
Accounts Payable to CFD 2007-1	\$	136,930.49	\$	95,525.45	\$	41,405.04
Tier 2 Rate Refund Payable	<u>\$</u>	124,135.84	\$	131,512.67	\$	(7,376.83)
TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES PAYABLE FROM RESTRICTED ASSETS	\$	261,066.33	\$	227,038.12	\$	34,028.21
LONG TERM LIABILITIES						
2008 Certificates of participation	\$	2,475,000.00	\$	2,475,000.00	\$	
BBVA Compass Bank Loan	\$	1,082,237.81		1,082,237.81		
Net Pension Liability-calPERS	\$	699,055.00		699.055.00		
Deferred Inflow of Resources-calPERS	\$	160,113.00	\$	160,113.00	Ĩ	
TOTAL LONG TERM LIABILITIES	\$	4,416,405.81	\$	4,416,405.81	\$	-
TOTAL LIABILITIES	<u>\$</u>	4,887,769.67	<u>\$</u>	4,934,762.56	\$	(46,992.89)
FUND EQUITY						
Contributed equity	\$	9,611,814.35	<u>\$</u>	9,611,814.35	\$	•
Retained Earnings:						
Unrestricted Reserves/Retained Earnings	<u>\$</u>	3,570,091.57	<u>\$</u>	3,378,934.58	\$	191,156.99
Total retained earnings	<u>\$</u>	3,570,091.57	\$	3,378,934.58	\$	191,156.99
TOTAL FUND EQUITY	\$	13,181,905.92	<u>\$</u>	12,990,748.93	\$	191,156.99
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND FUND EQUITY	\$	18,069,675.59	\$	17,925,511.49	\$	144,164.10



BORREGO WATER DISTRICT

TREASURER'S REPORT JANUARY, 2016

						<u>% of Portfoli</u>	0	1	
	E	Bank	С	Carrying	Fair	Current	Rate of	Maturity	Valuation
	Ba	alance		Value	Value	Actual	Interest		Source
Cash and Cash Equivalents:									
Demand Accounts at WFB/UB/LAIF			1		14				
WFB/UB General Account/Petty Cash	\$ 2,	952,106	\$:	2,893,993	\$ 2,893,993	96.61%	0.00%	N/A	UB
Payroll Account	\$	82,504	\$	80,569	\$ 80,569	2.69%	0.00%	N/A	UB
AIF	\$	20,999	\$	20,999	\$ 20,999	0.70%	0.22%	N/A	LAIF
Total Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$ 3,	055,609	\$ 2	2,995,561	\$ 2,995,561	100.00%]		

Facilities District No. 2007-1

First American Treas Obligation -US BANK	\$ 136,930	\$ 136,930	\$ 136,930
Total Cash,Cash Equivalents & Investments	\$ 3,192,539	\$ 3,132,491	\$ 3,132,491

Cash and investments conform to the District's Investment Policy statement filed with the Board of Directors on June 24, 2015. Cash, investments and future cash flows are sufficient to meet the needs of the District for the next six months. Sources of valuations are Umpqua Bank, Wells Fargo Bank (WFB), LAIF and US Trust Bank.

Kim Pitman, Administration Manager



. .

То:	BWD Board of Directors						
From:	Kim Pitman						
Subject:	Consideration of the Disbursements and Claim Month Ending January, 2016	s Paid					
Vendor d	isbursements paid during this period:		\$	125,309.70			
	<u>Significant items:</u> San Diego Gas & Electric CalPERS Payments Medical Health Benefits		\$ \$ \$	24,598.91 4,883.13 20,734.26			
Capital P	rojects/Fixed Asset Outlays:						
	Pacific Pipe-Circle J pipeline project		\$	5,801.06			
Total Pro	fessional Services for this Period:						
	McDougal, Love, Eckis, Attorneys	Legal-general	\$	1,633.50			
	Downey Brand, Attorneys	GWM	\$	2,369.50			
	Raftelis	Rate Study	\$	5,537.50			
Payroll fo	or this Period:						
	Gross Payroll Employer Payroll Taxes and ADP Fee Total		\$ \$ \$	65,885.42 5,183.36 71,068.78			

BORREGO WATER DISTRICT FOR BOARD CONSIDERATION AND APPROVAL JANUARY 31, 2016

GENERAL ACCOUNT

CHECK#	DATE	PAYEE & DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
30322	02/10/16	U.S.BANK CORPORATE PAYMENT SYS SEE INVOICE FOR DETAILS	
30323	02/10/16	SEE INVOICE FOR DETAILS CB&T ACWA-JPIA	2,428.32
30297	01/26/16	MEDICAL COVERAGE FOR FEBRUARY AFLAC	20,734.26
30306	02/04/16	EMPLOYEE PAID SUPPLEMENTAL INS ALEX SHACHNOWICH	1,834.90
30324	02/10/16	TIER 2 REFUND AMERICAN LINEN INC.	698.24
30325	02/10/16	UNIFORMS FOR CREW AT CONFERENCE	369.81
30326	02/10/16	CONFERENCE CALLS AT&T MOBILITY	54.25
30327	02/10/16	CELL PHONES FOR CREW AT&T-CALNET 2	600.22
30328	02/10/16	PHONES, OFFICE, WWTP, SHOP AUTOMATED WATER TREATMENT	344.49
30329	02/10/16	CALCIUM HYPOCHLORITE TABLETS BORREGO SPRINGS BOTTLED WATER	11,298.12
30330	02/10/16	WATER FOR CREW BUD PEREZ	8.00
30331	02/10/16	REIMBURSE FOR WORK BOOT PUBLIC EMP'S RETIREMENT SYSTEM	86.78
30307	02/04/16	RETIREMENT BENEFITS CHARLES STEIDTMANN	4,883.13
30332	02/10/16	TIER 2 REFUND CMS BUSINESS FORMS, INC.	679.36
30333	02/10/16	WINDOW ENVELOPES CONTRON	558.87
	,,	SCADA SERVICES AT RHWTF SCADA SERVICES AT RHWTF ID4 AND WATER	2,371.91
30334	02/10/16	CORRPRO COMPANIES CATHODIC PROTECTION FOR RAMS HILL #1 RESERVOIR	2,371.91
30298	01/26/16	ANNUAL SERVICE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO PERMIT DEH2015-HUPFP-001989	775.00
30308	02/04/16	2/26/2016 - 2/28/2017 DANA AND PEGGY SKULSKY	292.00
30335	02/10/16	TIER 2 REFUND DE ANZA READY MIX CONCRETE PAD FOR NEW GENERATOR AT THE LIFT STATION (TCS)	52.30
30336	02/10/16	KICKER FOR HYDRANT ON CIRCLE J PIPELINE	1,004.16
30336	02/10/16	JAMES G HORMUTH/DBA TRUE VALUE SEE INVOICE FOR DETAILS	143.55
30299	01/26/16	DOWNEY BRAND	

	FO	BORREGO WATER DISTRICT R BOARD CONSIDERATION AND APPROVAL JANUARY 31, 2016	
CHECK#	DATE	PAYEE & DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
30337	02/10/16		2,369.50
30338	02/10/16	WATER SAMPLES JAN AND FEB EMPIRE SOUTHWEST 350 KW GENERATOR C15 MAINTENAN	2,005.00
30339	02/10/16		2,401.00
30309	02/04/16	MANAGEMENT FEE CLUB CIRCLE JAN HAROLD COHEN TIER 2 REFUND	4,770.00
30340	02/10/16	HIDDEN VALLEY PUMP SYSTEMS INC REPLACE STEP DOWN TRANSFORMER	88.76
30341	02/10/16	AT ID-1 WELL 8 HOME DEPOT CREDIT SERVICES SEE INVOICE FOR DETAILS	615.84 223.19
30310	02/04/16	JACK LAUGHLIN TIER 2 REFUND	49.65
30311	02/04/16		73.08
30342	02/10/16		1,500.00
30312	02/04/16	JOHN DELANEY TIER 2 REFUND	24.36
30313	02/04/16	JOHN RUDDLEY TIER 2 REFUND	3,874.30
30343	02/10/16	KENNY STRICKLAND, INC. FUEL FOR DISTRICT VEHICLES	338.18
30314	02/04/16	LINDA HOPPE TIER 2 REFUND	203.00
30315	02/04/16	LUCY SCHAACK TIER 2 REFUND	43.61
30316	02/04/16	MARGARET LACEY SCHNEIDER TIER 2 REFUND	641.36
30300	01/26/16	MCCALLS METERS, INC 2" METERS INVENTORY	3,537.01
30301	01/26/16	MCDOUGAL LOVE ECKIS PROFESSIONAL SERVICES	1,633.50
30317	02/04/16	MILTON CALDWELL TIER 2 REFUND	122.64
30318	02/04/16	MONICA HERRLIN TIER 2 REFUND	9.28
30344	02/10/16	MRC SMART TECHNOLOGY SOLUTIONS COPIER USAGE	330.74
30345	02/10/16	NAPA AUTO PARTS INC MISC AUTO PARTS	66.42
30302	01/26/16	PACIFIC PIPELINE SUPPLY INC INVENTORY SPARE PARTS PIPELINE IMPROVEMENT MATERIALS	
30346	02/10/16	CIRCLE J PROJECT ID4 PACIFIC PIPELINE SUPPLY INC REPAIR CLAMPS AND FITTINGS FOR SEWER PLANT INVENTORY	5,801.06
30291	01/21/16	1-1/2" BRASS VALVE FOR WWTP CASH	706.70

PAGE

	FOR	BORREGO WATER DISTRICT BOARD CONSIDERATION AND APPROVAL	
		JANUARY 31, 2016	
CHECK#	DATE	PAYEE & DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
20247		REIMBURSE PETTY CASH	300.00
30347	02/10/16	QUILL CORPORATION OFFICE SUPPLIES	345.35
30292	01/21/16		545.55
		PROFESSIONAL SERVICES	
	00/100/00	FINANCIAL PLANNING STUDY	5,537.50
30348	02/10/16	RAMONA DISPOSAL SERVICE TRASH SERVICE OFFICE/SHOP WASTE REMOVAL CLUB CIRCLE	
30319	02/04/16	TRASH REMOVAL WWTP	3,184.50
30319	02/04/16	RICHARD RUSSELL TIER 2 REFUND	86.14
30320	02/04/16	ROBERT PHILLIPS	00.14
		TIER 2 REFUND	581.23
30303	01/26/16	SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC	
20201	00/01/10	ELECTRICITY CHARGES	24,598.91
30321	02/04/16	SEAN TILLEMA TIER 2 REFUND	140 50
30293	01/21/16	STATE WATER RESOURCE CONTROL	149.52
50255	01/21/10	GRADE 3 CERTIFICATION	300.00
30349	02/10/16	STATE WATER RESOURCE CONTROL	
		GRADE 3 EXAM CODY COX	50.00
30294	01/21/16	SUNSET ELECTRIC POWER SERVICE AND REPAIR CLA-VAL	
30304	01/26/16	ID1 -WELL 12 TEMECULA VALLEY PIPE & SUPPLY	1,963.84
30304	01/20/10	WELL 12 AUTOMATICE CONTROL	
		VALVE PARTS	3,868.13
30305	01/26/16		5,000.15
		CA CODE 2016 2ND HALF	52.93
30350	02/10/16	TROY DEPRIEST	
		REIMBURSEMENT FOR D3 EXAM AND	
30351	02/10/16	LICENSE UNDERGROUND SERVICE ALERT	190.00
J0331	02/10/10	DIG ALERTS	9.00
30352	02/10/16	VERIZON WIRELESS	2.00
		EMERGENCY CELL PHONE	114.25
30353	02/10/16	WILLOW INDUSTRIES, LLC	
20005	07/07/76	BIOLOGIC FOR ODOR CONTROL	2,632.55
30296	01/21/16	WQI CEMINAD EOD WACTEWATED TRAININ	700.00
		SEMINAR FOR WASTEWATER TRAININ	700.00
		TOTAL	125,309.70
			============

GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT Accounting-FY 2016 01-5480

	DOWNEY		CONFERENCE/			14.12	WENDY QUINN	MONTHLY	FYE 2016
NONTH	BRAND	UC REGENTS	AT CONF/MEALS	USGS	RAFTELIS	DUDEK	MINUTES	TOTAL	TOTAL
				124-104-1					
Jul-15	534.95	15,000.00	P47396 0.115	S. P. P.	1.5 5.52.			15,534.95	15,534.9
Aug-15			8.31	16 M		21 6 1 6 2		8.31	15,543.2
Sep-15	1,312.50	S	50.36					1,362.86	16,906.1
Oct-15	1,900.67		211.59	4,426.18	C. C. L. S.	1.1		6,538.44	23,444.5
Nov-15	450.00	in the second	6.94		5,375.00	16,976.40	344 199 200	22,808.34	46,252.9
Dec-15	1,462.50		27.96			14,285.00	80.00	15,855.46	62,108.3
Jan-16	2,369.50		49.99		Street with the			2,419.49	64,527.8
Feb-16			2.623.2768		Alter and				
Mar-16		12-26-36		1.00					
Apr-16					2. 28 A 4				
May-16							1.1.1.1.2.1.1.1		3-0-2-2-1-1-
Jun-16	2.2.2	1.001		6		1.19 A. 19			
Total	8,030.12	15,000.00	355.15	4,426.18	5,375.00	31,261.40	80.00	64,527.85	64,527.8

Borrego Water District Management Report – February 2016

By: Jerry Rolwing

FEDERAL LEVEL

No recent activity.

STATE LEVEL

The draft Emergency Regulations for Groundwater Sustainability Plans and Alternatives has been posted on the Department of Water Resources website. The link is: http://www.water.ca.gov/groundwater/sgm/gsp.cfm

COUNTY LEVEL

Work progresses with Department of Planning and Development Services on the creation of a memorandum of understanding as we move towards becoming a Groundwater Sustainability Agency.

I received a call from Sheryl Landrum from the Resource Conservation District of Greater San Diego County (RCD). The RCD helped us out several years ago as we created the Anza Borrego Desert Integrated Regional Water Management Program. Since we were unsuccessful in acquiring grants to build a Plan, this process has been on hold. Ms. Landrum recently read the article published in the San Diego Union-Tribune and has asked what they can do to assist. One area that will need attention as we move forward is the fallowing of farmland. I will keep in contact with the RCD for input on this issue. Our thanks go out Ms. Landrum for the thoughtful consideration.

DISTRICT LEVEL

A new generator was purchased for the sewer left station located on Borrego Valley Road. The existing backup power supply was not adequate to run the pumps in an emergency situation. It is a requirement by the Regional Water Quality Control Board that we have a backup power supply. Permitting has been completed with the San Diego Air Pollution Control District and the new unit arrived on February 18th.

On February 17th and 18th I attended a conference sponsored by the American Groundwater Trust in Ontario, CA. The conference focused on the Sustainable Groundwater Management Act (SGMA), as well as water quality and reports on governmental issues. A report on this conference will follow.



BORREGO WATER DISTRICT

WATER PRODUCTION SUMMARY

JANUARY 2016

	DATE	ID-1	ID-3	ID-4	DISTRICT-WIDE TOTALS
	Jan-14	12.51	7.44	103.25	123.20
	Feb-14	20.59	6.37	93.87	120.83
	Mar-14	38.28	6.90	93.46	138.64
	Apr-14	55.77	8.32	124.43	188.52
	May-14	64.47	8.46	116.31	189.24
	Jun-14	78.14	9.52	123.76	211.42
	Jul-14	100.19	9.13	141.45	250.77
	Aug-14	101.13	9.72	114.76	225.61
	Sep-14	89.33	10.49	142.82	242.64
	Oct-14	99.66	9.71	130.38	239.75
	Nov-14	71.94	10.32	123.00	205.26
	Dec-14	38.95	6.96	95.47	141.38
	Jan-15	32.95	6.38	85.84	125.17
	Feb-15	22.13	6.15	86.06	114.34
	Mar-15	16.78	5.94	86.54	109.26
	Apr-15	32.79	8.30	129.76	170.85
	May-15	29.25	7.28	104.29	140.82
	Jun-15	32.44	9.02	116.67	158.13
	Jul-15	29.94	10.04	108.89	148.87
	Aug-15	28.19	8.51	113.56	150.26
	Sep-15	29.17	9.63	132.98	171.78
	Oct-15	32.88	9.23	117.32	159.43
	Nov-15	25.27	8.24	113.84	147.35
	Dec-15	17.25	7.39	99.01	123.65
	Jan-16	13.70	7.25	72.07	93.02
12 M	o. TOTAL	309.79	96.98	1280.99	1687.76

Totals reflect individual improvement district usage. Interties from ID-3 have been subtracted from well pumpage totals and applied to respective ID's. All figures in Acre Feet of water pumped or recorded on intertie meters.

WATER LOSS SUMMARY (%) PROGRAM DID NOT CALCULATE WATER LOSS FOR JANUARY IN TIME FOR THIS REPORT

DATE	ID-1	ID-3	ID-4	ID-5	DISTRICT-WIDE AVERAGE
Jan-16	8.01	3.31	18.51	N/A	9.94
12 Mo. Average	5.33	1.99	16.32	N/A	7.88



BORREGO WATER DISTRICT

January 2016

WATER OPERATIONS REPORT

WELL	ТҮРЕ	FLOW RATE	STATUS	COMMENT
ID1-8	Production	350	In Use	
ID1-10	Production	300	In Use	
ID1-12	Production	950	In Use	
ID1-16	Production	850	In Use	
Wilcox	Production	150	In Use	Diesel backup well for ID-4
ID4-4	Production	350	In Use	
ID4-11	Production	1000	In Use	Diesel engine drive exercised monthly
ID4-18	Production	250	In Use	22. ⁻
ID5-5	Production	900	In Use	

System Problems: All Production Wells and reservoirs are in operating condition. Layfield is still in the process of completing repair on the 800 Tank.

WASTEWATER OPERATIONS REPORT

Rams Hill Water Reclamation Plant serving ID-1, ID-2 and ID-5 Total Cap. 0.25 MGD (million gallons per day):

Average flow:91,057 (gallons per day)Peak flow:121,702 gpd Friday January 1, 2016

BORREGO WATER DISTRICT Water Production / Use Records ID # 1 Month of January 2016

				Wate	r Productio	n (Acre Feet)			
Date	Well 1	Well 2	Well 8	Well 10	Well 12	Well 16	-Wells1&2	=TotProdn	LessID3&4
			*******		********	********			
JAN'15	0.00	4.02	0.04	0.00	16.31	22.98	4.02	39.33	32.95
			• • • • • • • • • • • •			********			•••••
FEB'15	23.23	4.27	0.06	3.62	14.33	10.27	27.50	28.28	22.13
MAR'15	19.16	14.36	0.02	1.81	14.00	6.89	33.52	22.72	16.78
APR'15	31.57	9.59	0.02	0.00	22.01	19.06	41.16	41.09	32.79
MAY'15	26.99	0.00	4.63	0.00	14.61	17.29	26.99	36.53	29.25
JUN'15	29.81	13.05	0.03	0.26	20.84	20.33	42.86	41.46	32.44
JUL'15	31.62	0.00	0.02	0.00	27.10	12.86	31.62	39.98	29.94
AUG'15	29.12	0.00	8.17	2.56	18.88	7.09	29.12	36.70	28.19
SEP'15	26.32	0.00	17.31	8.03	8.96	4.50	26.32	38.80	29.17
OCT'15	22.39	0.00	0.03	3.93	24.16	13.99	22.39	42.11	32.88
NOV'15	10.12	12.75	0.05	10.48	21.01	1.97	22.87	33.51	25.27
DEC'15	9.77	10.22	0.02	7.65	16.96	0.01	19,99	24.64	17.25
JAN'16	1.88	1.37	1.36	6.34	12.20	1.05	3.25	20.95	13,70

TOTALS	261.98	65.61	31.72	44.68	215.06	115.31	327.59	406.77	309.79

				W	ater Use (A	cre Feet) -				
				Golf	Golf				Water	
Date	Domestic	Irrigat'n	Constrt'n	Course	Spare Cap	ID 3	ID 4	Total	Loss	* Loss

JAN'15	7.85	6.82	0.00	0.00	17.00	6.30	0.00	38.05	1.28	3.25%

FEB'15	7.58	5.30	0.00	0.00	7.72	6.15	0.00	26.75	1.53	5.44%
MAR'15	7.41	6.18	0.00	0.00	3.03	5.94	0.00	22.56	0.16	0.65%
APR'15	9.63	10.38	0.00	0.00	9.29	8.30	0.00	37.60	3.49	8.51%
MAY'15	8.29	9.21	0.00	0.00	9.47	7.28	0.00	34.25	2.28	6.23%
JUN'15	8.72	10.93	0.00	0.00	10.82	9.02	0.00	39.49	1.97	4.76%
JUL'15	10.09	14.86	1.18	0.00	2.47	10.04	0.00	38.64	1.34	3.35%
AUG'15	10.71	13.84	1.16	0.00	0.00	8.51	0.00	34.22	2.48	6.79%
SEP'15	10.22	13.04	1.39	0.00	2.57	9,63	0.00	36.85	1.95	5.02%
OCT 15	10.67	11.10	1.34	0.00	8.19	9.23	0.00	40.53	1.58	3.76%
NOV'15	10.12	8.67	0.91	0.00	4.22	8.24	0.00	32.16	1.35	4.02%
DEC'15	8.03	6.95	0.43	0.00	0.00	7.39	0.00	22.80	1.84	7.49%
JAN'16	7.26	4.29	0.48	0.00	0.00	7.25	0.00	19.28	1.67	8.01%
	•••••		*******							
TOTALS	108.73	114.75	6.89	0.00	57.78	96.98	0.00	385.13	21.64	5.33%

BORREGO WATER DISTRICT Water Production / Use Records ID # 3 Month of January 2016

	La Casa	del Zorro	Deep W	ell Trail /	Others			
	Total A	cre Feet		Acre Feet		Total	Total	Total
Date	Irrigat'n	Domestic	Irrigat'n	Domestic	Total	Irrigat'n	Domestic	Acre Feet
		********					********	
	10							
JAN'15	0.00	2.63	0.11	3,47	3.58	0.11	6.10	6.21

FEB'15	0.00	2.39	0.10	3.37	3.47	0.10	5.76	5.86
MAR'15	0.00	2.26	0.10	3.54	3.64	0.10	5.80	5.90
APR'15	0.00	3.03	0.14	4.98	5.12	0.14	8.01	8.15
MAY'15	0.00	2.46	0.25	4.37	4.62	0.25	6.83	7.08
JUN'15	0.00	3.32	0.24	5.17	5.41	0.24	8.49	8.73
JUL'15	0.00	3.46	0.13	5.93	6.06	0.13	9.39	9.52
AUG'15	0.00	3.43	0.16	5.28	5.44	0.16	8.71	8.87
SEP'15	0.00	3.33	0.14	6.03	6.17	0.14	9.36	9.50
OCT'15	0.00	3.36	0.22	5.49	5.71	0.22	8.85	9.07
NOV'15	0.00	3.10	0.08	4,97	5.05	0.08	8.07	8.15
DEC'15	0.00	2.91	0.07	4.23	4.30	0.07	7.14	7.21
JAN'16	0.00	2.86	0.09	4.06	4.15	0.09	6.92	7.01
TOTALS	0.00	35.91	1.72	57.42	59.14	1.72	93.33	95.05
	********	********		*********			********	

	Water Produced	Water Delivered		
Date	Acre Feet	Acre Feet	Wtr Loss	\$ LOSS

JAN'15	6.38	6.21	0.17	2.66%
FEB'15	6.15	5.86	0.29	4.72
MAR'15	5.94	5.90	0.04	0.67%
APR'15	8.30	8.15	0.15	1.81%
MAY'15	7.28	7.08	0.20	2.75%
JUN'15	9.02	8.73	0.29	3.22%
JUL'15	10.04	9.52	0.52	5.18%
AUG'15	8.51	8.87	36	-4.23
SEP'15	9.63	9.50	0.13	1.35%
OCT'15	9.23	9.07	0.16	1.73%
NOV'15	8.24	8.15	0.09	1.09%
DEC'15	7.39	7.21	0.18	2.44
JAN'16	7.25	7.01	0.24	3.31%
TOTALS	96.98	95.05	1.93	1.99%

BORREGO WATER DISTRICT Water Production / Use Records ID # 4 Month of January 2016

				Wate	r Productio	n (Acre Fee	t)				
Date	Well 2	Well 3	Well 4	Well 5	Well 10	Well 11	Well 18	Wilcox	Well 85	Total	Less ID5
							********		********	********	
JAN'15	0.00	0.00	46.58	5.52	9.21	22.40	2.13	0.00	0.00	85.84	85.84
******											••••
FEB'15	0.00	0.00	45.03	5.35	8.85	24.24	2.59	0.00	0.00	86.06	86.06
MAR'15	0.00	0.00	43.04	5.91	8.26	27.25	2.08	0.00	0.00	86.54	86.54
APR'15	0.00	0.00	52.18	10.61	9.98	53.46	3.53	0.00	0.00	129.76	129.76
MAY'15	0.00	0.00	44.16	9.57	6.91	40.55	3.09	0.01	0.00	104.29	104.29
JUN'15	0.00	0.00	50.06	9.12	8.40	45.42	3.67	0.00	0.00	116.67	116.67
JUL'15	0.00	0.00	40.26	18.80	0.00	46.40	3.43	0.00	0.00	108.89	108.89
AUG'15	0.00	0.00	42.85	10.74	0.00	48.91	3.05	0,01	0.00	113.56	113.56
SEP'15	0.00	0.00	47.84	22.20	0.00	59.16	3.74	0.04	0.00	132.98	132.98
OCT ' 15	0.00	0.00	41.80	20.80	0.00	51.34	3.38	0.00	0.00	117.32	117.32
NOV'15	0.00	0.00	42.96	10.46	0.00	49.35	3.07	0.00	0.00	113.84	113.84
DEC'15	0.00	0.00	44.32	16.53	0.00	35.72	2.44	0.00	0.00	99.01	99.01
JAN'16	0.00	0.00	43.27	12.26	0.00	15.00	1.54	0.00	0.00	72.07	72.07

TOTALS	0.00	0.00	537.77	168.35	42.40	496.80	35.61	0.06	0.00	1280.99	1280.99
		*******					********			*******	

	Water Produced	Water Use			ID 5
Date	Acre Feet	Acre Feet	Wtr Loss	t Loss	Acre Feet

	12.27		112 127		50.5
JAN'15	85.84	66.24	19.60	22.83%	0.00
FEB'15	86,06	69.74	16.32	18.96%	0.00
MAR'15	86.54	73.17	13.37	15.45%	0.00
APR'15	129.76	106.38	23.30	18.02%	0.00
MAY'15	104.29	87.10	17,19	16.48%	0.00
JUN'15	116.67	99.06	17.61	15.09%	0.00
JUL'15	108.89	94.21	14.60	13.48%	0.00
AUG'15	113.56	96.54	17.02	14.99%	0.00
SEP'15	132.98	108.92	24.06	18.09%	0.00
OCT 15	117.32	100.23	17.09	14.57%	0.00
NOV'15	113.84	94.66	19.18	16.85%	0.00
DEC'15	99.01	83.23	15.78	15.94%	0.00
JAN'16	72.07	58.73	13.34	18.51%	0.00
TOTALS	1280.99	1071.97	209.02	16.32%	0.00
	用非非实际的	计计算机 化化化化			

DOWNEYBRAND

Related People

- David R. E. Aladjem
- J. Mark Atlas
- Arielle O. Harris

Related Industries

- Food & Agriculture
- Water Rights & Resources

Related Practices

Water Law

DWR Releases Draft Emergency Regulations for Groundwater Sustainability Plans

February 23, 2016

Last Thursday, the Department of Water Resources ("DWR" or "Department") released draft emergency regulations governing the preparation, evaluation, and implementation of groundwater sustainability plans ("GSPs" or "Plans") as well as coordination agreements among Groundwater Sustainability Agencies ("GSAs"). The Sustainable Groundwater Management Act ("SGMA") directs DWR to adopt final regulations by June 1, 2016.

DWR's timely adoption of these regulations is essential as some of SGMA's key deadlines approach. Once adopted, DWR's regulations will provide the framework for GSAs in developing and implementing GSPs, and the standards that DWR will use in evaluating those GSPs. By January 31, 2020, all high- and medium-priority basins subject to critical conditions of overdraft must be managed under an approved GSP, and all other high- and medium-priority basins must have an approved GSP by January 31, 2022. SGMA requires each GSP to provide "measurable objectives" that will allow the basin to achieve a "sustainability goal in the basin within 20 years of the implementation of the Plan." (Water Code, § 10727.2(b)(1).)

The draft regulations are voluminous (almost 60 pages) and provide a comprehensive set of procedures, technical standards, and substantive mandates concerning GSP preparation. The regulations attempt to both promote groundwater sustainability through local management and also provide a set of statewide standards that all GSAs must apply in managing a given basin and setting numeric targets.

Provisions in the regulations include: the required contents of GSPs; minimum standards for monitoring sites, data and reporting standards; notification procedures; required information concerning basin conditions; criteria for sustainable management of the basin; water budgeting; dispute resolution; annual reporting requirements; requirements for coordination agreements; and the methodology and criteria for GSP alternatives and for adjudicated areas. Key elements of the draft regulations are summarized below.

Minimum Thresholds and Measurable Objectives for Critical Parameters

The draft regulations set forth the formula for developing minimum numeric thresholds for the six critical parameters provided in SGMA: (1) chronic lowering of groundwater levels, (2) reduction in groundwater storage, (3) seawater intrusion, (4) degraded water quality, (5) land subsidence, and (6) depletions of interconnected surface water. Each GSP is required to "include one or more measurable objectives for each critical parameter that has an

established minimum threshold." It is these measureable objectives that must "ensure that the basin is managed to avoid undesirable results within 20 years of Plan implementation." The regulations repeat SGMA's requirement that GSPs provide interim milestones for each measurable objective in increments of 5 years. The selection of quantitative standards by GSAs for their measurable objectives and minimum thresholds is an issue that is likely to lead to controversy. For instance, in areas already suffering from seawater intrusion, it may not be reasonable to maintain or improve existing basin conditions (at least initially), and so a GSA may instead focus on slowing the rate of seawater intrusion. Determining how much is enough will be challenging, and a great deal of uncertainty exists regarding how stakeholders and DWR will evaluate a chosen objective or threshold.

Projects and Management Actions

The draft regulations require each Plan to provide a description of the projects and management actions proposed or approved to meet the Plan's measurable objectives and prevent undesirable results. The regulations also require each Plan to provide "contingency" projects or actions for each measurable objective that will be implemented in the event that groundwater conditions have not adequately responded to other measures or those measures are no longer feasible. Plans must also describe "emergency contingency" projects or actions that will be implemented in the event that groundwater conditions in the basin have passed a minimum threshold or that undesirable results have occurred or are imminent. The "emergency contingency" projects and plans must also be "designed to achieve immediate results such that the Agency is able to demonstrate that the emergency has been abated by or before the next annual report."

With respect to the selection and implementation of projects, in particular emergency contingency projects, the issue of environmental review under the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") may significantly delay a GSA's ability to implement a given project. While SGMA explicitly states that the preparation and adoption of GSPs is not subject to CEQA, no such blanket exemption was provided for proposed projects or management actions provided in a GSP. (Water Code, § 10728.6.) On the other hand, especially in the context of the "emergency contingency" projects, it would seem that the CEQA exemption for emergencies should apply.

Monitoring Network

Under the regulations each GSA must "develop a monitoring network capable of collecting sufficient data to demonstrate short-term, seasonal, and long-term trends in surface and groundwater conditions and [that] yields representative information about changes relative to the minimum thresholds and measurable objectives for the basin." Each GSA may develop its own best management practices ("BMPs") for monitoring, but the regulations provide certain minimum standards in developing those BMPs for each critical parameter. Where a GSA's monitoring network contains data gaps, the regulations require the GSA to describe the steps that will be taken to fill those gaps within the first five years of implementation of the GSP. One of the interesting areas of likely controversy will be GSAs' efforts to use available and new data to interpolate groundwater contours for management purposes. Many basins lack quantitative groundwater models and extensive stratigraphy and lithography; thus, the opportunity for controversy as a GSA extrapolates groundwater contours for management is great.

Evaluation and Assessment

The draft regulations describe the procedures, methodology, and criteria for DWR's evaluation and assessment of GSPs. For example, DWR must provide a minimum of 60 days for public comments on adopted GSPs, prior to DWR issuing its assessment. DWR must evaluate GSPs within two years of the submittal date and issue a written assessment of the Plan, which DWR will post on its website. DWR will evaluate GSPs based on their "substantial compliance" with DWR's regulations and the goals of SGMA. Substantial compliance will be found where:

"the Agency has attempted to comply with [the] regulations in good faith, that the supporting information is sufficiently detailed and the analyses sufficiently thorough and reasonable, in the judgment of the Department, to permit evaluation of the Plan, and the Department determines that any discrepancy would not materially affect the ability of the Agency to achieve the sustainability goal or of the Department to evaluate the likelihood of the Plan to attain the goal." (Section 355.4.)

Certain GSP requirements, however, are mandatory. DWR will make a determination of whether a GSP falls into one of three categories (1) adequate, (2) conditionally adequate, or (3) inadequate. Where a Plan has "minor deficiencies that preclude an adequacy determination, but that could be rectified" by the GSA, it will be considered "conditionally adequate" and the GSA will have up to 180 days in most cases to address the deficiencies. A Plan that does not meet the mandatory criteria for all GSPs, and contains significant deficiencies that cannot be rectified in a timely manner, however, will be deemed inadequate. DWR will review GSPs at least every five years and whenever a Plan is amended.

Once again, we anticipate that there will be a great deal of controversy over the definition of "minor" deficiencies" in a GSP and whether or not those "minor" deficiencies move an otherwise adequate plan into the "conditionally adequate" or "inadequate" categories. While there is likely to be widespread agreement on wholly inadequate plans, those plans are also not likely to occur in basins that are having groundwater management problems. It is much more likely that, for political, financial or geophysical reasons, a GSA may choose to gloss over some of the more difficult management issues in the first iteration of a GSP. If DWR finds those creative ambiguities sufficient to trigger a finding of "inadequacy" this may have the perverse effect or undermining local determination in favor of state intervention.

Comment Period on Draft Regulations

Written comments on the draft regulations are due no later than March 25, 2016. DWR will hold three public meetings, which are tentatively planned for March 21-25, 2016. DWR anticipates presenting final GSP regulations to the California Water Commission for consideration and adoption in Spring 2016. The draft regulations are available on DWR's website at: <u>http://www.water.ca.gov/groundwater/sgm/gsp.cfm.</u>

For more information, please feel free to contact each of us using the contact

-						an land it.					1
	Notes	Per 12-7-2015 CC	Includes everything within data request	RFC's Calculated Revenue in-line with Borrego's budget forecast	Rates will be provided in PowerPoint structure	Final rates for Notice	1 st draft of Report which would be on file in preparation of Prop. 218 Notices	Meets 45-day requirement	Edits will be incorporated, but no substantive changes are expected	Conduct hearing on proposed rates	
Utility Rate Study – Schedule	Milestone	CIP Provided to RFC	All required data provided to RFC to meet Proposed Schedule	Data discrepancies resolved	Preliminary Rates Disseminated	Rates Finalized	Initial Draft Report Disseminated	Prop. 218 Notice Mailed	Receive edits to Report and finalize	Public Hearing	
Borrego Water District - 1	Date	12-11-2015	12-18-2015	1-14-2016	2-29-2016	3-04-2016	3-11-2016	3-11-2016	March 2016	4-27-2016	

20000000000000

	District
(.e.
	Borrego

Well Meter Keads for drought reduction period: June - January Acre Feet 2013-2014	MONTH	Jun	lut	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Totals	2015 - 2016	MONTH II	lun	Jul	Aug		Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Totals	% Reduction over 2 Year Period:
r Kea	ID1-8	6.78	0.02	0.08	н	0.06		0.94		8.88		ID1-8	0.03	0.02	8.17	17.31	0.03	0.05	0.02	1.36	26.99	er 2 Yea
ds tor	ID1-10	0.04	7.16	13.53	10.35	11.21	11.99	7.26	0.09	61.63		ID1-10	0.26		2.56	8.03	3.93	10.48	7.65	6.34	39.25	r Period:
arougn	ID1-12	32.48	34.82	27.61	30.32	29.22	21.04	15.4	19.86	210.75		ID1-12	20.84	27.1	18.88	8.95	24.16	21.01	16.96	12.2	150.1	
It reduc	ID1-16			-						0		ID1-16	20.33	12.86	7.09	16.12	13.99	1.97	0.01	1.05	73.42	lun
	ID4-4	0.88	10.28	3.08		0.09	0.22	15.89	53.25	83.69		ID4-4	50.06	40.26	42.84	47.84	41.8	42.96	44.32	43.27	353.35	Inf
erioa:	ID4-10	9.52	11.12	9.31	9.42	10.69	9.41	9.26	10.82	79.55		ID4-10	8.4								8.4	Aug
- aunr	ID4-11	102.65	114.63	98.37	95.61	113.95	93.97	59.13	29.03	707.34		ID4-11	45.42	46.4	48.91	59.16	51.34	49.35	35.72	15	351.3	Sep
Januar	ID4-18	6.02	0.04	3.03	4.33	4.64	4.29	2.32	3.24	27.91		ID4-18	3.67	3.43	3.05	3.73	3.38	3.07	2.44	1.54	24.31	ot
y Acre	ID5-5	16.22	19.46	11.83	7.6	12.89	10.85	5.63	6.89	91.37		ID5-5	9.12	18.8	18.74	22.2	20.8	18.46	16.53	12.26	136.91	Nov
reet	Wilcox	0.75	0.38		0.34	0.01		0.41	0.02	1.91		Wilcox			0.01	0.04	0		0		0.05	Dec
	Total	175.34	197.91	166.84	158.97	182.76	151.77	116.24	123.2	1273.03		SubTotal	158.13	148.87	150.25	183.38	159.43	147.35	123.65	93.02	1164.08	Jan
					2		2															8 MONTH AVERAGE