



dependent on groundwater as a source of supply." He pointed out that all agricultural users are dependent on groundwater. Further discussion followed regarding the floodplain residents, and Mr. Rolwing agreed to obtain a copy of the FEMA report on the floodplain for Mr. Mills.

The Section continued with descriptions of potential funding mechanisms for project implementation, Tier 1 and 2 project outside funding opportunities, funding programs by the State and Federal Governments and potential funding mechanisms for operation and maintenance. Mr. Rolwing pointed out a typographical error in the paragraph on STAG ("cos" should be "cost").

A table was included, showing Tier 1 projects financing opportunities and status. It was noted that Projects No. 4 and 5 should have a "K" following the cost.

Section 11 was entitled "Relation to Local Planning." Mr. Mills explained that although water agencies have no control over land use management (zoning), they are expected to ensure availability of water. One of the goals of Proposition 84 is to facilitate coordination between water agencies and land use agencies.

The Section referred to the County General Plan, under which Borrego Springs has adopted a Community Plan. Mr. Rolwing asked that the Ocotillo Wells State Vehicular Recreation Area be added to the list of land use planning entities, and pointed out that BWD is not a member of the Sponsor Group, although we do participate.

Mr. Rolwing recommended that Canebrake, Jacumba and Majestic Pines Water Districts be included in the list of local and regional water management facilities, regardless of whether they participate in the IRWMP.

The Section concluded with examples of effective links between water management and land use planning. Mr. Rolwing recommended that the last sentence of the Section be clarified to specify the *Anza Borrego Desert IRWM* Policy Committee.

Mr. Mills went on to summarize Section 12, Stakeholder Involvement. The Section outlined the committee structure, Regional Water Management Group and stakeholder involvement, identification and coordination. A table illustrated stakeholder relationships. Mr. Rolwing questioned the category of Self Supplied Water, and it was agreed it referred to individual wells. In that case, AAWARE and Golf should be included. Mr. Rolwing suggested adding a column for Individual Homeowners. Other amendments to the table included the addition of Exotic Plant Removal and Environmental to the ABSP column, Knowledge of Resource to all columns, and Soil Conservation to the BWD column.

A subsequent table showed information exchange and competing interests. Mr. Rolwing pointed out that one of the IRWMP's goals is conflict resolution. The Subcommittee agreed to add Information Sharing to the "Spon" (Sponsor Group) and RCD (Resource Conservation District) columns.

Mr. Mills outlined the information on environmental justice and disadvantaged communities coordination, noting that Borrego Springs has been designated as a Disadvantaged Community and several other, smaller surrounding communities are presumed to be disadvantaged. Types of projects which the IRWMP will promote in these areas were described. Mr. McKelvey and Mr. Rolwing pointed out that many of these efforts are already being pursued, and it was agreed to reword the Section accordingly.

The Section continued with details on stakeholder inclusion and involvement, citing meetings, workshops, presentations, electronic communications, letters of support

and project solicitation. Mr. McKelvey suggested pointing out that the IRWM Subcommittee has worked with Anna Aljabiry of DWR on the Plan, and Mr. Rolwing added that information has been included in water bills.

Mr. Mills pointed out that the Section indicates that outreach to stakeholders will continue, and agencies which declined to participate in the Plan at the outset will have other opportunities in the future. Discussion followed regarding projects that address "green" objectives and climate change, and it was agreed that the Section should highlight them.

The Section envisioned a Plan update every five years at a minimum, but the Subcommittee agreed two would be a better target. If new agencies join, an update would be required, and priorities may be reassessed as time goes on. Mr. McKelvey noted that each Plan revision should contain a date and/or revision number.

8. Plan Completion Schedule

Mr. Mills invited the Subcommittee's attention to the Plan Completion Schedule, noting that Sections 9, 11 and 12 had been reviewed today and Section 15 (Disadvantaged Communities) is nearly complete. More Sections will be available for review at the next meeting, with the remainder to be considered in March.

9. Next meeting date February 22, 2011 at 9 am at BWD

10. Adjourn

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 11:10 a.m.