

AGENDA
Borrego Water District Board of Directors
Regular Meeting
April 23, 2014 9:00 a.m.
806 Palm Canyon Drive
Borrego Springs, CA 92004

I. OPENING PROCEDURES

- A. Call to Order
- B. Pledge of Allegiance
- C. Roll Call
- D. Approval of Agenda
- E. Approval of Minutes
 - Special meeting of March 18, 2014 (3)
 - Regular meeting of March 26, 2014 (6)
 - Town Hall meeting of March 27, 2014 (9)
 - Comments from Directors and Requests for Future Agenda Items
- F. Comments from Directors and Requests for Future Agenda Items
- G. Comments from the Public and Requests for Future Agenda Items (comments will be limited to 3 minutes)
- H. Correspondence:

II. CURRENT BUSINESS MATTERS

- A. Discussion and possible approval of Genus L.P. request for water credits on Pepper Farm property
- B. Update on Rams Hill well testing and Availability fees
- C. Report by Director Lyle Brecht on Borrego Springs sustainability conference (13)
- D. Discussion and possible approval of David Taussig & Associates annual Consulting Agreement (23)
- E. Continuation of FY 2015 Budget discussion (as needed)
- F. Review of planning calendar (42)

III. STAFF REPORTS

- A. Financial Reports – March 2014 (46)
- B. General Manager / Operations Report
- C. Water and Wastewater Operations Report – March 2014 (60)
- D. Water Production/Use Records – March 2014 (61)
- E. Year to Date Meter Installations (65)
- F. Meter Installation History (66)

IV. ATTORNEY'S REPORT

V. COMMITTEE REPORTS & PROPOSALS:

Ad Hoc Committees

- | | |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------|
| 1. Audit Committee | (M. Brecht, L. Brecht) |
| 2. Due-Diligence | (M. Brecht, L. Brecht) |
| 3. Strategic Planning Committee/IRWM | (Hart, L. Brecht) |
| 4. Executive Committee | (Estep, Hart) |
| 5. Operations & Management Committee | (M. Brecht, Delahay) |
| 6. Parks Committee | (Estep, Hart) |
| 7. Asset Ad Hoc Committee | (Hart, M. Brecht) |
| 8. Personnel Committee | (Hart, M. Brecht) |
| 9. Negotiating Committee | (Hart, Estep) |
| 10. CFD Committee | (Estep, M. Brecht) |

VI. INFORMATION ITEMS

VII. CLOSING PROCEDURE

The next Special Meeting of the Board of Directors is scheduled for May 20, 2014 at the Borrego Water District.
The next Regular Meeting of the Board of Directors is scheduled for May 28, 2014 at the Borrego Water District.

BLANK PAGE

Borrego Water District
MINUTES
Special Meeting of the Board of Directors
Tuesday, March 18, 2014
9:00 AM
806 Palm Canyon Drive
Borrego Springs, CA 92004

I. OPENING PROCEDURES

- A. Call to Order: President Hart called the meeting to order at 9:00 a.m.
- B. Pledge of Allegiance: Those present stood for the Pledge of Allegiance.
- C. Roll Call: Directors: Present: President Hart, Vice-President Lyle Brecht, Secretary/Treasurer Marshal Brecht, Delahay, Estep

 Staff: Jerry Rolwing, General Manager
 Morton Park, McDougal Love Eckis Boehmer & Foley (via teleconference, Item II.J only)
 Wendy Quinn, Recording Secretary

 Public: Joe Tatusko Paul Nordman, Genus L.P.
 Jeannie Beck, *Borrego Sun* Tom Hall
 Jim Engelke John Fortiner
 Dick Walker
- D. Approval of Agenda: ***MSC: L.Brecht/M.Brecht approving the Agenda as written.***
- E. Comments from Directors and Requests for Future Agenda Items: None
- F. Comments from the Public and Requests for Future Agenda Items: None

II. CURRENT BUSINESS MATTERS

A. Discussion and possible action on updating the Borrego Valley Groundwater Management Plan:

- 1. Discussion of stakeholder interview process
 Jerry Rolwing reported that Jan Naragon was currently interviewing stakeholders. Twelve to fifteen have been selected, representing different groups within the community.
- 2. Final review of the agenda for the Town Hall meeting scheduled for March 27th

Mr. Rolwing invited the Board's attention to the tentative Town Hall Meeting Agenda in the Board package. He noted he had been unable to arrange for Jim Bennett to talk about the water credit program. Mr. Rolwing could touch on it in his remarks, but was concerned about doing it justice in a small amount of time. Director Lyle Brecht suggested putting the information on the BWD website.

- 3. Outline for the General Manager presentation of the Town Hall meeting
 After lengthy discussion regarding the outline for Mr. Rolwing's presentation, it was agreed that it would be moved to after the reports by Claudia Faunt and Greg Krzys and followed by Kathy Dice.

B. Discussion and possible approval of Genus L.P. request for water credits on Pepper Farm property: President Hart recommended that the Asset Ad Hoc Committee meet with Paul Nordman and the Genus L.P. principals and develop a proposal for the Board's consideration. Mr. Rolwing will include it on the next Agenda.

C. Discussion and possible approval *RESOLUTION 2014-03-02 OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE BORREGO WATER DISTRICT, SAN DIEGO COUNTY,*****

CALIFORNIA, REMOVING CERTAIN CHARGES FROM COMMUNITY FACILITIES

DISTRICT NO. 2007-1 (Montesoro): Mr. Rolwing explained that the proposed Resolution arose from the agreement with T2 and concerned the Mello-Roos bonds. T2 has purchased the bonds and BWD now needs to remove them from the tax rolls. ***MSC: L.Brecht/M.Brecht adopting Resolution 2014-03-02 of the Board of Directors of the Borrego Water District, San Diego County, California, Removing Certain Charges from Community Facilities District No. 2007-1 (Montesoro).***

D. Discussion and possible action on delegating authority to the General Manager to execute Bill of Sale documents for Water Credit sales: Mr. Rolwing explained that now that the District has 294 County-approved water credits, there is a need for a verification procedure. The County recommends a bill of sale. Currently this would require signature by the President of the Board, but this may not be possible during the summer. Since the procedure and documents have been approved by the Board, President Hart recommended that Mr. Rolwing be authorized to sign. This would apply both to County and BWD credits. ***MSC: L.Brecht/M.Brecht delegating authority to the General Manager to execute Bill of Sale documents for water credit sales.***

E. Report from General Manager on Borrego Valley water usage: Mr. Rolwing invited the Board's attention to the 2013 water usage report, included in the Board package. Water usage in Borrego Valley has declined by 60 percent in the last five years. President Hart asked that those figures which were estimated, such as agricultural property, be designated as estimates; and that the report show which golf courses are metered. Director Delahay requested a copy of the spread sheet showing agricultural water usage.

F. Report from General Manager on District Production Well Water Quality: Mr. Rolwing explained that the District's well water is tested regularly for TDS, nitrates and arsenic. The latest report is available in the District office and on the website.

G. Review of recorded Groundwater Easement regarding Viking Parcel 2: Mr. Rolwing announced that the District now has County-approved water credits available from the fallowing of Viking Parcel 2.

H. Report from General Manager on progress of T2 Agreement implementation: Mr. Rolwing reported that BWD is providing surplus water from the ID-1 system to Rams Hill. T2 has also activated a separate potable water supply, and has revamped the two wells purchased from BWD for golf course irrigation. Dudek Engineering has started pump testing to determine the impact of the two new wells. T2 is seeking sites for at least two more wells, but will have to provide AG-1 water credits and some issues with the County need to be resolved.

I. Discussion of April meetings: Since Mr. Rolwing will be out of town on April 15, the date of the regular workshop meeting, the Board agreed to hold a Special Meeting/budget workshop on April 22.

J. Presentation from Legal Counsel regarding Community Facilities Districts: Morton Park joined the meeting via teleconference at 10:20 a.m. President Hart explained that the District is the administrator for the Rams Hill Mello-Roos bonds, and the Board wants to clarify its responsibilities. Mr. Park explained that the Community Facilities District covers financing for public facilities, infra structure and services through taxes. There is an established formation procedure including adoption of a resolution, a public hearing and a vote. The tax is generally levied with County property taxes.

President Hart pointed out that in BWD's case, the bonds have changed ownership. If the new owner wants to renegotiate terms, what happens? Mr. Park recommended consulting a bond counsel. The parties need to ensure that they are in compliance with the terms of all covenants. If they are not, the District has the right to veto the restructuring. In response to Mr. Rolwing's inquiry, Mr. Park confirmed that U.S. Bank, the original trustee, continues to assume

that role. Mr. Rolwing asked about replenishment of the now depleted reserve fund, and Mr. Park deferred to bond counsel. He explained that the CFD is obligated to pay outstanding debts, but it was his understanding that T2 had agreed to assume them. If T2 wants to resell the bonds, it is in their interest to replenish the reserve fund and pay the debts.

Mr. Rolwing referred to page 3 of Mr. Park's written report, "Issuance and Limitation of Bonds," stating in part the the CFD must determine prior to a bond sale the real property value. Mr. Park explained that an appraiser would be retained, usually by the developer, but the Board would need to confirm that he/she was properly trained and licensed.

K. Discussion of potential agenda items for March 26th board meeting: Items for the next Regular Meeting Agenda will include Genus L.P. water credits and the Town Hall Meeting Agenda.

III. INFORMATIONAL ITEMS

None

IV. CLOSING PROCEDURE

There being no further business, the Board adjourned at 11:15 a.m. The next Regular Meeting of the Board of Directors is scheduled for March 26, 2014, 9:00 a.m. at the Borrego Water District. The Town Hall Meeting is scheduled for March 27, 2014, 4:00 p.m. at the Performing Arts Center, 590 Palm Canyon Drive, Borrego Springs.

**Borrego Water District
MINUTES
Regular Meeting of the Board of Directors
Wednesday, March 26, 2014
9:00 AM
806 Palm Canyon Drive
Borrego Springs, CA 92004**

I. OPENING PROCEDURES

- A. Call to Order: President Hart called the meeting to order at 9:00 a.m.
- B. Pledge of Allegiance: Those present stood for the Pledge of Allegiance.
- C. Roll Call: Directors: Present: President Hart, Vice-President Lyle Brecht,
Secretary/Treasurer Marshal Brecht, Delahay, Estep
Staff: Jerry Rolwing, General Manager
 Kim Pitman, Administration Manager
 Wendy Quinn, Recording Secretary
Public: Jan Naragon Ray Shindler
 Gil Lombard

D. Approval of Agenda: *MSC: L.Brecht/Estep approving the Agenda as written.*

E. Approval of Minutes:

Special meeting of February 18, 2014

MSC: L.Brecht/Estep approving the Minutes of the Special Meeting of February 18, 2014 as written.

Regular meeting of February 26, 2014

MSC: L.Brecht/M.Brecht approving the Minutes of the Regular Meeting of February 26, 2014 as corrected (spelling of Director Marshal Brecht's name corrected on Agenda page 5, Item II.C).

Special meeting of March 6, 2014

MSC: L.Brecht/Estep approving the Minutes of the Special Meeting of March 6, 2014 as written.

F. Comments from Directors and Requests for Future Agenda Items: None

G. Comments from the Public and Requests for Future Agenda Items: None

H. Correspondence: Jerry Rolwing announced that he would report correspondence received recently at the next meeting.

II. CURRENT BUSINESS MATTERS

A. Discussion and possible approval of Genus L.P. request for water credits on Pepper Farm property: President Hart requested that this item be tabled pending further discussions with Genus L.P. owners and receipt of information from the County.

B. Final review of agenda for the Town Hall meeting scheduled for March 27th: Director Lyle Brecht noted that Claudia Faunt's presentation had been lengthened from 15 minutes to 20 minutes. Mr. Rolwing pointed out that Dr. Faunt's and Greg Krzys's presentations had been moved up ahead of his, per the Board's request.

C. Possible approval of a 2 pp. grant application to the County's Neighborhood Reinvestment Program in the amount of \$35,000 to be used with other matching community funds for a one-year bridge funding for continued Borrego Water Coalition administrative costs

until more permanent GWM administrative funding is in place: Director Lyle Brecht explained that the DWR has cut funding to the Borrego Water Coalition, so the BWC is in need of administrative cost coverage. The group would like to continue with its current facilitator and economist, and is requesting that BWD serve as the applicant for the proposed grant application. BWC anticipates a \$35,000 donation from AAWARE, another local organization and hopefully BWD. *A motion was made by Director Lyle Brecht to approve the grant application on the condition that the anticipated funds from other sources are forthcoming.* Mr. Rolwing pointed out that the grant application is actually four pages, and a multitude of e-mails will follow. Supervisor Horn's staff has informed him that the proposed grant funds may not be used for the intended purpose. The County is investigating other funding sources and the possibility of a County-supplied facilitator. Tax money collected by BWD from property owners, not necessarily rate payers, might be contributed.

Ray Shindler noted that some residents have expressed concern regarding the donation by BWD of "public money," as opposed to the private money proposed by AAWARE. Director Lyle Brecht explained that the funds would not go to BWC, but to an intermediary financing agent, perhaps ABF or BWD. He added that the aim of the proposal is to finance the Groundwater Management Plan. *In view of the subsequent discussion, Director Lyle Brecht withdrew his motion.*

D. Review of planning calendar: Director Lyle Brecht offered a couple of questions and a correction to the planning calendar. Kim Pitman suggested expanding it to two pages to facilitate reading.

III. STAFF REPORTS

A. Financial Reports - February 2014: Ms. Pitman explained that the differences between the actuals and projected figures are due to the T2 agreement payments. In response to President Hart, Ms. Pitman reported that Wells Fargo Bank had denied the District's request for a \$1 million line of credit. The bank will get back to us as to how much they are willing to offer.

President Hart inquired about the status of the District's data processing services. Ms. Pitman reported that Data Stream will be closing its doors in a couple of years and is investigating a partnership with a Microsoft-based company which appears to meet all BWD's needs. Ms. Pitman and Director Marshal Brecht plan to attend a seminar in May to learn more.

B. General Manager/Operations Report: Mr. Rolwing invited the Board's attention to the DWR water level data from monitoring wells, attached to his written report.

C. Water and Wastewater Operations Report - February 2014: Mr. Rolwing reported there were some water losses in ID-1 since the former Well 12 agreement had been replaced by the new one.

A new meter replacement program will be included in next year's budget.

D. Water Production/Use Records - February 2014: The Water Production/Use Records were included in the Board package.

IV. ATTORNEY'S REPORT

None

V. COMMITTEE REPORTS & PROPOSALS

Ad Hoc Committees

1. Audit Committee

Director Lyle Brecht asked when completion of this year's audit was expected, noting that last year's was later than anticipated. Ms. Pitman replied that staff had supplied all the necessary information early, and the process should be faster since this is the second year these auditors have served the District. The Committee agreed to meet during the second week of April.

2. Due-Diligence

No report.

3. Strategic Planning Committee/IRWM

President Hart reported that the Committee met with the Borrego Water Coalition.

4. Executive Committee

No report.

5. Operations & Management Committee

President Hart reported that the Committee met this morning and discussed repairing Well 16, which needs general cleaning and maintenance and a new pump. Mr. Rolwing reported that Tandem Solar is working on a proposal to provide power to the wastewater treatment plant.

6. Parks Committee

No report.

7. Asset Ad Hoc Committee

President Hart reported the Committee had been working on water credit issues with Genus L.P. and also with Lane Sharman and Rudy Monica. They are negotiating with the County to obtain Mr. Monica's remaining credits, and Morton Park is assisting.

8. Personnel Committee

No report.

9. Negotiating Committee

President Hart reported that the Committee is working with Lance Lundberg on changes to his agreement with the District.

VI. INFORMATION ITEMS

None

VII. CLOSING PROCEDURE

There being no further business, the Board adjourned at 10:30 a.m. The Town Hall meeting is scheduled for Thursday, March 27, 2014, 4:00 p.m. at the Performing Arts Center. The next Special Meeting/Budget Workshop of the Board of Directors is scheduled for April 22, 2014 at the Borrego Water District. The next Regular Meeting of the Board of Directors is scheduled for April 23, 2014 at the Borrego Water District.

**Borrego Water District
MINUTES
Borrego Water District 2014 Town Hall Meeting
Wednesday, March 27, 2014, 4:00 PM
Borrego Springs Performing Arts Center
590 Palm Canyon Drive
Borrego Springs, CA 92004**

Attendance:

Directors Present: President Hart, Vice-President Lyle Brecht, Secretary/Treasurer
Marshal Brecht, Delahay, Estep

Staff: Jerry Rolwing, General Manager
Greg Holloway, Operations Manager
Kim Pitman, Administration Manager
Jeanne Fredericks, Customer Service Representative
Wendy Quinn, Recording Secretary

Public: Rebecca Falk, Desert Way Realty Claudia Faunt, USGS
Linda Haddock, BSCOC Dennis Bostad
Greg Krzys, USBR Joan Kirk
Richard Gray Jack Laughlin
Marie Caffay Don Goodwin
Joe Tatusko Suzanne Lawrence
Bill Burton Darby Burton
Jim Rickard Doris Laughlin
Jeannie Beck, *Borrego Sun* Ralph Singer, ABF
Claudia Fortiner Carl Martinez
Dennis Jensen Ray Shindler
Gil Lombard John Peterson
Tom Walker Susie Walker
Larry Fine Judy Johnson Martinez

1) **Greetings & Introductions. Beth Hart, President of the Board.** Fred Jee welcomed the attendees and introduced President Hart. President Hart announced that this is the thirteenth annual Town Hall Meeting to update the community on groundwater issues.

2) **Borrego Valley Groundwater Basin Study draft report. Claudia Faunt, PhD, Hydrologist, US Geological Survey [USGS].** Dr. Faunt summarized the USGS Groundwater Basin Study draft report, which shows that pumping exceeds recharge, and the situation will worsen with increased development. She explained that there were two sources used in the recharge, the primary being natural recharge (precipitation) and the secondary irrigation runoff. However, most of this is lost through evaporation.

Dr. Faunt presented slides depicting the declining water level in Borrego Valley, and explained the upper, middle and lower aquifers. We now obtain most of our water from the upper aquifer. The three levels vary in permeability and chemistry. While the middle and lower aquifers may be useable, the necessary treatment is apt to be costly.

The study included predictive simlations: Do nothing, three varying growth scenarios, and total agricultural reduction to reach sustainability. If we do nothing, in 50 years the upper aquifer will be almost completely drained. As the middle and lower

aquifers are tapped, subsidence will become an issue and TDS and nitrates will increase. The water will not run out, but it will become more expensive.

3) Reclamation Basin Study draft report. Greg Krzys, Southern California Area Office, U.S. Department of Interior, Bureau of Reclamation [Reclamation]. Mr. Krzys outlined the Reclamation Basin Study, which began in 2010 and has researched water characteristics and future supply and demand. They assessed existing operations and infrastructure and made recommendations for improvement.

Mr. Krzys explained that regional issues include water supply, demand and storage. Local issues include climate and water supply. Reclamation was investigated from economic and engineering standpoints. The final report should be available by the end of April and will include specific findings.

Mr. Krzys predicted that precipitation will decline and development will increase in this area, but no major changes are expected in the next ten to twenty years. Structural and nonstructural mitigation measures were investigated, including capturing flood water, importation and desalination. The Borrego Water Coalition is working to manage our water supply from within. Mr. Krzys explained that the study focused on importation from Imperial Irrigation District or Coachella Valley, and the necessary structural components. At this point in time it is not feasible unless a water source could be identified for \$78 an acre-foot or less. Desalination, a nonstructural alternative, looks more attractive and is becoming more affordable.

4) 2014 Groundwater Management Plan update process. Jerry Rolwing, General Manager, Borrego Water District [BWD]. Mr. Rolwing opened by asking, "Where do we go from here?" At this point we probably cannot import. Under the State's AB 3030, BWD has a Groundwater Management Plan, or a roadmap for the future. Its plans and objectives seek to address the groundwater overdraft. Community participation is required for grant eligibility. Mr. Rolwing explained that BWD's existing Groundwater Management Plan was adopted in 2002, and included goals and objectives but no timeline or budget. Now that two major studies are nearing completion and the overdraft is continuing, the Plan needs updating. The Borrego Water Coalition has helped with stakeholder support. To retain local control, it is important that the Borrego community reach agreement and develop its own Plan.

5) Borrego Water Coalition plans: who/what & type? Kathy Dice, State Park Superintendent, Anza Borrego Desert State Park. This presentation was cancelled due to Ms. Dice's unfortunate illness.

6) Financial report, Lyle Brecht, Vice President of the Board, BWD. Director Lyle Brecht presented a chart showing BWD's cash and cash equivalents from 2007 through 2014. The balance is positive after a two-year deficit. Another chart compared BWD's water rates to other districts', showing we are in the approximate middle of the range. Director Lyle Brecht explained that BWD has reduced staffing and operations and maintenance costs as well as deferring repairs and replacements. This has generated cash flow and we should be ready to approach the bond markets by 2016-17. He pointed out that if the financial issues are not resolved, they could impact the State Park, the local economy, growth, recreation and water costs.

Director Lyle Brecht explained that the Board is trying to organize users in the Valley and encourage cooperation. Water law attorneys have advised against adjudication. The Borrego Water Coalition was developed to create a plan to manage our basin and recommend financial solutions to do so. If the community can come together and develop a local plan it is hoped that Sacramento will not assume control of Borrego's groundwater. The Coalition will hold a public meeting on May 8 to update the residents on the status of its efforts.

7) Moderated, written questions from the audience for specific presenters. Question: Is anything being done about the dust from fallowed farmlands? Answer: President Hart replied that the District requires mulching or other dust mitigation. Some dust has been coming from Coyote Canyon due to the lack of rainfall.

Question: Should a groundwater quality program be instituted, and if so, how? Answer: Mr. Rolwing explained that such a program is in progress, and the District has applied for a grant to fund it. A required groundwater monitoring program is already in place but needs to be enhanced. This will be part of the revised Groundwater Management Plan.

Question: If the aquifer is to be saved, what is the future of agriculture in the Valley? Answer: Mr. Rolwing replied that the Borrego Water Coalition is addressing this issue, and the agricultural industry is participating. They will need to cut back on water usage, but so will everyone else.

Question: The predicted climate change of two percent seems small, but in fact is it a problem? Answer: Mr. Krzys explained that it would impact precipitation in the Borrego Valley, but there is no prediction as to whether it would be statistically significant.

Question: Rainfall does not contribute much to our recharge, but if we experience global warming, wouldn't that have more impact? Answer: Mr. Krzys raised the question of whether rain could be captured during wet years, and pointed out that most of the places where flood control structures could be located are within the State Park. Dr. Faunt added that flash flood waters might recharge the system, but simulations would be required to analyze it.

Question: Since commercial interests account for 78 percent of local water use, what is their incentive to reduce use in the short term? Answer: President Hart pointed out that in the last couple of years, there has been pressure from Sacramento to conserve water, and many agricultural users are voluntarily taking measures to reduce the overdraft and avoid adjudication.

Question: Is there an economic study regarding future increases in water costs, and if so, what are the findings? Answer: Director Lyle Brecht replied that DWR has funded the services of an economist, and he will present a report to the Borrego Water Coalition at its next meeting.

Question: Since Imperial Irrigation District gets its water from the Colorado River, which has twice the TDS level of Borrego's, does it make sense to import it and then pay to treat it? Answer: Mr. Krzys noted that Reclamation was looking at this issue in their study.

Question: How does the fallowing process work? Who pays for it? Or does someone purchase the land, and if so, who? Answer: President Hart replied that information on this subject is available on the BWD website. There are private

landowners who are willing to exchange agricultural use for water credits. Some credits meet both County and BWD requirements, and these are the most valuable; some meet only BWD requirements. There is an MOU between the County and BWD detailing the arrangement.

Question: Fallowing looks like the only option to save our aquifer. Could the fallowed areas be converted to park land? Answer: President Hart pointed out that tax credits could be available for this, and ABF is trying to raise money to pursue it.

8) Comments from the audience. An attendee who had worked in water resources since 1981 thanked Mr. Krzys and the residents who participated in the Town Hall Meeting.

There being no further comments, the meeting was adjourned at 5:25 p.m.

Sponsored By:

Anza-Borrego Foundation:

Anza-Borrego Foundation (ABF) is the nonprofit cooperating association for Anza-Borrego Desert State Park. We acquire land for conservation in and around the Park, educate the public on its resources, and support research relevant to our region.

For 45 years, we have worked alongside Anza-Borrego Desert State Park to ensure a sustainable desert. We protect the futures of more than 1,500 species of plants and animals, as well as the wilderness experience for the nearly 2 million visitors who enjoy the Park each year.

The mission of ABF is to protect and preserve the natural landscapes, wildlife habitat and cultural heritage of Anza-Borrego Desert State Park for the benefit and enjoyment of present and future generations.

University of California, Irvine:

Since 1965, the University of California, Irvine has combined the strengths of a major research university with the bounty of an incomparable Southern California location. UCI's unyielding commitment to rigorous academics, cutting-edge research, and leadership and character development makes the campus a driving force for innovation and discovery that serves our local, national and global communities in many ways.

Community Contributors:

- Borrego Springs Chamber of Commerce
- Borrego Valley Water District
- Borrego Water Coalition
- The Tubb Canyon Desert Conservancy
- Anza-Borrego Desert Natural History Association
- Borrego Modern
- Borrego Springs Unified School District

ONLY 60 SEATS
RSVP BY MARCH 30
Please register online or call (760) 767-0446 x1003

NATIONAL GEOGRAPHIC

DESTINATION Borrego Springs ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT VISIONEERING SESSION

Opening the Gateway to Exploration, Discovery, and (Economic) Renewal

FRIDAY APRIL 11
8:30am - 5pm

Featuring Keynote Speakers



Jonathan Tourtellot
Founding Director, National Geographic Center for Sustainable Destinations and President, Focus on Places LLC



Travis Huxman
Director, Steele-Burnard Anza-Borrego Desert Research Center and Center for Environmental Biology, UC Irvine

SPONSORS University of California, Irvine | Tubb Canyon Desert Conservancy | Anza-Borrego Foundation | Borrego Water Coalition | Borrego Springs Chamber of Commerce | Borrego Valley Water District | Borrego Springs Unified School District | Borrego Modern

DESTINATION BORREGO SPRINGS: AN ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT VISIONEERING SESSION

“OPENING THE GATEWAY TO EXPLORATION, DISCOVERY, AND ECONOMIC RENEWAL”

FRIDAY, APRIL 11 2014

8:30 am – 5 pm

AGENDA

- 8:30 am – Check In / Registration – Coffee & Refreshments
- 9:00 am – Welcome – Martha Deichler Honorary Mayor Borrego Springs
- 9:15 am – David Garmon / Suzanne Lawrence – Description of the Process / Potential Outcomes
- 9:30 am - **Jonathan Tourtellot** - Founding Director, National Geographic Center for Sustainable Destinations, President, Focus on Places LLC
- 10:30 am – Q & A – Coffee Break
- 11:00 am – **Travis Huxman** – Director, Steele-Burnand Anza Borrego Desert Research Center and Center for Environmental Biology, University California Irvine
- 12:00 pm – Working Lunch – Round table introductions & informal Q & A
- 1:00 pm – Tourtellot / Huxman - Description of the first breakout session
- 1:15 pm – Breakout Session #1 – Existing Community Assets
Breakout Groups: Science, Culture, Education, Exploration, Discovery – Governance, Resource Management, Stewardship - Commerce & Promotion
- 1:45 – Group Share & Discussion
- 2:15 – Tourtellot / Huxman – Description of the second breakout session
- 2:30 – Breakout Session #2 – A Vision for the Future
Breakout Groups: Science, Culture, Education, Exploration, Discovery – Governance, Resource Management, Stewardship - Commerce & Promotion
- 3:00 – Group Share & Discussion
- 3:30 pm – So What Next? Discussion to be lead by Tourtellot & Huxman
- 5:00 – Adjourn

Jonathan Tourtellot is a consultant specializing in sustainable tourism and destination stewardship. He is also a journalist and editor with a focus on travel, geography, and science. Motivated by his desire to encourage protection of distinctive places, Tourtellot originated the concept of geotourism, defined as “tourism that sustains or enhances the geographical character of a place—its environment, culture, aesthetics, heritage, and the well-being of its residents.” He helped the U.S. Travel Association develop the 2002 study Geotourism: The New Trend in Travel, a landmark survey of American traveler behavior and attitudes about issues of sustainability. Tourtellot launched and ran the National Geographic’s Center for Sustainable Destinations (CSD) for nine years. He is the primary author of the Geotourism Charter, a set of stewardship principles adopted by various world destinations from Norway and Guatemala to Portugal’s Douro Valley and the city of Montreal. He initiated and supervises the Destination Stewardship surveys reported annually as the cover story in National Geographic Traveler magazine’s November/December issue. In helping to expand Traveler’s coverage of tourism and destination management, he wrote the magazine’s first two feature stories on the topic, “The Two Faces of Tourism” and “The Tourism Wars,” both winners of the Lowell Thomas award. As geotourism editor for Traveler, he has written on such topics as resort sprawl, nature tourism, heritage travel, and climate change.

Travis Huxman is a Professor of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology at the University of California – Irvine (UCI), and Director of the Center for Environmental Biology and the Steele/Burnand Anza-Borrego Desert Research Center. These two programs focus on connecting the research capacities of UCI to the local communities and engaged stakeholders to collectively solve the environmental, sustainability, and education challenges that face society. Travis is a broadly trained biologist who is interested in the evolution of plant traits and the impacts of climate change on ecosystems. He embraces the interdisciplinary nature of our current grand challenges and works with hydrologists, geologists, engineers, social scientists, and educators to ask questions about ecology and society. Travis received a BS and MS in Biology from California State University, San Bernardino in 1996, a PhD in Biological Sciences from the University of Nevada, Las Vegas in 2000. He was a post-doctoral fellow at the University of Colorado before taking a professorship at the University of Arizona in Tucson in 2001. At UA he was Director of Biosphere 2 & B2 Earthscience, Director of UA Science: Flandrau, the public outreach portal for the College of Science, and co-Director of the Arizona Center for STEM (Science, Technology, Engineering and Math) Teachers, a program focused on K-12 teacher training and retention. Travis has worked in deserts, grasslands, and forests throughout North and South America, studying the physiological underpinnings of plant and ecosystem processes. His current research focuses on understanding water shapes landscapes and influences global climate. How ecosystems use water to acquire and process carbon from the atmosphere feeds back on global change and affects resource availability for society. Some important aspects of this current research include how non-native species invasions affect the relationship between ecosystem carbon balance, water use and climate and how ecological restoration is influenced by careful attention to the mechanisms of species interactions.

The 13 Principles of Geotourism

Commentary ©2013 by Jonathan Tourtellot, originator of the geotourism concept. May be quoted freely with attribution.

What's the thinking behind the principles of the Geotourism Charter?

The National Geographic **Geotourism Charter** (PDF) lists 13 principles. You can think of the principles as guidelines, as stars to steer by. The operational methods for doing so are a matter for stakeholders in each destination to determine, but a recommended first step is to establish a **Geotourism Stewardship Council** (PDF) or equivalent.

Here is the reasoning worked out with various destinations for the geotourism principles and their intended benefits to destination stewardship.

1. Integrity of place: Enhance geographical character by developing and improving it in ways distinctive to the locale, reflective of its natural and cultural heritage, so as to encourage market differentiation and cultural pride.

Comment: *This is the overriding guiding principle, the one by which all activities should be measured. Sense of place manifests itself in numerous ways: When you enter a town or walk down a street, does the architecture suggest what region you are in? Does the landscape? If you enter a hotel lobby or disembark into an airport terminal, can you tell where you are? Does your restaurant menu have regional dishes or drinks? What music is playing in public spaces? Even smells—especially smells!—can evoke a place and create a sense of nostalgia or longing for it years later and far away.*

In destination management, ask, “Are we sustaining or enhancing the character of our place”? This may require some deep thinking about just what the character of the place is, and what you hope it will become. To maximize long-term geotourism appeal, it's generally good to avoid internationally generic types of development or projects that will degrade

sense of place. New projects should have a touch of unique local flavor, providing an experience that can be had only here.

2. International codes: Adhere to the principles embodied in the World Tourism Organization's [Global Code of Ethics for Tourism](#), the Principles of the [Cultural Tourism Charter](#) established by the International Council on Monuments and Sites (ICOMOS), and the [criteria for sustainable destinations](#) put forth by the Global Sustainable Tourism Council.

Comment: *Arrived at by extensive international research and cooperation, these codes and guidelines provide the methods for protecting sensitive sites, destinations, and the people who live and work in them.*

3. Market selectivity: Encourage growth in tourism market segments most likely to appreciate, respect, and disseminate information about the distinctive assets of the locale.

Comment: *Go for appropriate demand: The size of geotourist market segments will vary from one source market to another. The landmark study of U.S. travelers, Geotourism: The New Trend in Travel, established that over 65 million U.S. households for the geotourism profile, roughly half the American traveling households—and significantly, the more affluent half. So tune destination promotion appropriately. Advertising the casinos will attract gamblers. Advertising natural and cultural heritage will attract nature- and history-lovers. Promoting the unique aspects of your place will attract the visitors most likely to appreciate them.*

4. Market diversity: Encourage a full range of appropriate food and lodging facilities, so as to appeal to the entire demographic spectrum of the geotourism market and so maximize economic resiliency over both the short and long term.

Comment: *An easy way to aim for maximum benefit per tourist (and minimal crowding) is to favor high-price experiential and luxury tourism. This is a solid approach, at least in the short term, but it should not be the only approach. Some types of tourism are beneficial for other reasons: diplomatic, educational, philanthropic, volunteer support, and so on.*

International travelers may spend more on average than domestic, but domestic travelers are the ones most likely to vote on public support for historic and natural sites.

Self-catering visitors may spend more with local merchants, for groceries and supplies, than guests in hotels with pre-established supply chains.

The young educated backpacker tourist may spend less per day than a resort tourist, but often stays longer and spends more with local businesses. Most important, travel experiences in youth create the appetite for return visits later in life, with families and fatter wallets.

As for using price to control excess traffic at sensitive sites, this again may be a solid approach, but not the only one. There is danger in letting nature and history become the private property of the rich. Consider a mix of policies for controlling traffic volume—by price, yes, but also by lottery, by quota, by first-come, first-served up to a daily limit, etc.

5. Tourist enthusiasm: Ensure that satisfied, excited geotourists bring new vacation stories home and send friends off to experience the same thing, thus providing continuing demand for the destination.

Comment: *Word of mouth has typically been the way most people decide on a destination. Social media raise its importance exponentially. One way to encourage word of mouth is to delight visitors with experiences they'll want to talk about back home.*

6. Community involvement: Base tourism on community resources to the extent possible, encouraging local small businesses and civic groups to build partnerships to promote and provide a distinctive, honest visitor experience and market their locales effectively. Help businesses develop

approaches to tourism that build on the area's nature, history and culture, including food and drink, artisanry, performance arts, etc.

Comment: *Geotourism depends substantially on active community involvement in creating great travel experiences and benefiting thereby. Local people are the ultimate geotourism asset.*

7. Community benefit: Encourage micro- to medium-size enterprises and tourism business strategies that emphasize economic and social benefits to involved communities, especially poverty alleviation, with clear communication of the destination stewardship policies required to maintain those benefits.

Comment: *The last line of the geotourism definition requires that residents benefit from tourism, and be aware that they do. That means additional employment, additional small business development, and tax benefits that residents can actually see in their bills. It also means additional cultural enrichment, public education, and enhanced community assets. The right amount of tourism patronage—not too much, not too little—can for instance help float small businesses, museums, and performance venues that could not survive on local patronage alone. Public policy should also steer economic and educational benefits to impoverished and underprivileged groups.*

8. Protection and enhancement of destination appeal: Encourage businesses to sustain natural habitats, heritage sites, aesthetic appeal, and local culture. Prevent degradation by keeping volumes of tourists within maximum acceptable limits. Seek business models that can operate profitably within those limits. Use persuasion, incentives, and legal enforcement as needed.

Comment: *In one sense, sustaining the appeal of a place can be compared to sustaining any business: Don't use up your resources (and*

do charge enough to pay for them). But places are not just businesses. They are total experiences that can inspire real love. So in this deeper sense, protecting the various aspects and assets of a place are akin to protecting home and family. Call it brand allegiance or call it quality of life. Either way, the locale should inspire that greatest of geographical compliments, "I love this place!"

- That means protecting natural habitats that provide the locale's characteristic scenery and ecological base for iconic plants and animals.
- It means avoiding incompatible land uses that degrade the visitor experience or the resources on which it is based.
- It means maintaining the historic sites and structures that make visible the unique story of your place.
- It means maintaining and improving distinctive aesthetic appeal, whether rural landscapes, wilderness, or city streetscapes. New construction should best exhibit characteristics reflective of the local area. (An exception would be deliberately iconic and unique architectural wonders such as the Sydney Opera House or the Eiffel Tower, or anything by Frank Gehry. You may or may not like the design, but it isn't generic.) The enemy of geotourism is sameness, especially international sameness.
- It means using tourism to help support and reinvigorate locally distinctive arts, music, and cuisine.
- And it means: Avoid overcrowding, also known as "people pollution." The famed Yogi Berra tautology, "Nobody goes here anymore; it's too crowded" has a moral: Without management, high-quality tourism falls to high-quantity tourism. For businesses, as you approach the Yogi Berra limit, think of growing in quality, not quantity. If self-regulation isn't working at sensitive sites, establish and enforce legal limits. Otherwise, the goose with the golden eggs will be trampled to death. (See also comment on Principle 13.)

9. Land use: Anticipate development pressures and apply techniques to prevent undesired overdevelopment and degradation. Contain resort and vacation-home sprawl, especially on coasts and islands, so as to retain a diversity of natural and scenic environments and ensure continued resident access to waterfronts. Encourage major self-contained tourism attractions, such as large-scale theme parks and

convention centers unrelated to character of place, to be sited in needier locations with no significant ecological, scenic, or cultural assets.

Comment: *Tourism-related sprawl and its accompanying characteristics of environmental impacts, traffic, scenic degradation, and loss of character is a major hazard of resort regions—seacoasts, mountains, and lake country especially. Keeping in mind that the destination as a whole is the authentic tourism product, you are wise to care for it accordingly. Note that real estate values tend to track accordingly. Is there a way to undo damage already done? Of course, but it depends on the situation. Restoration may require nothing more than landscaping and a different coat of paint, or you may need to use dynamite, as a couple of Spanish resorts have done.*

By contrast, manufactured tourism attractions—theme parks, casinos, franchise shopping malls, water parks, etc.—do not generally rely on sense of place, and are therefore best placed where their high economic impact will do the most good, and their heavy scenic and environmental footprint the least harm. Nondescript countryside, semi-arid areas, and depressed sections of urban areas are examples of excellent locations for these. Note that even with manufactured attractions, it is possible and desirable to introduce sense of place through local design elements, music, art, food, and so on.

10. Conservation of resources: Encourage government and businesses to minimize water pollution, solid waste, energy consumption, water usage, landscaping chemicals, and overly bright nighttime lighting. Advertise these measures in a way that attracts the large, environmentally sympathetic tourist market.

Comment: *Environmental responsibility is increasingly demanded by consumers and meeting organizers. It calls for a more proactive response than such low-hanging fruit as linen re-use and low-voltage light bulbs. (Oddly, some hotels shoot themselves in the budget by not even doing that.) Options for action include*

- *readily observable tourism benefits such as support for wildlife habitats,*

- *behind-the-scenes aid for ecosystems that support the food chain and reduce unpleasant, pollution,*
 - *entertaining education for visitors on ecology, on the science of climate change, and on astronomical wonders (dark skies required).*
- Promote to the lucrative tourist market most likely to appreciate these things. (And don't do it with unsightly signage that degrades the scenery.)*

11. Planning: Recognize and respect immediate economic needs without sacrificing long-term character and the geotourism potential of the destination. Where tourism attracts in-migration of workers, develop new communities that themselves constitute a destination enhancement. Strive to diversify the economy and limit population influx to sustainable levels. Adopt public strategies for mitigating practices that are incompatible with geotourism and damaging to the image of the destination.

Comment: *No matter how thoughtful and creative, planning is easy compared to execution. Without support from political and business interests, long-term visions tend to yield to short-term needs and expediency. On the 2004-2010 National Geographic destination stewardship surveys, the higher-scoring destinations tended to have substantial public support for sense of place, and opportunity for public outcry when the vision is violated.*

12. Interactive interpretation: Engage both visitors and hosts in learning about the place. Encourage residents to show off the natural and cultural heritage of their communities, so that tourists gain a richer experience and residents develop pride in their locales.

Comment: *It's said that the best way to learn a subject is to teach it. One of the most rewarding ways for local people to gain appreciation for their own natural and cultural heritage is to present it to visitors, whether as professional guides, docents, students, business proprietors, hospitality workers, or even through chance encounters. For a visitor,*

learning something about the destination from your waiter or hotel maid can enrich the travel experience. For their part, those more-knowledgeable citizens will encourage good care for the locale. And make some money, too. Taxi drivers know that good stories—preferably truthful!—lead to better tips.

13. Evaluation: Establish an evaluation process to be conducted on a regular basis by an independent panel representing all stakeholder interests, and publicize evaluation results.

Comment: *Determining the measures of success is essential to this principle. Sheer number of tourists is the crudest, least meaningful, and most dangerous metric—but also the easiest to obtain. Better is to measure (or estimate) benefit per tourist. Stay-over visitors, for instance, can contribute three to five times as much to the economy as day-trippers. While many less affluent places would obviously gain from more tourists, other places that already have a decent tourist flow should be on guard not to exceed “social carrying capacity,” the point at which crowding begins to detract from the experience—especially crowding by the generally less-beneficial day-trippers. Day-trippers can help a lot where there is little tourism to begin with, but too many can easily lead to discouraging more desirable overnight visitors, as well as decreasing residents’ quality of life. A more constructive approach is to encourage longer stays and repeat visits.*

In tourism, more is not necessarily better. “Better” is better.

From: <http://destinationcenter.org/geotourism/geotourism-principles/the-13-principles-discussed/>

DTA DAVID TAUSSIG & ASSOCIATES

Public Finance and Urban Economics
5000 Birch Street, Suite 6000 Newport Beach, CA 92660
Telephone (949) 955-1500

Letter of Transmittal

Date: 4/9/14

To: Jerry Rowling Company: Borrego Water District Address: 806 Palm Canyon Drive Borrego Springs, CA, 92004		From: Andrea Roess Phone: 949-955-1500 Project #:
Overnight Exp: <input type="checkbox"/>	Fed Ex: <input type="checkbox"/>	1st Class Mail: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Description: Agreement for Consulting Services		Copies: 2

Comment:

Please find enclosed 2 signed copies of the FY 2014-2015 Agreement for Consulting Services for CFD No. 2007-1 and additional levies, including four charges: i) Water availability, ii) ID No. 1 Water, Sewer, and Flood Control, iii) Pest Control, and iv) ID No. 3 Water standby charges and assessments. Once executed, please retain a copy for your records and send the other copy back to our office.

Regards,
Andrea

AGREEMENT FOR CONSULTING SERVICES

THIS AGREEMENT is made and entered into this ___ day of April 2014, by and between Borrego Water District at 806 Palm Canyon Drive, Borrego Springs, CA 92004, herein called "Client," and David Taussig and Associates, Inc. at 5000 Birch Street, Suite 6000, Newport Beach, CA 92660, herein after called "Consultant." The Client and the Consultant in consideration of the mutual promises and conditions herein contained agree as follows.

ARTICLE I **TERM OF CONTRACT**

Section 1.1 This agreement shall become effective on the date stated above and will continue in effect until the earlier of (i) that day when the services provided for herein have been performed or (ii) until terminated as provided in Article 6 below.

ARTICLE II **SERVICES TO BE PERFORMED BY CONSULTANT**

Section 2.1 Consultant agrees to perform the professional services for the Client and to deliver the work products to the Client as described in the Scope of Work statement attached as Exhibit "A" hereto. Such professional services and work products, as from time to time modified in accordance with Section 2.3 hereof, are collectively referred to as the "Consulting Services."

Section 2.2 Consultant will determine the method, details and means of performing the Consulting Services. Consultants may, at Consultants' own expense, employ such assistance as it deems necessary to perform the Consulting Services required by Client under this Agreement. Consultants shall conduct research and arrive at conclusions with respect to their rendition of information, advice, recommendation or counsel independent of the control and direction of the Client, other than normal contract monitoring. All computer software (including without limitation financial models, compilations of formulas and spreadsheet models), inventions, designs, programs, improvements, processes and methods (collectively, the "Proprietary Models") used or developed by DTA in performing its work is proprietary and shall remain property owned solely by, or licensed by a third party to DTA. Client acknowledges and agrees that the consideration paid by Client herein only entitles Client to a license to use the hard copy or electronically transmitted reports generated pursuant to the Consulting Services and that any Proprietary Model that Consultant uses to generate such reports is owned by, or is duly licensed from a third party to Consultant and is not being provided to Client hereunder. Client acknowledges that DTA may have used reports and analyses that DTA authored for other clients as base works or templates for the reports and analyses prepared for Client pursuant to this Agreement, and Client acknowledges and agrees that DTA has the right to use the reports and analyses that it authors pursuant to this Agreement as base works or templates for reports and analyses that DTA authors for DTA's other clients, provided, however that DTA shall

not use any confidential information provided by Client in such future reports and analyses. Client acknowledges and agrees that DTA has spend substantial time and effort in collection and compiling data and information (the "Data Compilations") in connection with the Consulting Services and that such Data Compilations may be used by DTA for its own purposes, including, without limitation, sale or distribution to third parties; provided, however, that DTA will not sell or distribute any of Client's confidential information that may be contained in such Data Compilations, unless such confidential information is used only on an aggregated and anonymous basis.

Section 2.3 Any proposed changes in the Consulting Services hereunder shall be submitted to the other party hereto, and any such changes agreed to by the parties shall be reflected in an amendment to Exhibit "A" in accordance with Section 7.2 hereto.

Section 2.4 Nothing in this Agreement shall give the Consultant possession of authority with respect to any Client decision beyond the rendition of information, advice, recommendation or counsel.

ARTICLE III **COMPENSATION**

Section 3.1 Client agrees to pay Consultant for its Consulting Services a professional fee computed according to the Fee Schedule attached as Exhibit "B" hereto.

Section 3.2 The Client shall reimburse the Consultant for Consultant's out-of-pocket expenses plus a 15% administrative charge. Expenses shall include all actual expenditures made by Consultant in the performance of any Consulting Services undertaken pursuant to the Agreement, including, without limitation, the following expenditures:

- (a) Cost of clerical assistance @ \$35.00 per hour, including typing, collation, printing and copying, plus copier and photography costs, including photographic reproduction of drawings and documents.
- (b) Transportation costs, including mileage for the use of personal automobiles at the prevailing IRS standard rate, rental vehicles, lodging and regularly scheduled commercial airline ticket costs.
- (c) Courier services, facsimile, and telephone expenses.

Section 3.3 On or about the first two weeks of each month during which Consulting Services are rendered hereunder, Consultant shall present to Client an invoice covering the current Consulting Services performed and the reimbursable expenses incurred pursuant to this Agreement and exhibits thereto. Such invoices shall be paid by Client within thirty (30) days of the date of each invoice. A 1.2% charge may be imposed against accounts which are not paid within 30 days of the date of each invoice.

Section 3.4 The maximum total fee amount set forth in Exhibit "B" may be increased as a result of any expansion of the Consulting Services to be rendered hereunder pursuant to Section 2.3 or as provided in Exhibit "A" hereto.

Section 3.5 Records of the Consultant's costs relating to (i) Consulting Services performed under this Agreement and (ii) reimbursable expenses shall be kept and be available to the Client or to Client's authorized representative at reasonable intervals during normal business hours.

ARTICLE IV

OTHER OBLIGATIONS OF CONSULTANT

Section 4.1 Consultant agrees to perform the Consulting Services in accordance with Exhibit "A". Should any errors caused by Consultant's negligence be found in such services or products, Consultant will correct them at no additional charge by revising the work products called for in Exhibit "A" to eliminate the errors.

Section 4.2 Consultant will supply all tools and instrumentalities required to perform the Consulting Services under the Agreement.

Section 4.3 Neither this Agreement nor any duties or obligations under this Agreement may be assigned by Consultant without the prior written consent of Client. However, Consultant may subcontract portions of the work to be performed hereunder to other persons or concerns provided Consultant notifies Client of the name and address of said proposed subcontractor and Client either consents or fails to respond to notification with respect to the use of any particular proposed subcontractor.

Section 4.4 In the performance of its Consulting Service hereunder, Consultant is, and shall be deemed to be for all purposes, an independent contractor (and not an agent, officer, employee or representative of Client) under any and all laws, whether existing or future. Consultant is not authorized to make any representation, contract or commitment on behalf of Client.

Section 4.5 Neither this Agreement, any duties or obligations under this Agreement, nor the intentions or expectations of Client will cause the Consultant to be a "public official" as that term is used in Section 87100 of Title 9 of the California Government Code. Client and Consultant agree that Consultant is not a "public official" or "participating in governmental decision" as those terms are used in Section 87100. The Client and Consultant also agree that no actions and opinions necessary for the performance of duties under the Contract will cause the Consultant to be a "public official" or "participating in a governmental decision" as those terms are used in Section 87100.

ARTICLE V

OTHER OBLIGATIONS OF CLIENT

Section 5.1 Client agrees to comply with all reasonable requests of Consultant and provide access to all documents reasonably necessary to the performance of Consultant's duties under this Agreement with the exception of those documents which Exhibit "A" calls upon the Consultant to prepare.

Section 5.2 Neither this Agreement nor any duties or obligations under this Agreement may be assigned by Client without the prior written consent of Consultant.

Section 5.3 Consultant frequently is retained by developers, landowners, and other persons and concerns interested in development projects which often eventually lead to the preparation on a

contract basis by Consultant of preliminary tax spread models for government agencies to determine tax rates and other matters necessary to accomplish various improvements to realty for financing under a Mello-Roos or other financing programs. In light of the foregoing, Client will determine whether or not it is appropriate to conduct a "significant substantive review" or a "significant intervening substantive review" of Consultant's activities conducted pursuant to this Agreement as such terms are defined in Section 18700(c)h of Title 2 of the California Administrative Code. Should Client elect to conduct such a substantive review, then Client shall determine whether it has sufficient expertise on staff to conduct such a review, and, if not, will retain an independent expert consultant to review Consultant's work. Thereafter, Client shall conduct such review, or cause such independent review to be conducted, prior to the making of any governmental decision relating to the matters contained within the Scope of Work described in Exhibit "A". The parties do not intend and nothing in this Section 5.3 is meant to imply that Consultant is a "public official," "participating in a governmental decision," or has a "financial interest" in the services provided as such terms are used in Section 87100 of Title 9 of the California Governmental Code.

Section 5.4 Client, public agencies, landowners, consultants and other parties dealing with Client or involved in the subject development project referred to in Exhibit "A" will be furnishing to Consultant various data, reports, studies, computer printouts and other information and representations as to the facts involved in the project which Client understands Consultant will be using and relying upon in preparing the reports, studies, computer printouts and other work products called for by Exhibit "A." Consultant shall not be obligated to establish or verify the accuracy of the information furnished by or on behalf of Client, nor shall Consultant be responsible for the impact or effect on its work products of the information furnished by or on behalf of Client, in the event that such information is in error and therefore introduces error into Consultant's work products.

Section 5.5 Client agrees to defend, indemnify and hold Consultant harmless from and against all obligations, losses, liabilities, damages, claims, attachments, executions, demands, actions and/or proceedings (collectively, "Claims") and all costs and expenses in connection therewith, including reasonable attorneys' fees, arising out of or connected with the performance of Consultant's Consulting Services under this Agreement, except as may arise from Consultant's willful misconduct or gross negligence. In that regard, Client will indemnify and hold Consultant harmless from any Claims arising from, growing out of, or in any way resulting from, errors contained in data or information furnished by Client or Client's designee to Consultant for use in carrying out the Consulting Services called for by this agreement. If for any reason the indemnification under this Section 5.5 is unavailable to Consultant or insufficient to hold it harmless, then the Client shall contribute to the amount paid or payable by Consultant as a result of such loss, liability, damage, claim, demand, action or proceeding in such proportion as is appropriate to reflect not only the relative benefits received by the Client on the one hand and Consultant on the other hand but also the relative fault of the Client and Consultant as well as any relevant equitable considerations; provided that Consultant's contribution obligations hereunder shall in no event exceed the amounts received by Consultant under this Agreement.

Section 5.6 In the event that court appearances, testimony or depositions are required of Consultant by Client in connection with the services rendered hereunder, Client shall compensate Consultant at a rate of \$250 per hour and shall reimburse Consultant for out-of-pocket expenses on a cost basis.

ARTICLE VI

TERMINATION OF AGREEMENT

Section 6.1 Either party may terminate or suspend this Agreement upon thirty (30) days written notice. Unless terminated as provided herein, this Agreement shall continue in force until the Consulting Services set forth in Exhibit "A" have been fully and completely performed and all proper invoices have been rendered and paid.

Section 6.2 Should either party default in the performance of this Agreement or materially breach any of its provisions, the other party at its option may terminate this Agreement by giving written notification to the defaulting party. Such termination shall be effective upon receipt by the defaulting party, provided that the defaulting party shall be allowed ten (10) days in which to cure any default following receipt of notice of same.

Section 6.3 The covenants contained in Sections 3.1, 3.2, 4.4, 5.3, 5.4, 5.5, 5.6 and all of Article VII shall survive the termination of this Agreement.

ARTICLE VII GENERAL PROVISIONS

Section 7.1 Any notices to be given hereunder by either party to the other may be effected either by personal delivery in writing or by mail. Mailed notices shall be addressed to the parties at the addresses appearing in the introductory paragraph of this Agreement, but each party may change the address by written notice in accordance with the first sentence of this Section 7.1. Notices delivered personally will be deemed communicated as of actual receipt. Mailed notices will be deemed communicated as of two (2) days after mailing.

Section 7.2 This Agreement and exhibits hereto supersede any and all agreements, either oral or written, between the parties hereto with respect to the rendering of service by Consultant for Client and contains all of the covenants and agreements between the parties with respect to the rendering of such services. Each party to this Agreement acknowledges that no representations, inducements, promises, or agreements, orally or otherwise, have been made by any party, or anyone acting on behalf of any party, which are not embodied herein, and that no other agreement, statement, or promise not contained in this Agreement shall be valid or binding. Any modification of this Agreement (including any exhibit hereto) will be effective if it is in writing and signed by the party against whom it is sought to be enforced.

Section 7.3 If any provision in this Agreement is held by a court of competent jurisdiction to be invalid, void, or unenforceable, the remaining provisions will nevertheless continue in full force without being impaired or invalidated in any way.

Section 7.4 Any controversy between the parties hereto involving the construction or application of any of the terms, covenants, or conditions of this Agreement will, on the written request of one party served on the other, be submitted to binding arbitration in accordance with the commercial rules and regulations of the American Arbitration Association and the provisions of the California Arbitration Act (Sections 1280 through 1294.2 of the California Code of Civil Procedure). The arbitration shall take place in Newport Beach, California, or such other location mutually agreed to by the parties.

The arbitrator(s) shall be selected as follows: In the event that Consultant and Client agree on one arbitrator, the arbitration shall be conducted by such arbitrator. In the event Consultant and Client do not so agree, Consultant and Client shall each select an arbitrator and the two arbitrators so selected shall select the third arbitrator. If there is more than one arbitrator, the arbitrators shall act by majority vote. The parties may propose arbitrators from JAMS, ADR, ARC or any independent arbitrator/neutral for dispute resolution. The parties are not required to hire an AAA arbitrator for resolution of a dispute hereunder.

The decree or judgment of an award rendered by the arbitrator(s) may be entered in any court having jurisdiction thereof.

Section 7.5 The prevailing party in any arbitration or legal action brought by one party against the other and arising out of this Agreement shall be entitled, in addition to any other rights and remedies it may have, to reimbursement for its expenses, including court costs and reasonable attorneys' fees. The non-prevailing party shall be liable, to the extent allowable under law, for all fees and expenses of the arbitrator(s) and all costs of the arbitration.

Section 7.6 This Agreement will be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of California.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, this Agreement has been executed on the date and year first above written.

CONSULTANT:

David Taussig & Associates, Inc.

CLIENT:

Borrego Water District

<http://localhost/resources/Proposals/ADMIN/CALIF/BORREGO.WD/Agreement 13-14.doc>

EXHIBIT A

ANNUAL ADMINISTRATION AND ARBITRAGE CALCULATION SERVICES OF THE BORREGO WATER DISTRICT

SCOPE OF WORK

David Taussig & Associates, Inc. ("Consultant") shall provide financial consulting services to assist the Borrego Water District (the "Client") in the annual administration of CFD No. 2007-1 and additional levies, including the following four charges: **i)** Water Availability, **ii)** ID No. 1 Water, Sewer, and Flood Control, **iii)** Pest Control, and **iv)** ID No. 3 Water standby charges and assessments. The intent of these services shall be to determine the tax rates, to facilitate the collection of the taxes, and to calculate the rebate liability of CFD No. 2007-1 for fiscal year 2014-15. In addition, we have added tasks for the delinquency/foreclosure workout of CFD No. 2007-1 as well as assisting the District and/or its rate consultant regarding the ID No. 1 fixed charge analysis.

PHASE 1: CFD NO. 2007-1 ANNUAL ADMINISTRATION

The specific activities and tasks to be performed under this Scope of Work for CFD No. 2007-1 include the following:

Task 1 Land Use Research

This task involves determining, gathering and organizing the land use data required to apportion and collect special taxes, and includes the following subtasks:

- 1.1 Subdivision Research:** Identify and obtain copies of all final tract or parcel maps recorded within CFD No. 2007-1.
- 1.2 Development Research:** Determine non-residential building permit activity as of January 1, 2012. Identify issuance date, building square footage and situs address for each new commercial building.
- 1.3 Assessor Parcel Research:** Review current Assessor Parcel Maps to compile a list of the Assessor's Parcels which will be valid for fiscal year 2014-15. Determine lot square footage of all parcels.
- 1.4 Database Management:** Create automated parcel database that will include information for all parcels. Data will include Assessor Parcel Number and corresponding tract, lot and unit number, acreage, building square footage, building permit issuance date and situs address.

Task 2 Classification of Property

This task involves application of the Amended and Restated Rate and Method of Apportionment of Special Taxes to determine the appropriate special tax classification for each parcel located in the CFD and includes the following subtasks:

- 2.1 Exempt Property:** Identify all property owned by public agencies or entities otherwise exempt from the special tax and classify as exempt property.
- 2.2 Taxable Property:** Identify all taxable properties and classify each as "Developed Property" or "Undeveloped Property." Assign each "Developed Property" to the appropriate special tax classification.

Task 3 Financial Analysis

This task involves calculating and apportioning the Special Tax Requirement and includes the following subtasks:

- 3.1 Administrative Expense Budget:** Assist Client with the preparation of an administrative expense budget.
- 3.2 Mello-Roos Special Pro Forma:** Prepare pro forma analysis comparing long term special tax revenues to long term financial obligations of CFD No. 2007-1 (i.e., debt service payments, administrative expenses, etc.). Compute the fiscal year 2014-15 special tax rates for all classifications of taxable property.

Task 4 Report Preparation

This task includes the preparation of an Annual Special Tax Report containing the findings of the financial analysis and an explanation of the methodology employed to apportion the special taxes. Included in the report is a list of special tax levy for each Assessor's Parcel.

Task 5 Enrollment of Special Taxes

This task involves submitting the special tax levy on or before August 10, or such other date specified by the County of San Diego to the Auditor-Controller, for inclusion on the consolidated property tax bills. The special tax levy will be submitted on magnetic tape or other media as specified by the County. If direct billing of Undeveloped Property is required, prepare special tax bills for mailing to the owners of Undeveloped Property.

Task 6 Delinquent Property Owner Reporting

This task involves the review and research of County records to determine which parcels are delinquent in the payment of property and special taxes, and includes the following subtasks:

- 6.1 Semi-annual Delinquency Report:** Review special tax payment information from the County of San Diego. Determine which parcels are delinquent and the corresponding amount of delinquent special taxes. Prepare report summarizing the amount of delinquent special taxes.

- 6.2 Collection of Delinquent Special Taxes:** Assist Client with the development of procedures to cure delinquent special taxes. Assist with the preparation of demand letters as necessary.

See Phase 2 for additional Delinquency Management Services.

Task 7 Roll Changes and Adjusted Property Tax Bills

This task involves monitoring any changes to the secured tax roll which necessitate new or adjusted property tax bills. This task includes the calculation of new or adjusted bills and the preparation of requests to the County to prepare such bills.

Task 8 Responses to Property Owner Questions

This task involves the provision of information to individuals and other interested parties regarding the amount and calculation of the special tax.

Task 9 Annual Reporting/Disclosure

This task involves assisting Client meet the annual reporting and special tax disclosure requirements as specified by the Continuing Disclosure Agreement, SB 1464, and the 1992 Mello-Roos Amendment Bill, and includes the following subtasks:

- 9.1 Assist Client in the preparation of the Annual Report required by the Continuing Disclosure Agreement.
- 9.2 Prepare and submit required data to the California Debt and Investment Advisory Commission each October in compliance with Section 53359.5 of the Government Code (as amended by SB 1464).
- 9.3 Assist Client in the preparation of special tax disclosure documents pursuant to Section 1102.6b of the Civil Code and Section 53340.2 of the Government Code (as amended by SB 1464).

Task 10 Meetings

Consultant will schedule up to one formal meeting with Client staff and/or the Board to review the pro forma of Mello-Roos special taxes and the Annual Special Tax Levy Report, including a discussion of the findings of the financial analysis. (This meeting will include a discussion of Phase 3 findings as well.)

Task 11 Rebate Calculation (to be completed by Bond Logistix, LLC)

This task entails the preparation of annual arbitrage rebate calculations, report preparation, and identification of rebate liabilities for CFD No. 2007-1, and includes the following subtasks:

- 11.1 **Background Research:** Review documents pertinent to the bonds including the official statement, bond indenture, arbitrage certificate, IRS form 8038-G, and fiscal agent bond fund/account statements.
- 11.2 **Bond Proceeds Deposit Confirmation:** Reconcile the deposits to the funds and accounts set forth in the bond indenture with the actual deposit of funds reflected by the fiscal agent account statements.
- 11.3 **Bond Yield Confirmation:** Prepare debt service table and calculate the bond yield. The resulting bond yield will be verified with that stated on the arbitrage certificate.
- 11.4 **Calculation of Rebate Liability:** Record all investment activity for the funds and accounts determined to be subject to rebate. Using the bond yield calculated under Task 11.3, compute the allowable arbitrage earnings and compare to the actual investment earnings.
- 11.5 **Adjustments for Rebate Exemptions:** Determine the rebate exemptions applicable to CFD No. 2007-1.
- 11.6 **Rebate Report:** Prepare written report containing the findings of the financial analysis and an explanation of the underlying methodology followed to compute the rebate liability, including a legal opinion.

PHASE 2: CFD NO. 2007-1 DELINQUENCY MANAGEMENT

DTA will provide delinquency management services including, but not limited to, coordination with bond counsel to initiate and monitor foreclosure proceedings, coordination with County to amend and/or strip taxes from the roll, and ongoing manual delinquency research of all parcels in the CFD.

PHASE 3: CFD NO. 2007-1 WORKOUT

DTA will provide special tax consulting services to analyze the restructuring of CFD No. 2007-1. Please note that the formation of a new CFD overlapping all or a portion of existing CFD No. 2007-1 and the refunding of bonds issued by CFD No. 2007-1 would be covered under a separate agreement.

Task 1 Updated Tax Spread Proformas

Prepare updated spread of special taxes (the "Tax Spread"). Calculate special taxes to support proposed financing. DTA may recommend alternative techniques to apportion special taxes to enhance project feasibility.

Task 2 Tax Spread Revisions

Based on input from the Client, prepare revisions to Tax Spread. DTA shall prepare up to a total of ten Tax Spreads, utilizing various financing assumptions.

Task 3 Analyze changes to Rate & Method of Apportionment

DTA shall provide feedback regarding changes to the Rate and Method of Apportionment of Special Tax to accommodate the restricting or the feasibility of establishing a new CFD and RMA.

Task 4 Verbal Consulting Services

Provide verbal consulting services and advice to the Client regarding the restructuring during the period in which Tasks 1 through 3 are being completed.

Task 5 Additional Work

DTA shall perform additional tasks as requested by the District.

PHASE 4: ADDITIONAL LEVIES

The specific activities and tasks to be performed under this Scope of Work for all additional levies, including the following four charges: **i) Water Availability, ii) ID No. 1 Water, Sewer, and Flood Control, iii) Pest Control, and iv) ID No. 3 Water standby charges and assessments**, submitted by the Client include the following:

Task 1 Land Use Research

This task involves determining, gathering and organizing the land use data required to apportion and collect standby charges and assessments, and includes the following subtasks:

- 1.1 Assessor Parcel Research:** DTA will obtain a database of the current valid Assessor Parcels from the County.
- 1.2 Database Management:** Create automated parcel database that will include information for all parcels. Data will include Assessor Parcel Number and corresponding acreage, land use, and other pertinent information.

Task 2 Classification of Property

This task involves determining the appropriate classification for each parcel located in the Water District and includes the following subtasks:

- 2.1 Exempt Property:** Identify all property owned by public agencies or entities otherwise exempt from standby charges and assessments and classify as exempt property.
- 2.2 Taxable Property:** Identify all taxable property and determine standby charges and assessments to apply to each property.

Task 3 Financial Analysis

This task involves obtaining and apportioning the operating budget requirements for the Water District and includes the following subtasks:

- 3.1 Operating Budget Requirements:** Obtain the operating budget for each standby charge and assessment from Client.
- 3.2 Calculate Standby Charge/Assessments:** Compute the fiscal year 2014-15 standby charges and assessments for taxable property.

Task 4 Summary Memo

This task includes the preparation of a summary memo. Included with the memo is a list of levies for each Assessor's Parcel.

Task 5 Enrollment of Taxes

This task involves submitting the standby charges and assessments on or before August 10, or such other date specified by the County of San Diego to the Auditor-Controller, for inclusion on the consolidated property tax bills. The tax levy will be submitted on magnetic tape or other media as specified by the County. If direct billing of property is required, prepare tax bills for mailing to the property owners.

Task 6 Roll Changes and Adjusted Property Tax Bills

This task involves monitoring any changes to the secured tax roll which necessitate new or adjusted property tax bills. This task includes the calculation of new or adjusted bills and the preparation of requests to the County to prepare such bills.

Task 7 Responses to Property Owner Questions

This task involves the provision of information to individuals and other interested parties regarding the amount and calculation of the standby charges and assessments.

Task 8 Meetings

Meetings are included under Phase 1 Scope of Work.

PHASE 5: ID NO. 1 FIXED CHARGE ANALYSIS

Task 1 Assist District and Consultant

DTA will assist the District and its rate consultant regarding the fixed charge analysis for ID No. 1. DTA can provide prior year administration reports to the consultant as well as any relevant parcel data that we may have from prior year County assessor's rolls or assessor maps. In addition, DTA can participate on conference calls with the rate consultant if needed.

Task 2 Additional Work

DTA shall perform additional tasks as requested by the District.

EXHIBIT B

**ANNUAL ADMINISTRATION AND
ARBITRAGE CALCULATION SERVICES
OF THE BORREGO WATER DISTRICT**

BUDGET

The proposed annual budget for each Phase in the Scope of Work is time and materials, not to exceed the amounts shown in the table below:

Phase	Budget
Phase 1 – CFD No. 2007-1 Annual Administration (Tasks 1 through 10)	\$12,000
Phase 2 – CFD No. 2007-1 Delinquency Management	\$17,500
Phase 3 – CFD No. 2007-1 Workout	Time & Materials
Phase 4 – Additional Levies	\$5,000
Phase 5 – ID No. 1 Fixed Charge Analysis	Time & Materials

The budgets shown above represent maximum amounts not to be exceeded for each Phase, other than for Phases 3 and 5 which shall be billed on a time and materials basis, subject to the limitations identified below, unless otherwise agreed upon by the Borrego Water District (the "Client"). The proposed annual budget for Task 11 of Phase 1 is explained in more detail in Exhibit C.

Consultant shall charge the following hourly rates for services related to the Scope of Work.

Managing Director	\$210/Hour
Vice President	\$200/Hour
Manager	\$185/Hour
Senior Associate	\$165/Hour
Associate	\$145/Hour
Senior Analyst	\$135/Hour
Analyst	\$130/Hour
Research Assistant	\$105/Hour

Monthly progress payments will be made by Client upon presentation of invoice by Consultant providing details of services rendered and expenses incurred. At Client's request services in addition to those identified in the Scope of Work may be provided if the total fee to complete the Tasks selected is less than the associated budget. Alternatively, if the selected Tasks can be completed for less than the amount budgeted, only the hours actually expended will be billed.

In addition to fees for services, Client will reimburse Consultant for travel, photocopying, database services or materials, facsimile and telephone calls, clerical services, and other out-of-pocket expenses, in an amount not to exceed \$1,000 annually.

LIMITATIONS

Additional services other than those necessary to amend errors on the part of Consultant are not covered by the budgets listed above. As for Task 8 for CFD No. 2007-1 and Task 7 for standby charges and other assessments, detailed written responses or formal meetings with property owners to resolve disputes will be classified as Additional Work and billed at hourly rates listed above.

EXHIBIT C

**MELLO-ROOS SPECIAL TAX ADMINISTRATION AND
ARBITRAGE CALCULATION SERVICES
COMMUNITY FACILITIES DISTRICT NO. 2007-1
OF THE BORREGO WATER DISTRICT**

ARBITRAGE REBATE COMPLIANCE SERVICES

This letter is to confirm the engagement of David Taussig & Associates, Inc. ("DTA") by the Borrego Water District (the "Issuer") for the purpose of performing calculations relating to the rebate requirements contained in Section 148(f) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (the "Code"). The calculations are to be performed with respect to the tax exempt obligation issues listed on Schedule A hereto (the "Bonds"), applying applicable federal tax rules.

The Issuer, by acknowledging this letter, consents to the engagement by DTA of Bond Logistix, LLP ("Bond Logistix") to provide certain legal services to assist in determining the amount of rebate liability with respect to the Bonds. The agreement between DTA and Bond Logistix which sets out the services to be provided by each is available upon request. While the Issuer may rely on the legal services performed by Bond Logistix, Bond Logistix will have no attorney-client relationship with the Issuer by virtue of the agreement, this letter, or such services.

The fee with respect to each report and opinion required by the Issuer is as set forth on Schedule B hereto. Additional Bond issues may be added, from time to time, to Schedule A hereto by the Issuer and DTA provided that the prior consent of Bond Logistix is obtained by DTA.

If this letter agreement is satisfactory, please have an authorized official acknowledge below and return one copy to the undersigned.

Very truly yours,

DAVID TAUSSIG & ASSOCIATES, INC.

By: _____
David Taussig, President

Acknowledged:
BORREGO WATER DISTRICT

By: _____

Title: _____

Date: _____

SCHEDULE A

1. \$9,530,000 Borrego Water District Community Facilities District No. 2007-1
2007 Special Tax Bonds (San Diego County, California)

SCHEDULE B

Arbitrage Rebate Compliance Services

FEE SCHEDULE

The proposed budget for Task 11 is a flat fee of \$2,750 per bond issue for the initial calculation. The annual fees for subsequent years will be \$2,250 per bond issue per year; note, additional fees will be incurred for transferred proceeds analysis, final or five year report, or computation periods in excess of twelve months.

<http://localhost/resources/Proposals/ADMIN/CALIF/BORREGO.WD/Agreement 14-15.doc>

Contract / Project	January	February	March	April	May
Payments					
Viking - payment due on 5th anniversary					
T2 Borrego	1/1/15: Pay spare cost in advance		2/27/14 Resolution for removal of past due avail. Fees.		5/1/14 Notice of 2014/2015 space capacity due
Airport Access Permits					
P & I Payment for ID4 COP's			1st half of payments due		
CONTRACTS					
American Red Cross-can cancel any time for any reason					
Club Circle (Cameron)		option to renew lease by 2/28/2015			
Green Desert Landscape		discuss w/ Bob the option of continuing with contract 2/28/2015			
JC Labs	1/15/2015 agreement expires/thereafter month to month.				
Konika Minolta					
Secap - postage machine					
Ramona Disposal - Club Circle				Rate guarantee expires 4/2014 Contract expires 4/2015	
REPORTS					
CCR					5/1/14 Send draft report to Alan Tell
Cameron Bros. Water Usage Report (golf course) to county					
Santago Estate					
Annual EAR Report (CDHS)			Due 3/31 for previous year		
ADMINISTRATIVE					
Budget			Pump test	CIP meeting, draft budget document	Final Budget document
Investment Policy					
Rates			218 process spring 2015		
Special Assessments / tax bill resolutions-Taussig					
Town Hall Meeting			3/27/2014		

June	July	August	September	October	November	December
	7/8/2017 payment due					
6/15/14: commitment of annual space capacity due from T2 6/30/15: T2 to fallow 200 acre feet 6/30/15: T2 to pay BWD \$110 per a/f over 800	7/1/17: establish water budget					12/31/14: T2 to purchase land to fallow 12/31/18 lease expires
Annual Renewal Due						
			2nd half of payments due			
Lease expires 6/30/2015						
Agreement expires 6/30/2015	Cost of Water Adjustment each July 1st With Cameron					
	Lease contract expires 7/2015					
6/1/2017 send letter of cancellation if desired	lease expires 7/2017					
Deliver CCR Reports by 7/1/14				10/1/14 Mail CCR Certification form		
				Send to County DPLU by 10/31		
Occupancy report due						
Investment polices restated						
Special Assessments resolutions due						

BLANK PAGE

BLANK PAGE

	C	D	AP	AQ	AR	AS
1	BWD CASH FLOW					
2	2013-2014		ADOPTED	ACTUAL	PROJECTED	ACTUAL
3			BUDGET	MARCH	MARCH	YTD
4			2013-2014	2014	2014	2013-2014
5	REVENUE					
6	WATER REVENUE					
7	Residential Water Sales		822,850	53,642	49,744	601,075
8	Commercial Water Sales		114,404	9,276	8,624	83,208
9	Irrigation Water Sales		145,635	6,270	5,483	101,794
10	GWM Surcharge		102,709	6,648	6,202	79,030
11	Water Sales Power Portion		336,908	20,789	20,550	248,752
12	Readiness Water Charge		1,082,452	91,384	93,000	823,678
13	Readiness Water Charge - Liened properties		41,000	1,635	2,000	16,348
14	RH Golf Course surplus capacity lease			(4,720)	0	67,618
15	Meter Installation		0	0	0	5,809
16	Water hook-up charge		0	0	0	-
17	Reconnect Fees		6,800	1,360	340	5,100
18	Backflow Testing/installation		4,575	50	0	4,600
19	Bulk Water Sales		6,235	108	343	1,429
20	Penalty & Interest Water Collection		12,197	(2,291)	1,197	(377)
21	TOTAL WATER REVENUE:		2,675,765	184,151	187,484	2,038,064
22		Receivables				
23	PROPERTY ASSESSMENTS/AVAILABILITY CHARGES	as of 04/08/14				
24	641500 1% Property Assessments	24,800	64,388	1,610	1,610	39,487
25	641502 Property Assess wtr/swr/fltd (679 parcels \$66 ea(44,814))	0	25,369	561	561	18,244
26	641502 Prop Assess. (as of 04/08/14)	394,060	0	0	0	-
27	641501 Water avail Standby	38,713	89,038	3,044	3,044	62,312
28	641504 ID 3 Water Standby (361 parcels \$37.70 parcel (13,609.7))	15,448	0	0	0	-
29	641504 ID 3 Water Standby (La Casa)	17,695	35,165	584	584	19,939
30	641503 Pest standby	25,527	15,474	394	394	9,833
31	TOTAL PROPERTY ASSES/AVAIL CHARGES:	500,795	229,434	6,193	6,193	149,815
32						
33	SEWER SERVICE CHARGES					
34	Town Center Sewer Holder's Fees		168,000	14,274	14,000	128,463
35	Town Center Sewer User Fees		37,920	3,170	3,160	28,510
36	Sewer user Fees		300,300	25,201	25,025	222,190
37	Sewer-liened		3,216	148	268	1,567
38	Penalty Interest-Sewer		1,200	(165)	100	(111)
39	Sewer Capacity Fees		0	0	0	-
40	TOTAL SEWER SERVICE CHARGES:		510,636	42,628	42,553	380,620
41						
42	OTHER INCOME					
44	Annexation Fees		0	0	0	-
45	Fire Hydrant Installation		0	0	0	-
46	Miscellaneous Income (net csd fee/JPIA rebate/check free)		10,320	79	120	28,501
47	Administrative Fee-Water Credits		0	0	0	5,500
51	Interest Income		187	2	2	47
52	TOTAL OTHER INCOME:		10,507	80	122	34,048
53						
54	TOTAL INCOME:		3,426,341	233,051	236,352	2,602,548
55						
56	CASH BASIS ADJUSTMENTS					
57	Decrease (Increase) in Accounts Receivable			43,832		55,014
58	CC Golf Equipment receivable		2,270	189	189	1,702
59	Other Cash Basis Adjustments-loss on water credits sold			2,750	0	(10,322)
60	TOTAL CASH BASIS ADJUSTMENTS:		2,270	46,771	189	46,395
61						
62	TOTAL INCOME RECEIVED:		3,428,611	279,823	236,541	2,648,942

	AT	BA	BB	BC
1				
2	YTD + PROJ MONTHS>>	PROJECTED	PROJECTED	PROJECTED
3	PROJECTED	APRIL	MAY	JUNE
4	2013-2014	2014	2014	2014
5				
6				
7	840,498	75,020	76,538	87,865
8	112,508	10,150	9,989	9,160
9	133,413	9,071	10,538	12,010
10	107,149	9,235	9,492	9,392
11	338,086	30,478	28,802	30,053
12	1,102,678	93,000	93,000	93,000
13	22,348	2,000	2,000	2,000
14	67,618	0	0	0
15	5,809	0	0	0
16	-	0	0	0
17	6,120	340	340	340
18	4,600	0	0	0
19	3,680	482	970	799
20	2,623	1,127	873	1,000
21	2,747,130	230,905	232,542	245,619
22				
23				
24	63,597	14,962	7,882	1,267
25	25,699	1,188	5,340	927
26	-	0	0	
27	84,405	3,605	14,332	4,156
28	-		0	0
29	24,407	1,724	2,254	490
30	14,851	1,897	2,597	523
31	212,959	23,377	32,405	7,362
32				
33				
34	170,463	14,000	14,000	14,000
35	37,990	3,160	3,160	3,160
36	299,790	25,025	25,025	27,550
37	2,371	268	268	268
38	189	100	100	100
39	-	0	0	0
40	510,804	42,553	42,553	45,078
41				
42				
44	-	0	0	0
45	-	0	0	0
46	28,741	80	80	80
47	5,500	0	0	0
51	79	15	2	15
52	34,320	95	82	95
53				
54	3,505,213	296,929	307,582	298,154
55				
56				
57	55,014			
58	2,270	189	189	189
59	(10,322)	0	0	0
60	46,962	189	189	189
61				
62	3,552,175	297,118	307,771	298,343

	C	D	AP	AQ	AR	AS
1	BWD CASH FLOW					
2	2013-2014		ADOPTED	ACTUAL	PROJECTED	ACTUAL
3			BUDGET	MARCH	MARCH	YTD
4			2013-2014	2014	2014	2013-2014
63	EXPENSES					
64						
65	MAINTENANCE EXPENSE					
66	R & M Buildings & Equipment		130,000	23,972	10,800	114,503
67	R & M - WWTP		40,000	2,367	3,334	57,869
68	Telemetry		10,000	0	2,000	6,944
69	Trash Removal		3,655	287	287	2,583
70	Vehicle Expense		18,000	2,345	1,500	10,775
71	Fuel & Oil		33,000	3,494	2,750	23,333
72	TOTAL MAINTENANCE EXPENSE:		234,655	32,465	20,671	216,006
73						
74	PROFESSIONAL SERVICES EXPENSE					
75	Accounting (Taussig/Raftelis)		1,500	0	0	1,667
76	Administrative Services (ADP/Bank Fees)		6,000	1,575	500	14,524
77	Audit Fees		14,439	0	0	14,439
78	Computer billing		9,000	0	750	4,429
79	Consulting/Technical		200	0	0	-
80	Engineering		25,200	1,500	2,100	6,215
81	Legal Services		15,000	5,456	1,000	38,684
82	Testing/lab work		18,000	1,735	1,200	15,010
83	Regulatory Permit Fees		42,000	1,076	5,108	27,075
84	TOTAL PROFESSIONAL SERVICES EXPENSE:		131,339	11,342	10,658	122,044
85						
86	INSURANCE/INTEREST EXPENSE					
87	ACWA Insurance		73,650	10,798	0	39,427
88	Workers Comp		18,800	3,565	4,000	10,871
89	Interest-COP 2008		219,313	61,875	61,875	219,313
90	TOTAL INSURANCE/INTEREST EXPENSE:		311,763	76,238	65,875	269,611
91						
92	PERSONNEL EXPENSE					
93	Board Meeting Expense (board stipend/board secretary)		12,870	990	1,170	7,780
94	Salaries & Wages (gross)		750,000	58,435	62,500	526,778
95	Taxes on Payroll		16,000	931	908	13,443
96	Medical Insurance Benefits	+8% Jan-June 2014)	181,000	14,583	15,660	140,442
97	Calpers Retirement Benefits		157,200	12,171	12,529	111,460
98	Salaries & Wages contra account		(12,870)	(990)	(1,170)	(6,930)
99	Conference/Conventions/Training/Seminars		7,500	345	360	5,322
100	TOTAL PERSONNEL EXPENSE:		1,111,700	86,464	91,957	798,294
101						
102	OFFICE EXPENSE					
103	Office Supplies		18,000	3,895	1,500	17,041
104	Office Equipment/ Rental/Maintenance Agreements		25,400	1,436	1,438	18,227
105	Postage & Freight		13,000	1,324	1,200	11,892
106	Taxes on Property		2,150	0	0	2,366
107	Telephone/Answering Service		8,400	637	700	5,511
108	Bad Debt Collection		720	(86)	60	(263)
109	Dues & Subscriptions		4,400	2,529	200	3,844
110	Printing, Publications & Notices		747	125	116	747
111	Uniforms		7,177	313	550	3,790
112	Osha Requirements/Emergency preparedness		4,842	108	1,926	1,026
113	TOTAL OFFICE EXPENSE:		84,838	10,281	7,690	64,181
114						
115	UTILITIES EXPENSE					
116	Pumping-Electricity		360,000	25,854	26,837	238,497
117	Office/Shop Utilities		16,875	1,065	932	12,567
118	Cellular Phone		8,777	515	756	5,723
119	TOTAL UTILITIES EXPENSE:		385,652	27,433	28,525	256,787
120						
121	TOTAL EXPENSES:		2,259,946	244,224	225,376	1,726,922
122						
123	CASH BASIS ADJUSTMENTS					
124	Decrease (Increase) in Accounts Payable			3,911		(11,107)
125	Increase (Decrease) in Inventory			2,532		22,503
126	Other Cash Basis Adjustments					3,973
127	TOTAL CASH BASIS ADJUSTMENTS:			6,443	0	15,369
128						
129	TOTAL EXPENSES PAID:		2,259,946	250,667	225,376	1,742,292
130						
131	NET CASH FLOW (O&M)		1,168,665	29,156	11,164	906,651

	AT	BA	BB	BC
1				
2	YTD + PROJ MONTHS>>	PROJECTED	PROJECTED	PROJECTED
3	PROJECTED	APRIL	MAY	JUNE
4	2013-2014	2014	2014	2014
63				
64				
65				
66	133,503	5,000	7,000	7,000
67	67,863	3,334	3,330	3,330
68	10,000	1,056	0	2,000
69	3,444	287	287	287
70	15,275	1,500	1,500	1,500
71	31,583	2,750	2,750	2,750
72	261,667	13,927	14,867	16,867
73				
74				
75	9,167	0	7,500	0
76	16,024	500	500	500
77	14,439	0	0	0
78	6,679	750	750	750
79	200	0	200	0
80	12,515	2,100	2,100	2,100
81	41,684	1,000	1,000	1,000
82	20,552	1,542	2,000	2,000
83	32,756	399	3,175	2,106
84	154,017	6,291	17,226	8,456
85				
86				
87	70,427	31,000	0	0
88	14,871	0	0	4,000
89	219,313	0	0	0
90	304,611	31,000	0	4,000
91				
92				
93	11,290	1,170	1,170	1,170
94	712,778	62,000	62,000	62,000
95	15,783	896	766	677
96	171,762	15,660	15,660	0
97	149,047	12,529	12,529	12,529
98	(10,440)	(1,170)	(1,170)	(1,170)
99	6,052	70	600	60
100	1,056,271	91,155	91,555	75,266
101				
102				
103	21,541	1,500	1,500	1,500
104	28,285	2,000	3,059	5,000
105	13,122	15	1,200	15
106	2,366	0	0	0
107	7,611	700	700	700
108	(83)	60	60	60
109	3,989	50	50	45
110	847	0	0	100
111	5,440	550	550	550
112	2,788	59	1,030	673
113	85,907	4,934	8,149	8,643
114				
115				
116	323,573	26,466	27,457	31,153
117	16,252	1,079	1,137	1,470
118	7,991	756	756	756
119	347,816	28,301	29,350	33,379
120				
121	2,210,288	175,608	161,147	146,611
122				
123				
124	(11,107)			
125	22,503			
126	3,973			
127	15,369	0	0	0
128				
129	2,225,657	175,608	161,147	146,611
130				
131	1,326,518	121,511	146,624	151,733

	C	D	AP	AQ	AR	AS
1	BWD CASH FLOW					
2	2013-2014					
3			ADOPTED	ACTUAL	PROJECTED	ACTUAL
4			BUDGET	MARCH	MARCH	YTD
			2013-2014	2014	2014	2013-2014
132	NON O & M EXPENSES					
133	USGS Basin study (\$49,028 balance)	(\$49,000 br frwd FY)	57,500	0	49,028	8,460
134	GWM Planning Costs		30,000	2,882	2,500	6,756
135	Integrated Regional Water Management Plan/Staff time		0			-
136	STAG/LGA Grant/Staff time		0			-
137	Viking Ranch Purchase		69,000			70,493
138	Viking Ranch Purchase RESERVE		100,000			-
139	GWM/ABD-IRWM Legal Expenses		0			-
140	Contingency for possible well pump/motor failure		0			-
141	Rams Hill #1 1980 steel needs inside coating, 1.25mg (cathodic protection)		200,000	9,077		203,912
142	800 Tank		123,576			125,825
143	Twin Tanks, 1970's-inside coating (rescheduled into 2013-2014)		40,000			-
144	Pickup		0			-
145	ID4, Reducing Station design and installation		20,000		0	-
146	Fairway Lane pipeline (excludes BWD labor)		0			-
147	Montezuma Road pipeline project-final		0			-
148	Telemetry Radio & PLC Upgrades	FA	0			-
149	Concrete replacement/Repairs-WWTP/manhole replacements/ Tube aeration system		70,000	11,329	10,000	52,079
150	Rework drying bed material-WWTP		0			-
151	Lugo building repairs		5,000			980
152	Pipeline-Country Club Road Booster Sta #3 south to Slash M		154,000			-
153	Fire Hydrant Replacements		12,360			-
154	Rewind motors-ID 4-11ID1-10		35,000			4,997
155	ID 1-16 pump and casing cleaning		60,000	16,453	60,000	16,453
156	ID4-Well 18 new submersible pump					24,500
157	WWTP-Shredder Cutters/Motor & Gear reducers/return pump		28,000			17,775
158	WWTP-Video Collection Lines		10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000
159	RHGC Settlement Statement					-
160	RHGC Settlement Statement-Availability fees past/present					(75,793)
161	RHGC Settlement Statement-Sale of wells ID 1-1 & ID1-2					(106,436)
162	RHGC Settlement Statement-other cost less \$6,000 deposit					(1,792)
163	RHGC Settlement Statement-Well 12 purchase agreement pay off					372,963
164	TOTAL NON O&M EXPENSES		1,014,436	49,741	131,528	731,170
165						
166	CASH RECAP					
167	Cash beginning of period		1,471,674	1,667,740	1,387,067	1,471,674
168	Net Cash Flow (O&M)		1,168,665	29,156	11,164	906,651
169	Total Non O&M Expenses		(1,014,436)	(49,741)	(131,528)	(731,170)
170	Transfer To/From Reserves			0	0	-
171	CASH AT END OF PERIOD		1,625,903	1,647,155	1,266,703	1,647,154
172	Cash allocated for Viking reserves		(200,000)			
173						
174	CASH AFTER ALLOCATION FOR RESERVES/DEPOSIT		1,425,903			
175	RESERVES		TARGET			
176	Working Capital (3 months)		600,000			
177	Contingency (3%)		80,000			
178	Asset replacement		160,000			
179	Emergency		2,500,000			
180	Viking Ranch		200,000			
181	TOTAL RESERVES		3,540,000	1,647,156		
182				(1)		
183	SIGNIFICANT ITEMS		ACTUAL	PROJECTED		
184						
185	RH Golf Course surplus capacity lease	(6,270)	0			Transferred funds for meter service
186	Penalty & Interest Water Collection	(2,291)	1,197			Wrote off account-rev late fees
187	R & M Buildings & Equipment	23,972	10,800			AT&T repay for repairs (\$5,627)/
188						Rebuild booster #5 (\$5,160)
189	ACWA Insurance	10,798	0			Projected in February
190	Dues & Subscriptions	2,529	200			Projected in April
191						
192	Total Significant Items:	28,738	12,197			
193						

	AT	BA	BB	BC
1				
2	YTD + PROJ MONTHS>>	PROJECTED	PROJECTED	PROJECTED
3	PROJECTED	APRIL	MAY	JUNE
4	2013-2014	2014	2014	2014
132				
133	57,488		49,028	
134	14,256	2,500	2,500	2,500
135	-			
136	-			
137	70,493			
138	-			
139	-			
140	-			
141	203,912			
142	125,825			
143	40,000			40,000
144	-			
145	20,000			20,000
146	-			
147	-			
148	-			
149	82,079	10,000	10,000	10,000
150	-			
151	2,980	1,000		1,000
152	154,000	77,000		77,000
153	12,360	4,120	4,120	4,120
154	35,000		12,503	17,500
155	60,453		44,000	
156	24,500			
157	28,000	4,725		5,500
158	10,000			
159	-			
160	(75,793)			
161	(106,436)			
162	(1,792)			
163	372,963			
164	1,130,286	99,345	122,151	177,620
165				
166				
167	1,471,674	1,647,155	1,669,320	1,693,794
168	1,326,518	121,511	146,624	151,733
169	(1,130,286)	(99,345)	(122,151)	(177,620)
170	0	0	0	0
171	1,667,906	1,669,320	1,693,794	1,667,906
172	(200,000)			
173	0			
174	1,467,906			
175				
176				
177				
178				
179				
180				
181				
182				
183				
184				
185				
186				
187				
188				
189				
190				
191				
192				
193				



BORREGO WATER DISTRICT

	BALANCE SHEET March 31, 2014 (unaudited)	BALANCE SHEET February 28, 2014 (unaudited)	MONTHLY CHANGE (unaudited)
ASSETS:			
CURRENT ASSETS			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,647,155.69	\$ 1,667,740.49	\$ (20,584.80)
Accounts receivable from water sales and sewer charges	\$ 382,902.26	\$ 426,734.51	\$ (43,832.25)
Interest receivable	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Inventory	\$ 166,413.38	\$ 163,881.08	\$ 2,532.30
Availability charges receivable	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Allowance for uncollectable availability charges	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Grant Receivable	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Prepaid expenses	\$ 43,007.66	\$ 43,007.66	\$ -
Other Receivables	\$ 2,838.20	\$ 3,027.40	\$ (189.20)
TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS	\$ 2,242,317.19	\$ 2,304,391.14	\$ (62,073.95)
RESTRICTED ASSETS			
Debt Service:			
Deferred amount of COP Refunding	\$ 142,558.65	\$ 142,558.65	\$ -
Unamortized bond issue costs	\$ 98,942.11	\$ 98,942.11	\$ -
Total Debt service	\$ 241,500.76	\$ 241,500.76	\$ -
Trust fund:			
Investments with fiscal agent -CFD 2007-1	\$ 69,117.42	\$ 67,541.40	\$ 1,576.02
Total Trust fund	\$ 69,117.42	\$ 67,541.40	\$ 1,576.02
TOTAL RESTRICTED ASSETS	\$ 310,618.18	\$ 309,042.16	
UTILITY PLANT IN SERVICE			
Land	\$ 3,129,375.65	\$ 3,134,875.65	\$ (5,500.00)
Flood Control Facilities	\$ 4,319,603.58	\$ 4,319,603.58	\$ -
Capital Improvement Projects	\$ 186,213.42	\$ 186,213.42	\$ -
Sewer Facilities	\$ 5,510,444.37	\$ 5,563,447.27	\$ (53,002.90)
Water facilities	\$ 10,413,412.75	\$ 10,404,336.01	\$ 9,076.74
Pipelines, wells and tanks	\$ 151,699.02	\$ 151,699.02	\$ -
General facilities	\$ 1,006,881.13	\$ 1,006,881.13	\$ -
Equipment and furniture	\$ 312,133.38	\$ 312,133.38	\$ -
Vehicles	\$ 495,572.91	\$ 495,572.91	\$ -
Accumulated depreciation	\$ (10,434,368.79)	\$ (10,487,371.69)	\$ (53,002.90)
NET UTILITY PLANT IN SERVICE	\$ 15,090,967.42	\$ 15,087,390.68	\$ 3,576.74
OTHER ASSETS			
Water rights -ID4	\$ 185,000.00	\$ 185,000.00	\$ -
TOTAL OTHER ASSETS	\$ 185,000.00	\$ 185,000.00	
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 17,828,902.79	\$ 17,885,823.98	\$ (56,921.19)

Balance sheet continued

	BALANCE SHEET March 31, 2014 (unaudited)	BALANCE SHEET February 28, 2014 (unaudited)	MONTHLY CHANGE (unaudited)
LIABILITIES:			
CURRENT LIABILITIES PAYABLE FROM CURRENT ASSETS			
Accounts Payable	\$ 108,970.35	\$ 112,881.06	\$ (3,910.71)
Accrued expenses	\$ 115,112.00	\$ 115,112.00	\$ -
Deferred Revenue	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Deposits	\$ 22,593.75	\$ 22,593.75	\$ -
TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES PAYABLE FROM CURRENT ASSETS	\$ 246,676.10	\$ 250,586.81	\$ (3,910.71)
CURRENT LIABILITIES PAYABLE FOM RESTRICTED ASSETS			
Debt Service:			
Accounts Payable to CFD 2007-1	\$ 69,117.42	\$ 65,769.00	\$ 3,348.42
TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES PAYABLE FROM RESTRICTED ASSETS	\$ 69,117.42	\$ 65,769.00	\$ 3,348.42
LONG TERM LIABILITIES			
2008 Certificates of participation (payable from restricted assets)	\$ 2,750,000.00	\$ 2,750,000.00	\$ -
Montesoro Note Payable	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Viking Ranch Note	\$ 1,494,000.00	\$ 1,494,000.00	\$ -
TOTAL LONG TERM LIABILITIES	\$ 4,244,000.00	\$ 4,244,000.00	\$ -
TOTAL LIABILITIES	\$ 4,559,793.52	\$ 4,560,355.81	\$ (562.29)
FUND EQUITY			
Contributed equity	\$ 9,611,814.35	\$ 9,611,814.35	\$ -
Retained Earnings:			
Unrestricted Reserves/Retained Earnings	\$ 3,657,294.92	\$ 3,713,653.82	\$ (56,358.90)
Total retained earnings	\$ 3,657,294.92	\$ 3,713,653.82	\$ (56,358.90)
TOTAL FUND EQUITY	\$ 13,269,109.27	\$ 13,325,468.17	\$ (56,358.90)
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND FUND EQUITY	\$ 17,828,902.79	\$ 17,885,823.98	\$ (56,921.19)



BORREGO WATER DISTRICT

Treasurer's Report March, 2014

% of Portfolio

Bank Balance	Carrying Value	Fair Value	Current Actual	Rate of Interest	Maturity	Valuation Source
--------------	----------------	------------	----------------	------------------	----------	------------------

Cash and Cash Equivalents:

Demand Accounts at WFB/BSB/LAIF

WFB/BSB General Account/Petty Cash	\$ 1,573,988	\$ 1,531,366	\$ 1,531,366	92.97%	0.00%	N/A	WFB/AB
Payroll Account	\$ 46,085	\$ 44,457	\$ 44,457	2.70%	0.01%	N/A	WFB
MMA	\$ 50,429	\$ 50,429	\$ 50,429	3.06%	0.03%	N/A	WFB
LAIF	\$ 20,904	\$ 20,904	\$ 20,904	1.27%	0.26%	N/A	LAIF

Total Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$ 1,691,406	\$ 1,647,156	\$ 1,647,156	100.00%			
----------------------------------------	---------------------	---------------------	---------------------	----------------	--	--	--

Facilities District No. 2007-1

First American Treas Obligation -US BANK	\$ 69,117	\$ 69,117	\$ 69,117				
------------------------------------------	-----------	-----------	-----------	--	--	--	--

Total Cash, Cash Equivalents & Investments	\$ 1,760,524	\$ 1,716,273	\$ 1,716,273				
-------------------------------------------------------	---------------------	---------------------	---------------------	--	--	--	--

Cash and investments conform to the District's Investment Policy statement filed with the Board of Directors on June 26, 2013.

Cash, investments and future cash flows are sufficient to meet the needs of the District for the next six months.

Sources of valuations are Borrego Springs Bank (BSB), Wells Fargo Bank (WFB), LAIF and US Trust Bank.

Kim Pitman

Kim Pitman, Administration Manager



BORREGO WATER DISTRICT

To: BWD Board of Directors
 From: Kim Pitman
 Subject: Consideration of the Disbursements and Claims Paid
 Month Ending March, 2014

A. Vendor disbursements paid during this period:		\$ 250,959.19
<u>Significant items:</u>		
Utilities	\$	26,554.10
CalPERS Payments	\$	14,763.04
Employee Health Benefits	\$	15,742.94
ACWA/JPIA-Property Renewal/Excess Coverage	\$	10,798.00
Workers Compensation quarterly payment	\$	3,565.00
Citizens Business Bank-COP installment	\$	61,875.00
B. Capital Projects/Fixed Asset Outlays:		
Hidden Valley Pump-ID1-well 16 repair/Rebuild booster #5	\$	31,612.56
Tube Aeration System for Sewer system	\$	11,329.20
C. Total Professional Services for this Period:		
<i>(included in vendor disbursements paid above)</i>		
McDougal, Love, Eckis, Attorneys	Legal-general	\$ 5,280.00
*Two months	GWM	
	Total Invoice:	\$ 5,280.00
Downey Brand, Attorneys	Legal-general	\$ 435.30
	GWM	\$ -
	Total Invoice:	\$ 435.30
Dynamic Consulting Engineers	Eng-general	
	Total Invoice:	\$ -
D. Payroll for this Period:		
Gross Payroll	\$	58,434.84
Employer Payroll Taxes and ADP Fee	\$	1,083.70
Total	\$	59,518.54

BORREGO WATER DISTRICT
FOR BOARD CONSIDERATION AND APPROVAL
MARCH 31, 2014

GENERAL ACCOUNT

CHECK#	DATE	PAYEE & DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
19086	04/09/14	U.S.BANK CORPORATE PAYMENT SYS SEE INVOICE FOR DETAILS	
		SEE INVOICE FOR DETAILS	9,441.47
19031	03/18/14	ABILITY ANSWERING/PAGING SER ANSWERING SERVICE	193.71
19032	03/18/14	CB&T ACWA-JPIA EMPLOYEE INSURANCE APRIL	15,742.94
19033	03/18/14	ACWA/JPIA PROPERTY PROGRAM RENEWAL 4/1/14 - 4/01/15	10,317.00
19046	03/27/14	ACWA/JPIA EXCESS COVERAGE 4/1/14 - 4/1/15	481.00
19060	04/03/14	ACWA/JPIA WORKERS COMPENSATION PROGRAM 01/01/14 - 03/31/14	3,565.00
19034	03/18/14	AFLAC EMPLOYEE PAID SUPPLMENTAL INS	1,864.46
19035	03/18/14	AGGREGATE PRODUCTS INC. ROAD BASE FOR REPAIRS BASE AGGREGATE	2,851.23
19036	03/18/14	AIR POLLUTION PERMIT APCD2012 SITE 00918 MARCH 2014 - MARCH 2015 PERMIT APCD2011 SITE 00605 MARCH 2014-MARCH 2015	665.00
19037	03/18/14	AMERICAN BACKFLOW PREVENTION BACKFLOW TESTER TEST	85.00
19087	04/09/14	AMERICAN LINEN INC. UNIFORMS FOR CREW	312.77
19061	04/03/14	AT CONFERENCE CONFERENCE CALL SERVICE	6.40
19047	03/27/14	AT&T PAYMENT OF CLAIM FOR DAMAGES TO AT&T CABLE WHILE EXCAVATING TRENCH FOR WATER PIPE 533 PALM CANYON DRIVE	5,627.40
19062	04/03/14	AT&T MOBILITY CELL PHONES FOR CREW	514.65
19063	04/03/14	AT&T-CALNET 2 PHONES: MAINTENANCE \$91.77 WWTP: \$37.02	128.79
19088	04/09/14	AT&T-CALNET 2 PHONE FOR OFFICE	183.12
19064	04/03/14	BENITO ARTEAGA REIMBURSE FOR WORK BOOTS	107.99
19048	03/27/14	BORREGO SUN 1/8 PAGE AD FOR TOWN HALL MEETING	125.25
19049	03/27/14	CDPH	

BORREGO WATER DISTRICT
 FOR BOARD CONSIDERATION AND APPROVAL
 MARCH 31, 2014

CHECK#	DATE	PAYEE & DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
		WATER SYSTEM FEES #3710036	
		FUND #306 7/1/13 - 12/31/13	320.00
19038	03/18/14	CITIZENS BUSINESS BANK INSTALLMENT ON #08-043	61,875.00
19089	04/09/14	CONSOLIDATED ELECTRICAL DIST FUSES FOR ID4 WELL 11	1,040.35
19090	04/09/14	CONTRON SCADA SERVICE	2,004.20
19065	04/03/14	CORRPRO COMPANIES CATHHODIC PROTECTION FOR RM#1 RESERVOIR ASSET #343	9,076.74
19050	03/27/14	DAVID TAUSSIG & ASSOCIATES, INC PROFESSIONAL SERVICES LEVIES	1,122.47
19066	04/03/14	JAMES G HORMUTH/DBA TRUE VALUE SEE INVOICE FOR DETAILS	173.34
19039	03/18/14	DEBBIE MORETTI PEST CONTROL	113.00
19040	03/18/14	DLT SOLUTIONS, INC. AUTODESK SUBSCRIPTION CONTRACT 342-00174184 5/26/14 - 5/25/15	2,529.38
19067	04/03/14	DOWNEY BRAND PROFESSIONAL SERVICES THROUGH 2.28.14	435.30
19068	04/03/14	E.S. BABCOCK & SONS, INC. LAB SAMPLES	1,690.00
19069	04/03/14	EL CENTRO MOTORS MAINTENANCE ON F550	501.60
19070	04/03/14	ENSLEY ELECTRIC INC REFUND OF CONSTRUCTION METER DEPOSIT	850.00
19051	03/27/14	FED EX FED EX DELIVERY SERVICE	123.71
19071	04/03/14	GREEN DESERT LANDSCAPE MANAGEMENT FEE CLUB CIRCLE MARCH	5,210.80
19072	04/03/14	HACH COMPANY SAMPLE DIPPER FOR RHWTF	170.43
19052	03/27/14	HIDDEN VALLEY PUMP SYSTEMS INC REMOVE PUMP, VIDEO, CLEAN AND RE-VIDEO ID1-WELL 16	26,452.56
19091	04/09/14	HIDDEN VALLEY PUMP SYSTEMS INC REBUILD BOOSTER #5 AT BOOSTER STATION 2 FOR DELIVERING WATER TO T2 GOLF	5,160.24
19092	04/09/14	HOME DEPOT CREDIT SERVICES SEE INVOICE FOR DETAILS	730.53
19073	04/03/14	JANICE R. NARAGON GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT ASSIST	612.50
19074	04/03/14	JC LABS & MONITORING SERVICE WASTEWATER CONSULTING SERVICES	1,500.00
19041	03/18/14	KENNY STRICKLAND, INC. FUEL FOR DISTRICT VEHICLES	997.97
19075	04/03/14	KENNY STRICKLAND, INC.	

BORREGO WATER DISTRICT
FOR BOARD CONSIDERATION AND APPROVAL
MARCH 31, 2014

CHECK#	DATE	PAYEE & DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
19093	04/09/14	FUEL FOR DISTRICT VEHICLE KONICA MINOLTA	2,495.62
19042	03/18/14	COPIER LEASE 3/25/14 - 4/24/14 LAIDLAW PLUMBING	1,298.47
19076	04/03/14	CAMERA SERVICE SEWER LINE 3147 HONOR COURT LAIDLAW PLUMBING	192.50
19077	04/03/14	REPAIR OF FLUSH VALVES IN RESTROOMS LANDMARK	234.52
19053	03/27/14	COMPACTION FOR PALM CANYON DR & OCOTILLO CIR., MAIN BREAK McCALLS METERS, INC	1,190.00
19043	03/18/14	2" METERS FOR INVENTORY McDOUGAL LOVE ECKIS	1,414.81
19078	04/03/14	PROFESSIONAL SERVICES PROFESSIONAL SERVICES NAPA AUTO PARTS INC	5,280.00
19094	04/09/14	MISC PARTS FOR VEHICLES BLUE TARP	114.61
19054	03/27/14	TOOLS PACIFIC PIPELINE SUPPLY INC	323.68
19095	04/09/14	INVENTORY BRASS FITTINGS PACIFIC PIPELINE SUPPLY INC	121.64
19055	03/27/14	INVENTORY SPARE PARTS AND MATERIALS PUBLIC EMP'S RETIREMENT SYSTEM	995.85
19079	04/03/14	EMPLOYEE RETIREMENT BENEFITS PUBLIC EMP'S RETIREMENT SYSTEM	7,180.81
19096	04/09/14	EMPLOYEE RETIREMENT BENEFITS PE: 3/16/14 - 03/31/14 PUMP CHECK	7,582.23
19044	03/18/14	PUMP EFFICIENCY TESTING PITNEY BOWES/PURCHASE POWER	1,760.00
19056	03/27/14	POSTAGE QUILL CORPORATION	1,200.00
19080	04/03/14	OFFICE SUPPLIES QUILL CORPORATION	175.54
19081	04/03/14	OFFICE SUPPLIES OFFICE SUPPLIES RAMONA DISPOSAL SERVICE	226.16
19082	04/03/14	TRASH SERVICES CLUB CIRCLE \$2897.50 OFFICE \$189.00 WWTP \$98.00	3,184.50
19057	03/27/14	RECORDER/COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE RELEASE OF LIEN 1-0092-6 RELEASE OF LIENS 7-3951-1, 01-0051-1	39.00
19083	04/03/14	SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC ELECTRICITY CHARGES	12,009.10
19058	03/27/14	SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC ELECTRICITY CHARGES SECAP FINANCE	14,545.09

BORREGO WATER DISTRICT
 FOR BOARD CONSIDERATION AND APPROVAL
 MARCH 31, 2014

CHECK#	DATE	PAYEE & DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
19059	03/27/14	POSTAGE MACHINE LEASE STATE OF CALIFORNIA ASSESSMENT FOR TAX YEAR ENDING 6.30.12 CORP: 1970174 ANNUAL INFORMATION RETURN FORM 199 FYE 6.30.13	137.49 66.53
19097	04/09/14	SUNSET ELECTRIC POWER SERVICE 6" CHECK VALVE FOR PUMP #4 BOOSTER STATION. REPLACE COPPER TUBING FOR 8" CLA-VAL PRESSURE REDUCING VALV	 1,078.80
19045	03/18/14	SYNECO SYSTEMS, INC PERSNICKEY FOR ODOR CONTROL	 937.62
19084	04/03/14	SYNECO SYSTEMS, INC 55 GAL DRUM OF BIOLOGIC FOR ODOR CONTROL - PERSNICKEY	 937.62
19085	04/03/14	TRIPLEPOINT WATER TECHNOLOGIES MARS TUBE AERATION SYSTEM	 11,329.20
19098	04/09/14	UNDERGROUND SERVICE ALERT DIG ALERTS	 4.50
TOTAL			----- 250,959.19 =====



BORREGO WATER DISTRICT

March 2014

WATER OPERATIONS REPORT

WELL	TYPE	FLOW RATE	STATUS	COMMENT
ID1-1	Irrigation	150	Standby	SOLD
ID1-2	Irrigation	150	Standby	SOLD
ID1-8	Production	350	In Use	
ID1-10	Production	300	In Use	
ID1-12	Production	950	In Use	
ID1-16	Production	950	Out of Service	
Wilcox	Production	150	In Use	Diesel backup well for ID-4
ID4-4	Production	350	In Use	
ID4-10	Production	80	In Use	
ID4-11	Production	1000	In Use	Diesel engine drive exercised monthly
ID4-18	Production	250	In Use	
ID5-5	Production	900	In Use	Diesel engine drive exercised monthly

System Problems: ID1-Well 16 has been extracted and is in the evaluation process.
All other Production Wells and reservoirs are in operating condition.

WASTEWATER OPERATIONS REPORT

Rams Hill Water Reclamation Plant serving ID-1, ID-2 and ID-5 Total Cap. 0.25 MGD (million gallons per day):

Average flow: 95162 (gallons per day)
Peak flow: 146098 gpd Friday March 28, 2014



BORREGO WATER DISTRICT

WATER PRODUCTION SUMMARY

March 2014

DATE	ID-1	ID-3	ID-4	ID-5	DISTRICT-WIDE TOTALS
Apr-12	13.47	10.86	101.43	6.86	132.62
May-12	20.98	13.34	131.79	8.31	174.42
Jun-12	31.57	13.84	133.24	5.36	184.01
Jul-12	33.18	14.27	135.30	6.36	189.11
Aug-12	42.43	17.76	157.68	6.35	224.22
Sep-12	27.60	12.72	117.15	3.14	160.61
Oct-12	33.21	12.41	122.78	29.77	198.17
Nov-12	36.38	11.13	100.49	0.00	148.00
Dec-12	20.41	8.54	101.89	0.00	130.84
Jan-13	15.18	10.21	103.59	2.05	131.03
Feb-13	11.65	9.60	76.50	2.26	100.01
Mar-13	10.26	8.33	85.01	4.54	108.14
Apr-13	12.26	10.88	135.54	7.10	165.78
May-13	22.86	11.86	118.08	5.37	158.17
Jun-13	26.59	12.71	133.18	2.86	175.34
Jul-13	27.81	14.19	153.49	2.42	197.91
Aug-13	27.96	13.26	123.17	2.45	166.84
Sep-13	30.51	11.16	117.30	0.92	159.89
Oct-13	29.63	10.86	137.93	4.34	182.76
Nov-13	21.45	11.58	113.46	5.28	151.77
Dec-13	16.85	6.75	89.16	3.48	116.24
Jan-14	12.51	7.44	99.13	4.12	123.20
Feb-14	20.59	6.37	90.06	3.81	120.83
Mar-14	34.62	6.90	89.53	3.93	134.98
12 Mo. TOTAL	283.64	123.96	1400.03	46.08	1853.71

Totals reflect individual improvement district usage. Interties from ID-3 and ID-5 have been subtracted from well pumpage totals and applied to respective ID's. All figures in Acre Feet of water pumped or recorded on intertie meters.

WATER LOSS SUMMARY (%)

DATE	ID-1	ID-3	ID-4	ID-5	DISTRICT-WIDE AVERAGE
Mar-14	30.12	0.29	11.63	N/A	14.01
12 Mo. Average	8.33	1.85	12.94	N/A	7.71

BORREGO WATER DISTRICT
 Water Production / Use Records
 ID # 1
 Month of March 2014

----- Water Production (Acre Feet) -----								
Date	Well 1	Well 2	Well 8	Well 10	Well 12	Well 16	Total	LessID3&4
=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====
MAR'13	0.00	0.00	18.59	0.00	0.00	0.00	18.59	10.26
APR'13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	23.14	0.00	23.14	12.26
MAY'13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	34.72	0.00	34.72	22.86
JUN'13	0.00	0.00	6.78	0.04	32.48	0.00	39.30	26.59
JUL'13	0.00	0.00	0.02	7.16	34.82	0.00	42.00	27.81
AUG'13	0.00	0.00	0.08	13.53	27.61	0.00	41.22	27.96
SEP'13	0.00	0.00	1.00	10.35	30.32	0.00	41.67	30.51
OCT'13	0.00	0.00	0.06	11.21	29.22	0.00	40.49	29.63
NOV'13	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.99	21.04	0.00	33.03	21.45
DEC'13	0.00	0.00	0.94	7.26	15.40	0.00	23.60	16.85
JAN'14	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.09	19.86	0.00	19.95	12.51
FEB'14	0.00	0.00	0.20	8.03	18.73	0.00	26.96	14.59
MAR'14	5.35	6.74	0.00	27.26	17.92	0.00	57.27	34.62
TOTALS	5.35	6.74	9.08	96.92	305.26	0.00	423.35	277.64
=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====

----- Water Use (Acre Feet) -----										
Date	Domestic	Irrigat'n	Constrt'n	Golf Course	Golf Surplus	ID 3	ID 4	Total	Water Loss	% Loss
=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====
MAR'13	7.03	3.12	0.00	0.00	0.00	8.33	0.00	18.48	0.11	0.59%
APR'13	8.36	5.51	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.88	0.00	24.75	-1.61	-6.95%
MAY'13	9.49	7.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.86	0.00	28.38	6.34	18.25%
JUN'13	10.00	10.56	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.71	0.00	33.27	6.03	15.33%
JUL'13	11.06	15.99	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.19	0.00	41.24	0.76	1.76%
AUG'13	11.19	18.22	0.00	0.00	0.00	13.26	0.00	42.67	-1.45	-3.51%
SEP'13	10.18	18.81	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.16	0.00	40.15	1.52	3.64%
OCT'13	11.12	13.31	0.06	0.00	0.00	10.86	0.00	35.35	5.14	12.69%
NOV'13	9.63	10.24	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.58	0.00	31.45	1.58	4.80%
DEC'13	8.09	7.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	6.75	0.00	22.51	1.09	4.62%
JAN'14	8.40	8.29	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.44	0.00	24.13	-4.18	-20.90%
FEB'14	6.66	5.11	0.00	0.00	6.00	6.37	0.00	24.14	2.82	10.46%
MAR'14	6.88	4.98	1.88	3.62	15.75	6.90	0.00	40.01	17.26	30.12%
TOTALS	111.06	125.72	1.94	3.62	21.75	123.96	0.00	388.05	35.30	8.33%
=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====

BORREGO WATER DISTRICT
 Water Production / Use Records
 ID # 3
 Month of March 2014

Date	La Casa del Zorro Total Acre Feet		Deep Well Trail / Others Acre Feet			Total Irrigat'n	Total Domestic	Total Acre Feet
	Irrigat'n	Domestic	Irrigat'n	Domestic	Total			
MAR'13	0.57	4.16	0.05	3.54	3.59	0.62	7.70	8.32
APR'13	0.79	4.03	0.02	5.40	5.42	0.81	9.43	10.24
MAY'13	0.83	5.16	0.08	5.89	5.97	0.91	11.05	11.96
JUN'13	1.06	5.21	0.15	6.06	6.21	1.21	11.27	12.48
JUL'13	1.08	6.31	0.11	6.36	6.47	1.19	12.67	13.86
AUG'13	1.10	5.74	0.03	5.98	6.01	1.13	11.72	12.85
SEP'13	0.93	4.67	0.03	5.36	5.39	0.96	10.03	10.99
OCT'13	1.17	4.50	0.06	4.96	5.02	1.23	9.46	10.69
NOV'13	0.59	3.28	0.12	6.84	6.96	0.71	10.12	10.83
DEC'13	0.20	3.22	0.10	3.77	3.87	0.30	6.99	7.29
JAN'14	0.00	2.60	0.12	4.65	4.77	0.12	7.25	7.37
FEB'14	0.00	2.04	0.04	4.15	4.19	0.04	6.19	6.23
MAR'14	0.00	3.02	0.08	3.78	3.86	0.08	6.80	6.88
TOTALS	7.75	49.78	0.94	63.20	64.14	8.69	112.98	121.67

Date	Water Produced Acre Feet	Water Delivered Acre Feet	Wtr Loss	% Loss
MAR'13	8.33	8.32	0.01	0.12%
APR'13	10.88	10.24	0.64	5.88%
MAY'13	11.86	11.96	- .10	-.84%
JUN'13	12.71	12.48	0.23	1.81%
JUL'13	14.19	13.86	0.33	2.33%
AUG'13	13.26	12.85	0.41	3.09%
SEP'13	11.16	10.99	0.17	1.52%
OCT'13	10.86	10.69	0.17	1.57%
NOV'13	11.58	10.83	0.75	6.48%
DEC'13	6.75	7.29	-.54	-8.00%
JAN'14	7.44	7.37	0.07	0.94%
FEB'14	6.37	6.23	0.14	2.20%
MAR'14	6.90	6.88	0.02	0.29%
TOTALS	123.96	121.67	2.29	1.85%

BORREGO WATER DISTRICT
 Water Production / Use Records
 ID # 4
 Month of March 2014

----- Water Production (Acre Feet) -----											
Date	Well 2	Well 3	Well 4	Well 5	Well 10	Well 11	Well 18	Wilcox	Well 85	Total	Less ID5
=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====
MAR'13	0.00	0.00	43.79	23.93	9.60	5.48	6.75	0.00	0.00	89.55	85.01
APR'13	0.00	0.00	0.00	37.31	11.28	88.84	5.21	0.00	0.00	142.64	135.54
MAY'13	0.00	0.00	0.09	13.14	9.40	96.00	4.82	0.00	0.00	123.45	118.08
JUN'13	0.00	0.00	0.88	16.22	9.52	102.65	6.02	0.75	0.00	136.04	133.18
JUL'13	0.00	0.00	10.28	19.46	11.12	114.63	0.04	0.38	0.00	155.91	153.49
AUG'13	0.00	0.00	3.08	11.83	9.31	98.37	3.03	0.00	0.00	125.62	123.17
SEP'13	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.60	9.42	95.61	4.33	0.34	0.00	117.30	116.38
OCT'13	0.00	0.00	0.09	12.89	10.69	113.95	4.64	0.01	0.00	142.27	137.93
NOV'13	0.00	0.00	0.22	10.85	9.41	93.97	4.29	0.00	0.00	118.74	113.46
DEC'13	0.00	0.00	15.89	5.63	9.26	59.13	2.32	0.41	0.00	92.64	89.16
JAN'14	0.00	0.00	53.25	6.89	10.82	29.03	3.24	0.02	0.00	103.25	99.13
FEB'14	0.00	0.00	45.47	6.06	9.34	29.74	3.26	0.00	0.00	93.87	90.06
MAR'14	0.00	0.00	42.13	6.11	8.97	33.10	3.12	0.03	0.00	93.46	89.53
TOTALS	0.00	0.00	171.38	153.99	118.54	955.02	44.32	1.94	0.00	1445.19	1399.11

Date	Water Produced Acre Feet	Water Use Acre Feet	Wtr Loss	% Loss	ID 5 Acre Feet
=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====
MAR'13	89.55	80.28	9.27	10.35%	4.54
APR'13	142.64	121.16	21.48	15.06%	7.10
MAY'13	123.45	109.95	13.50	10.94%	5.37
JUN'13	136.04	115.97	20.07	14.75%	2.86
JUL'13	155.91	130.13	25.78	16.54%	2.42
AUG'13	125.62	111.27	14.35	11.42%	2.45
SEP'13	117.30	98.56	18.74	15.98%	0.92
OCT'13	142.27	120.79	21.48	15.10%	4.34
NOV'13	118.74	105.22	13.52	11.39%	5.28
DEC'13	92.64	86.49	6.15	6.64%	3.48
JAN'14	103.25	92.46	10.79	10.45%	4.12
FEB'14	93.87	83.56	10.31	10.98%	3.81
MAR'14	93.46	82.59	10.87	11.63%	3.93
TOTALS	1445.19	1258.15	187.04	12.94%	46.08

BORREGO WATER DISTRICT NEW METER INSTALLATION

2013/2014

EXISTING ACCOUNTS

WEA	ID-4	TOTAL
582	1544	2126

JULY
AUGUST
SEPTEMBER
OCTOBER
NOVEMBER
DECEMBER
JANUARY
FEBRUARY
MARCH
APRIL
MAY
JUNE
Y-T-D TOTAL

WEA	SA-4	TOTAL
0	0	0
0	0	0
0	0	0
0	0	0
0	0	0
0	0	0
0	0	0
0	0	0
0	1	1
		1

2013/2014
1 NEW
2012/2013
9 METERS REMOVED
2 NEW

**BORREGO WATER DISTRICT
METER INSTALLATION HISTORY**

<u>YEAR</u>	<u>SA# 4 NEW METERS</u>	<u>TOTAL METERS</u>
<u>2013/14</u>	<u>1 NEW</u>	<u>1544</u>
<u>2012/13</u>	<u>2 NEW</u>	1543
<u>2012/13</u>	4 meters removed per owner	1541
2011/12	4 meters removed 2 exchanges	1545
2010/11	9	1549
2009/10	7	1540
	3 meters removed/1 per owner 2 unpaid	
2008/9	3	1536
2007/8	10	1533
2006/7	39	1523
2005/6	96	1484
2004/5	79	1388
2003/4	58	1308
2002/3	32	1250
2001/2	23	1218

<u>YEAR</u>	<u>SA # 3 NEW METERS</u>	<u>TOTAL METERS</u>
<u>2013/14</u>		<u>135</u>
<u>2012/13</u>	0	135
2011/12	0	135
2010/11	0	135
2009/10	0	135
2008/9	0	133
2007/8	0	133
2006/7	4	133
2005/6	11	129
2004/5	6	118
	(29) METERS REMOVED FROM LA CASA	112
2003/4	7	141
2002/3	3	134
2001/2	0	131

<u>YEAR</u>	<u>SA # 1 NEW METERS</u>	<u>TOTAL METERS</u>
<u>2013/14</u>		<u>343</u>
<u>2012/13</u>	<u>2 meters removed per owner</u>	343
2011/12	0	345
2010/11	0	345
2009/10	0	345
2008/9	1	345
2007/8	12	344
2006/7	18	332
2005/6	3	314
2004/5	5	311
2003/4	3	306
2002/3	6	303
2001/2	1	297

<u>YEAR</u>	<u>SA# 5</u>	<u>TOTAL METERS</u>
<u>2013/14</u>		<u>104</u>
<u>2012/13</u>	<u>2 METERS REMOVED</u>	104
<u>2011/12</u>	1	106
2010/11		105

TOTAL METERS IN GROUND 2126